

ROMANIAN JOURNAL OF BIOLOGY

ZOOLOGY

VOLUME 63, Nos 1–2

2018

CONTENTS

<i>IN MEMORIAM</i> – DAN MUNTEANU, PhD (June 2, 1937 – February 25, 2017), A life dedicated to the protection of nature and environment	3
<i>IN MEMORIAM</i> – FLORICA PORUMB, PhD (November 27, 1928 – June 7, 2018), A life dedicated to the Black Sea Zooplankton.....	9
MANANA LORTKIPANIDZE, KAZIM HWSEYNOV, OLEG GORGADZE, MZIA KOKHIA, MADONA KUCHAVA, Efficacy of entomopathogens against mosquitoes <i>Anopheles maculipennis</i> and <i>Culex pipiens molestus</i> (Diptera: Culicidae)	21
IRINA TEODORESCU, Contribution to database of alien/invasive Homoptera insects in Romania	29
RAOUL CONSTANTINEANU, CAMIL ȘTEFAN LUNGU CONSTANTINEANU, New Ichneumonid parasitoids (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonidae) of the East Asian invasive species of sawfly, <i>Aproceros leucopoda</i> (Hymenoptera: Argidae), defoliator of the field elm, <i>Ulmus minor</i> in some deciduous forests in Moldova (Romania)	69
OLANIYI ALABA OLOPADE, HENRY EYINA DIENYE, Abundance, length- weight relationship and condition factor of lutjanids (snapper) from Sombreiro river, Nigeria.....	77
DUMITRU MURARIU, VICTOR GHEORGHIU, The concept of ecological re-establishment of some large bat populations in Women Cave from Baia de Fier (Romania)	85

BOOK REVIEW

IOAN I. ARDELEAN, <i>Sustainable Aquaculture</i> , 2018	107
---	-----



DAN MUNTEANU PhD
(June 2, 1937 – February 25, 2017)

A life dedicated to the protection of nature and environment

Dan MUNTEANU's great flight towards the unknown
high world of birds and stars

Dan Munteanu PhD passed away on the 25th of February 2017, a very special personality, corresponding member of the Romanian Academy, chairman of the *Commission for the Protection of Natural Monuments* (CMN), member of the editorial staff (assistant of the editor-in-chief) of the scientific journal *Romanian Journal of Biology – Zoology* and of other periodicals, researcher – exceptional biologist and ornithologist, well-known in the Romanian scientific world of the lovers of nature for more than half a century.

In 2017, his colleagues, friends, acquaintances, all those who knew and appreciated Dan Munteanu, organised his 80th anniversary, paying homage to his life and scientific work, to his efforts for protecting nature in Romania. There were three months till the anniversary session, but “time had not been patient” and the session became posthumous.

We received with much regret and deep sadness the news of the disappearance of our colleague and a lifetime friend, Dan Munteanu, after unbearable sufferings. It is a great loss for the Romanian biology in the important fields covered by his restless activity.

Dan Munteanu was born in Cluj, where his father, Dr. Didi Munteanu was a paediatrician, Professor at the Faculty of Medicine and director of “Casa Copilului” [“The Child’s House”] institution, and his mother Maria Cupcea was a well-known artistic personality, songwriter, actress of the National Theatre of Cluj within the period 1949–1955, also director of this institution. He had deep Transylvanian roots, being, after his mother, descendent of a famous family of Greek-Catholic priests and professors of Maramureş, nephew of the vicar Petru Cupcea, of Şimleul Silvaniei, and after his father, grandson of the orthodox martyr priest in Huedin, Aurel Muntean.

Primary and gymnasium studies were attended at “Ioan Bob” School in Cluj, the oldest school in the Romanian language teaching of the city. After graduating from “Emil Racoviţă” High School of Cluj, Dan Munteanu attended the Faculty of Natural Sciences, Department of Biology of “Victor Babeş” University of Cluj (1959).

Since his childhood he loved the birds of the sky... he loved them with his mind and soul and had moments of unique satisfaction while watching their serene flight towards heights or their intimacy in nests and hiding places, feeding places... He also was a passionate hunter, but his passion for hunting was triggered by his desire to be in the midst of nature, to observe the behaviour of the living world, not to kill.

He ascended the stages of affirmation by himself and confidently, based on an in-depth knowledge of nature and especially of the world of birds, whom he loved deeply since his childhood, and he was attached to it for ever, becoming one of the outstanding Romanian scientific personalities in ornithology and protection of nature.

At the beginning of his career he worked as a Scientific Researcher, for a period of time being also director of the “Stejarul” Biological, Geological and Geographical Station at Pângăraţi (Neamţ County), an institution under the patronage of “Al. I. Cuza” University of Iaşi. Being a rich and varied floral and fauna region the Pângăraţi settlement area gives the young researcher the idea of reflecting on the choice of the theme of the PhD thesis – “Avifauna of the mountain basin of the Moldavian Bistriţa”. Dan Munteanu presented his PhD thesis in Bucharest in 1969, being highly appreciated for his contribution to biology and bird migration, for ornithogeographical, systematic and ecological novelties.

His scientific debut was marked by the publication, in 1963, in collaboration with I. Boisteanu, of the paper *Observații asupra componenței avifaunei bazinului mijlociu al Bistriței* [“Observations on the composition of the Bistrița midwater basin”] in *Comunicări de Zoologie* journal, followed by the publishing, in 1965, in *Travaux du Museum d’Histoire Naturelle “Grigore Antipa”* of the paper *Trois années d’observations ornithologiques sur le lac de barrage Bicaz*. He published a series of articles in *Lucrările Stațiunii de Cercetări Biologice, Geologice și Geografice “Stejarul”* [“Stejarul” Biological, Geological and Geographical Research Papers],

in Sesiunile științifice ale Muzeului de Științele Naturii din Bacău [“Scientific Sessions of the Museum of Natural Sciences in Bacău”], in *Nymphaea Journal – Folia Naturae Bihariae*, *Acta Musei Porolissensis – Museum of History and Art in Zalău* and in the Information Bulletins of the Romanian Ornithological Society.

In 1973, he came back to Cluj, as a Senior Scientific Researcher in Biological Research Center in Cluj-Napoca.

After 1989, Dan Munteanu PhD initiated a new orientation in Romanian ornithology and became the founding President of the Romanian Ornithological Society (S.O.R.), a solid non-governmental scientific organization with multiple achievements at national and international level, at the same time becoming a member of the Executive Board of *International Waterfowl and Wetland Research Bureau* (headquarters in the United Kingdom). S.O.R. was included in the European network of the societies of bird protection coordinated by *Bird Life International*. Therefore, the president-founder of S.O.R. was implied in the elaboration of several Europeans projects on the bird knowledge and protection in South-Eastern Europe.

In 1999, he was appointed Corresponding Member of the Romanian Academy.

In recognition of his scientific merits and contributions, Dan Munteanu PhD received “Emil Racoviță” Award of the Romanian Academy (in 2007, for his scientific papers of 2005), and in 2013 he received Diploma “Meritul Academic”, for his scientific activities of 2011.

Aware of the environmental problems in our country, Dan Munteanu was recommended by the late Acad. Nicolae Botnariuc to follow him in the position of a great responsibility – the chairman of the Commission for Natural Monuments Protection (CMN). Within the period 2000–2017 he honored this function with great honour and competence, having a complex activity and contributing to solutions for several problems, materialized in important contributions to the good functioning of the system he was leading:

- the organization and functioning of CMN, including the development of regulations and legislation acts;
- coordinating the activity of the Scientific Councils of the natural and national parks;
- substantiating the declaration of new protected areas;
- developing realistic solutions for biodiversity conservation and protection of the natural heritage;
- analyses and opinions concerning numerous requests for works of national interest with a potential impact on the environment, exploitation of natural biological resources, works or constructions in protected areas, ecological restoration works and afforestation, collaborating in this respect with different biodiversity conservation bodies from the Ministry of Environment and the National Forestry Authority (RNP). He also contributed to structuring in Romania the network of NATURA 2000 protected areas, following the European model of this type of network.

Dan Munteanu was a member of the Editorial Staff of several scientific journals such as: *Romanian Journal of Biology-Zoology* (Deputy Editor-in-Chief), Academic Collection *Fauna României* ["Romanian Fauna"] (Deputy Editor-in-Chief), *Travaux du Museum National d'Histoire Naturelle "Grigore Antipa"*, *Oltenia Journal for Studies in Natural Sciences*.

Besides his ornithological research, Dan Munteanu was the scientific adviser of several young doctoral students whom they closely followed and gave him all the confidence in the elaboration of doctoral theses at the Doctoral School of Biology at "Babeş-Bolyai" University in Cluj.

Dan Munteanu PhD left us, as an inheritance, the memory of a model of man, researcher, close colleague, a good Transylvanian Romanian by conscience and faith, a man of hope, a modest, quiet one, who also knew what good jokes were. Also, Dan Munteanu left us, as an inheritance, the Ornithology Society of Romania which he founded, being its President, including it in international structures and around which he mobilized all bird lovers in the country. And he left us his work of reference, such as:

- *Aves*, in *Fauna României*, Vol. XV, Fascicle 2 (Galiformes and Ciconiiformes), Romanian Academy Publishing House, Bucharest (2015);
- *Conspectul sistematic al avifaunei clocitoare din România* [Systematic summary of the hatching avifauna of Romania], Alma Mater Publishing House, Cluj (2012);
- *O istorie a nomenclaturii zoologice* [A history of the zoological nomenclature], 2nd edition, Alma Mater Publishing House, Cluj-Napoca: 157;
- *Păsări rare, vulnerabile și periclitate în România* [Rare, vulnerable, endangered birds in Romania], Alma Mater Publishing House, Cluj (2009);
- *Păsările din România și Europa* [Birds of Romania and Europe] (Hamlyn Guide – Romanian edition) (Romanian Ornithological Society, 1999);
- *Păsări* [Birds], chapter in *Red Book of the Vertebrates of Romania*, Academia Română Publishing House, Bucharest (2005);
- *Arii de importanță avifaunistică din România – Documentații* [Areas of avifaunal importance of Romania – Documentations], Alma Mater Publishing House, Cluj (2004);
- *Atlasul păsărilor clocitoare din România* [Romanian hatching bird atlas], in *Publicațiile Societății Ornitologice Române/2002* [Publications of Romanian Ornithological Society], Cluj-Napoca: 16.

In the series of publications of the *Romanian Ornithological Society* he published two editions (1997 and 2000) on *Etimologia numelor științifice ale păsărilor din fauna României* [Etymology of Scientific Names of Romanian Birds], as well as three editions (1992, 1998 and 2001) of a *Dicționar poliglot al speciilor de păsări din România* [Poliglot Dictionary of Bird Species in Romania]. After his own confessions, the idea of printing this dictionary came to him at the ornithological camps organized by S.O.R. in Istria, where youngsters from Romania, Hungary

and Germany could hardly communicate, because of the lack of a common language of scientific names. That is why, besides scientific names, the author also mentioned vernacular names in English, French, Romanian, German and Hungarian.

Also, Dan Munteanu PhD was the author of the book *O istorie a nomenclaturii zoologice* [A History of the Zoological Nomenclature] (1999) – a history of the development of the animal nomenclature from antiquity, the Middle Ages, to the *International Code of Zoological Nomenclature of the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature*.

There were many more projects to be carried out, including the coordination of a new fascicle on the Aves Class (in the Romanian Fauna Series), a project that will be continued by the younger ornithologists.

Considering both the important scientific and social achievements and the valuable projects remaining on his desk, the biological scientific community in the country and abroad has remained poorer, without the MAN Dan Munteanu, a model of fairness, morality, generosity and modesty – a lovable mentor respected by many young ornithologists, whom he encouraged and supported unconditionally.

We all thank our beloved colleague and friend for everything he left us. Those who have known and appreciated you, those you collaborated with, those who have been your colleagues in the passage of time will proudly keep you in the pantheon of their mind and heart as one of the remarkable personalities with whom they were contemporaries. Your flight to the heavens was a great sorrow to us, but this is the way of life!

Our sincere sympathy to his beautiful family that he was so attached to.

Farewell, Dan Munteanu!

Prof. Dr. Marian – Traian GOMOIU, Member of the Romanian Academy
Dr. Dumitru MURARIU, Corresponding Member of the Romanian Academy
Dr. Sanda MAICAN, Institute of Biology Bucharest of Romanian Academy



FLORICA PORUMB PhD
(November 27, 1928 – June 7, 2018)

A life dedicated to the Black Sea zooplankton

In the latter half of the 20th century and at the beginning of our century, an important direction of the Romanian biological oceanography research was dominated by the personality of a lady born and raised in Oltenia, with higher education in Transylvania – in Cluj and with all her activity carried out from youth up to the end of her life at the seaside in Dobrogea. This is Florica Porumb, a passionate explorer of the Black Sea zooplankton, of the myriad of microscopic organisms floating in the mass of water – necessary food for a great many fishes.

Florica Porumb PhD has made her authority felt by the people around her by playing an important role in the scientific community of marine biologist researchers, who do their duty discreetly, competently and devotedly, favourably influencing not only the work, but also the lives of the others. For years on end she served the Marine Institute “Prof. Ioan Borcea” at Agigea (known as Agigea Station), then the Romanian Marine Research Institute, which became the Marine Research Institute “Grigore Antipa” later. From time to time, even after she retired (March 1986), Florica Porumb and her husband, Ioan Porumb, an ichthyologist, former researcher at the same institution, went to visit the laboratories where they worked for a lifetime to see the library again and the former colleagues. As I saw them, from the

window of my second-floor lab at GeoEcoMar Institute, a neighboring building, where I was working, they appeared as a classical photo of a stately, self-confident pair with an impeccable, upright posture, walking quietly along the alley which leads to the institute... After visiting the “Grigore Antipa” Marine Research Institute, they used to come to GeoEcoMar Institute. We would have a cup of coffee together, chat about weather, health, about our future plans, news about our former colleagues and, every time, we parted promising to meet again. After Ioan Porumb left for eternity (July 17, 2011), I communicated with Florica Porumb only on the phone. Until one day, when her son answered my call... Mrs. Porumb had left us forever, just the day before, on June 7th, after the sufferings she had boldly and optimistically faced for months, and still at the work table. She would have been 90 years old quite soon...

Florica Porumb (born Buclea) came to the world on 27th November 1928 in the town of Caracal, the capital of the former Romanați county, parents being Alexandrina (housewife) and Florea (non-commissioned officer). Her father fought in the World War I at Mărășești and was a prisoner of the Germans, and, between World War I and World War II, he worked as a career officer at the Sibiu military station – then he retired to Caracal; her father being subject to the rigors of the army changed the station, moving with his family from one place to another. Thus Florica Porumb attended the Primary School at Piatra Olt and the high school in Craiova.

After graduating from “Elena Cuza” High School in 1947, Florica Porumb became a student at the Faculty of Natural Sciences of the “Victor Babeș” University in Cluj, Department of Biology-Zoology, which she graduated with a diploma of merit in 1952. The Professors of the University in Cluj – Vasile Radu, Eugen A. Pora, Emil Pop, Eugen Ghisa, Victor Pop, Marcu Oreste, Ion Ciobanu, Victor Preda and others were renowned scientific personalities, true scholars and patriots; they had thorough training and life experience in downtrodden times – the war and the tearing of the country, and especially, afterwards, the establishment of the communist regime; these Professors formed a team of excellence honoring the institution that had returned from exile and they urged the students to learn thoroughly and acquire knowledge profoundly. In addition to the study and the strong impression that these personalities had on her, she also remembered the harsh winters with shortage of fuel, food shortage and little money, specific to the post-war times.

The future biologist specialist in zoology – Florica Porumb was formed under these conditions.

Steps of affirmation

Immediately after graduating with a diploma of excellence, Florica Porumb was assigned in Bucharest, at “Casa Scânteii”, but under the strong influence of her Professors – especially Acad. Eugen Pora, she wanted to embrace a biological research career at the Black Sea. In order to do this, she requested and obtained the

modification of the governmental assignment for the Fisheries and Pisciculture Institute set up in Constanța by the new regime. She came to Constanța and was appointed at the young faculty as a principal university lecturer at the Department of Biology, Chair of Hydrobiology, for the period 1952–1953. She lived in the building of the Institute (in a former cell on the first floor – now the Episcopal Palace) in Constanța until 1953. It so happened that, at the Fisheries and Pisciculture Institute, she made the acquaintance of Ioan Porumb – researcher at the Marine Zoological Institute of Agigea and Professor's assistant (1950–1953), collaborator of Prof. Sergiu I. Cărbăușu for the ichthyology courses at the Fisheries and Pisciculture Faculty; the two young people married in Constanța on 2nd March 1953, then Florica Porumb moved to Agigea Zoological Institute, where her husband lived. The Institute was to become for the young scientist, for a long while, a working place and a welcoming home for many scholars from the country and abroad, who came for the summer holidays to the seashore not only for the benefits of thalassotherapy, but also for meeting and discussing present day problems, or experimenting and testing working hypotheses they had previously thought over (Gr. Antipa, C. Antonescu, Al. Borza, C. Brătescu, M. Băcescu, S. Cărbăușu, N. Cosmovici, M. Celan, R. Codreanu, M. Gușuleac, N. Gavrilescu, Constantin Motaș, E. Macovschi, Eugen A. Pora, V. Radu, I. Simionescu, I. Tuculescu and many others). In 1953 she was promoted laboratory chief at the same faculty.

Certified as a junior assistant, Florica Porumb was appointed at the Marine Zoological Institute “Prof. I. Borcea” at Agigea in September 1953, through the decision of the “Al.I. Cuza” University of Iași, and she was also a scientific researcher of the Committee of Hydrobiology at the Romanian Socialist Republic Academy for the period 1955–1956. Since 1956 she worked as a scientific researcher at the “Prof. I. Borcea” Marine Research Institute – Agigea, occupying the position of principal scientist (1961–1962) and Head of the Plankton Section (1962–1970). During all these years, Florica Porumb studied the organisms living in the water mass, focusing on the zooplankton qualitative, quantitative and dynamic structure as well as on its role as food for planktonophagous fish; she also carried out a didactic work.

Starting with March 1st, 1970, following the integration of Agigea Station with all its infrastructure and the entire staff within the structure of the newly established Romanian Marine Research Institute (IRCM) in Constanța, Florica Porumb occupied the position of a scientific researcher until 1975, when she became a senior scientific researcher (1975–1987).

On the 1st March 1956, their only child – Dan, was born in the Porumb family, and the work of the two researchers was completed. At the Agigea Institute, where, in those years, in the summertime, remarkable personalities of the Romanian biology came, the child's witnesses to his growing up were: Mrs. and Prof. Pora, Mrs. and Dr. Băcescu, Mr. Mrs. and Prof. Motaș, Mrs. Prof. Necrasov, Mrs. and Prof. Cărbăușu and many others who cultivated special relationships with the Porumb family. Living at the Institute, the Porumbs raised their child as if he were

an emblem of the institution and also had the necessary time for their research. After Dan graduated from primary school, the urgent need for more favorable school conditions than those offered by the Agigea General School emerged for the family. In December 1966, the family moved house to Constanța. This environmental change provided Dan with much better conditions for intellectual and social development. The two Porumb researchers kept their enthusiasm for ocean research, although they also they had to commute to and from Agigea Institute... Looking back, it seems odd that their son did not take into his parents' footsteps; maybe he witnessed too much of the vicissitudes of the environment and the hardships of the job. It is difficult to say.

Florica Porumb's scientific authority, long-standing experience in research and her firm character, decisive in taking decisions, and also her impartiality were strong merits in her being appointed Head of the Ecology, Aquacultures and Acclimatization Laboratory of the Romanian Marine Research Institute in Constanța for the period 1977–1979. Throughout this period, she was responsible for research topics and objectives on the knowledge of the zooplanktonic populations in the Black Sea and partly the Mediterranean Sea and the Indian Ocean, the role of these populations as food for planktonophagous fish, and the conservation and protection of the marine environment.

After retirement, she continued her research activity at the Institute for several years, benefiting from access to the resources necessary for scientific projects.

Scientific activity

Imposing on herself a lifestyle based on professional training and self-improvement, Mrs. Florica Porumb obtained, in 1975, the scientific title of Doctor of Biological Sciences at the Institute of Biological Sciences of the Romanian Socialist Republic Academy in Bucharest with the dissertation "***Researches on seasonal dynamics and nictemeral variations of the main species of Copepoda from the Romanian Black Sea waters***" under Acad. Mihai C. Băcescu's scientific coordination. Further on, we will briefly illustrate the scientific activity carried out by Florica Porumb over the years, through the themes she approached and her writings (within brackets – reference to the bibliographic list):

- Structure of zooplanktonic populations – trophology, production of the main components (37), (39), (42). In particular, she studied the composition of the zooplankton in the western Black Sea region by species and by component groups: the dynamics of population development over time (years, seasons, days), nictemeral migration, distribution of zooplankton in the mass of water from the shore to the depth of 200 m;
- Pelagic Copepoda biology – dynamics, fertility, production, productivity (21), (22), (23), (25), (26), (27);
- Zooplankton dynamics in the waters of the Romanian Black Sea continental shelf (31), (49), (50);

- Zooplankton nictemeral migrations of Copepoda (*Pseudocalanus elongatus*, *Centropages ponticus* and *Acartia clausi*) (12), (13), (15), (17);
- Reproduction, development and distribution of pelagic copepoda (16);
- Zooplankton – phytoplankton relationships (28);
- Long-term dynamics of zooplankton productivity (36), (41), (44), (51);
- Development of zooplankton under conditions of eutrophication (29), (48);
- Zooplankton living above rock crevices (18), (24);
- Dynamics of meroplankton (20);
- Southern Mediterranean Zooplankton (Libyan coast); between 1975 and 1976, she participated in a Mediterranean Zooplankton Research Program on board the B22 “Delta Dunării” during 3 expeditions in the waters of the Libyan coast (33), (34), (35) (53);
- Research in the Western Indian Ocean – R.P. Mozambique (32);
- Biochemistry of Cystoflagellat *Noctiluca scintillans* (46), (47);
- Efficiency of energy transformations in the pelagic ecosystem (43);
- New species in the Romanian Black Sea waters (4), (5), (9), (10), (30);
- Identification and signaling of two species of parasitic Copepoda – *Modiolicola insignis* and *Septosaccus cuenoti*, in the *Mytilus galloprovincialis* cavity;
- Adult identification of *Saculina carcini* (Cirripedia, Sacculinidae) – Ecto-parasite *Rhizocephala Castrator* of Crabs (Decapoda);
- Identification and description of 4 species of Copepoda from Fam. Monstrillidae, previously unknown at the Romanian seaside;
- Identification of the *Verruca* (Cirripedia, Pedunculatae) larva, previously unknown;
- Identification of two species of jellyfish (*Rathkea octopunctata* and *Sarsia tubulosa*).
- The importance of zooplankton for pelagic fish distribution (40);
- Zooplankton as sprat food (38), (45);
- Fish biology – *Trachurus mediterraneus ponticus*;
- History of Romanian marine research (52).

Florica Porumb's activity has resulted in more than 70 scientific papers published in specialized journals in the country and abroad. In addition, she participated in a large number of scientific research contracts under the aegis of the institutions for which she worked. Due to the contractual provisions, some of the scientific works elaborated under the contracts were not published, being capitalized and belonging exclusively to the financing units. Starting from the annual and seasonal quantitative data of planktonic biomass, Florica Porumb evaluated the amount of food consumed by fish populations (mostly sprat). This has made it possible to produce fishing forecasts and establish the exploitable quantities of fish without endangering stocks. Based on the zooplankton data, she determined the

daily, seasonal and annual feed rations, then the weight gain of consumers, their production and consumption for metabolic needs. The results obtained through these researches also supported further research on fish migration and their trophology, aspects also dealt with by Ioan Porumb, with whom Florica Porumb, colleague and wife, collaborated a lifetime, forming an exemplary family, a model in society.

Among Florica Porumb's most important papers we can count 70 publications: in periodical scientific journals – 54, general culture magazines – 11 and books – 5; 63% of her scientific work as the only author, 19% as the first author, 14% as the second author and 4% co-author, collaborating with a number of researchers, including Ioan Porumb, Teodora Onciu, Adriana Petran, Elena Ialina and others.

She published the first scientific paper in 1956 in collaboration with physiologists, Professors of the "Victor Babeş" University of Cluj – Acad. Eugen A. Pora and Prof. Roşca, together with the ichthyologist Ioan Porumb; the paper encompasses the results of research on the biology of horse mackerel in the Black Sea, the co-author – the young researcher Florica Porumb dealing with the pelagic fish food during a fishing season (1).

The papers signed by Florica Porumb PhD in the five books concern the following aspects:

- Integrated pelagic Copepoda study at the Romanian Black Sea waters – PhD thesis (56);
- Correlation between the distribution of pelagic Copepoda and heterotrophic bacteria (57);
- Black Sea Planktonic Associations – overview (58);
- Monograph of the Black Sea horse mackerel (*Trachurus mediterraneus ponticus*) (59);
- Development of populations of Cystoflagellate *Noctiluca scintillans* under the influence of the Romanian Black Sea waters eutrophication (60).

As the contents of most of the papers published by Florica Porumb have been exposed above, we would like to make only one remark on her history of Romanian marine research, which contains a bibliography of over 3000 titles, being a very useful tool for all those researchers interested in the oceanography of the Black Sea.

Educational activities

In addition to research activities, Florica Porumb took part in the students' theoretical and practical workshops for students (56) and guided them during their diploma work.

It is worth mentioning the work carried out by Florica Porumb as a member of the Romanian Naval League and her collaboration with the Marine Culture and Information Magazine "*Marea Noastră*" (Our Sea), founded by Eugen Botez (Jean Bart) in 1932, in which she published 11 scientific articles. She was the sole author of eight papers, and in three papers she signed in collaboration with her husband Ioan Porumb. The subjects they developed are varied and cover the following aspects:

- Plankton in Black Sea waters (68), (62), (66);
- Current status of Black Sea ecosystems (60);
- Knowledge of the biology of mammals in the Black Sea through Romanian research (65);
- The Black Sea and the ancient world (64);
- Portraits of Romanian scholars who studied the marine life (Gr. Antipa, I. Borcea, M.C. Băcescu, E.A. Pora), (63), (67);
- Historical outline of Romanian marine research in the Black Sea (61), (69) or the Mediterranean Sea (70).

Honour

Florica Porumb PhD was a member of the following national and international scientific organizations:

- *International Commission for the Exploration of the Mediterranean – CIESMM*, a well-known European Organization, founded a century ago at the initiative of a special committee chaired by Prince Albert I of Monaco in 1914, which Romania joined as a founding member in 1925, through the authority of the scientists Emil Racoviță and Grigore Antipa;
- *The CIESM Plankton Science Committee*, Monaco;
- *The Romanian Naval League (LNR)* – established in 1928 as a patriotic, cultural and public utility association, a nonprofit, non-governmental organization (NGO), outside the policies of any party, a forum for all those who love both the sea and the Danube River, and are spiritually linked to maritime and river activities, a means of promoting the Romanian maritime values and traditions, a promoter of marine culture, aiming to develop in society a state of mind, attitudes and mentalities favorable to the navy.

Passing into eternity at a venerable age, Florica Porumb left behind an important scientific work and an example of a model scholar, who honored her profession with honesty and passion, publishing numerous scientific papers, novel pages, ideas and projects in progress. She was among the first researchers in the study of the Black Sea zooplankton, an important dynamic system in Black Sea productivity, greatly affected by global climate change, a system representing the trophic basis of planktonophagous fish. She left behind new knowledge about the Black Sea biology. Turning into account Florica Porumb's work and continuing the marine researches in her direction are necessary. And she also left behind the memory of a well-informed researcher of high professional culture, an optimistic and generous soul.

Prof. Dr. Marian-Traian GOMOIU,
Member of the Romanian Academy

Acknowledgments. Warm thanks to engineer Dan PORUMB for all the biographical data provided with all due consideration.

Works published by Florica Porumb PhD

A. Scientific papers published in periodicals

1. PORA A.E., PORUMB I., **PORUMB F.**, ROSCA D., 1956 – Biologia stavridului din Marea Neagră. Hrana stavridului în timpul unui sezon de pescuit (mai–octombrie 1953). *Analele Inst.Cerc.Pisc. București*, **1**: 187–205.
2. PORUMB I., **PORUMB F.**, 1958 – Contributions à l'étude de la biologie des poissons pélagiques en face du littoral roumain de la mer Noire. *Ann.St.Univ. "Al.I. Cuza" Iași*, ser.n.sect.2, **4**, 1: 77–101.
3. PORUMB I., **PORUMB F.**, 1958 – Contributions à l'étude de la biologie des poissons pélagiques en face du littoral roumain de la mer Noire. *An.St. Univ. "Al.I. Cuza" Iași*, ser.n., sect.2, **4**, 1: 77–101.
4. **PORUMB F.**, 1959 – *Rathkea octopunctata* (M. Sars), o nouă meduză pentru apele românești ale Mării Negre. *Comunicările Acad. RPR, București*, **9**, 10: 1037–1040.
5. **PORUMB F.**, 1959 – Asupra prezentei larvei *Verruca (Cirripidae Pedunculatae)* în apele românești ale Mării Negre. *Lucr. Ses. St. Stat. Cercet. Marine "Prof. I. Borcea" Agigea, Univ. "Al.I. Cuza" Iași*: 309–313.
6. PORUMB I., **PORUMB F.**, 1959 – Contribution à l'étude de la biologie du tassergal (*Pomatomus saltatrix*) de la mer Noire. Rythme de croissance chez les jeunes individus. *Lucr.Ses.St.Stat.Cercet.Marine "Prof. I. Borcea" Agigea, Univ. "Al.I. Cuza" Iași*: 511–516.
7. PORUMB I., **PORUMB F.**, 1959 – Contributions à l'étude de la biologie de la pélamide commune (*Sarda sarda*) de la mer Noire. *Lucr.Ses.St.Stat.Cercet.Marine "Prof. I. Borcea" Agigea, Univ. "Al.I. Cuza" Iași*: 517–526.
8. PORA A.E., ROSCA D., PORUMB I., **PORUMB F.**, 1961 – Biologiya chernomorskoj stavridy. Izmenenie lipidnogo obmena v zavisimost' ot sezona (maj–oktiabr' 1953). *Voprosy ikhtiologii, Moskva*, **17**: 83–91.
9. **PORUMB F.**, 1961 – Contribuții la cunoașterea Fam. *Monstrillidae* din dreptul litoralului românesc al Mării Negre. *Comunicările Acad. R.P.R., București*, **11**, 10: 1223–1231.
10. **PORUMB F.**, ANDRIESCU I., 1964 – Sur la présence de deux Copépodes dans la cavité palléale des moules (*Mytilus galloprovincialis* Lmk.) dans les eaux roumaines de la mer Noire. *An. St. Univ. "Al.I. Cuza" Iași*, ser.n., sect.2, **10**, 1: 93–100.
11. IORGULESCU A., ONCESCU M., SERBANESCU O., **PORUMB F.**, 1965 – Prođuși de fiziune gama activi identificați în planctonul marin. *St. și Cercet. Biol. Ser. Zool., București*, **17**, 6: 571–577.
12. **PORUMB F.**, PORUMB I., 1965 – Recherches concernant la migration nycthémerale du zooplancton marin d'été. *Rev.Roum.Biol., sér.zool., Ed. Acad.R.S.R., București*, **10**, 9: 361–371.
13. **PORUMB F.**, PORUMB I., 1966 – Cercetări asupra migrației nictemerale a zooplanctonului marin de vara. *St.Cercet.Biol.,ser.zool., Ed. Acad. R.S.R., București*, **18**, 1: 65–75.
14. PORUMB I., **PORUMB F.**, 1966 – Contribuții la cunoașterea hranei lui *Belone belone euxini* din Marea Neagră. *Studii-cercet. Biol., ser. Zool., Ed. Acad. RSR, București*, **18**, 2: 113–118.
15. **PORUMB F.**, 1968 – Beitrag zur kenntnis der Senkrechten nikttemeralen Migrationen der Cladoceren aus dem Schwarzen Meer (Sommerperiode). *Lucr. Ses. St. Stat. Cercet. Marine "Prof.I.Borcea" Agigea, Univ. "Al.I. Cuza" Iași*: 267–272.
16. **PORUMB F.**, 1968 – Contribution à l'étude de la reproduction, du développement et de la répartition des Copépodes pélagiques dans la zone néritique du littoral roumain de la mer Noire (*Acartia clausi* et *Centropages kroyeri*). *Trav. Mus. Hist. Nat. "Gr. Antipa", Le Centenaire Grigore Antipa, București*, **8**: 243–250.
17. **PORUMB F.**, 1968 – Contributions à la connaissance des migrations nycthémerales de quelques Copépodes de la mer Noire (*Pseudocalanus elongatus*, *Centropages ponticus* et *Acartia clausi*) pendant l'été. *Rapp. Comm. Int. Mer Médit., CIESM, Monaco*, **19**, 3: 509–511.

18. **PORUMB F.**, 1968 – Recherches sur le zooplancton au-dessus des fonds rocheux du littoral roumain de la mer Noire. *Rapp. Comm. Int. Mer Médit.*, CIESM, Monaco, **19**, 3: 417–419.
19. **PORUMB I.**, **PORUMB F.**, 1968 – La répartition des différentes espèces de Gobies (*Gobius batracocephalus*, *G. cephalarges*, *G. melanostomus*) le long du littoral roumain de la mer Noire. *Rapp. Comm. Int. Mer Médit.*, CIESM, Monaco, **19**, 2: 307.
20. **PORUMB F.**, 1969 – Contributions à la connaissance de la dynamique du meroplancton de la zone sud du littoral roumain de la mer Noire. *Lucr. Ses. St. Stat. Cercet. Marine “Prof. I. Borcea” Agigea, Univ. “Al.I. Cuza” Iași*, **3**: 35–46.
21. **PORUMB F.**, 1971 – Sur la biologie des Copépodes pélagiques des eaux roumaines de la mer Noire. *Cercetări marine, IRCM Constanța*, **1**: 129–147.
22. **PORUMB F.**, 1971 – Sur l’étude de la biologie des Copépodes pélagiques de la zone néritique du littoral roumain de la mer Noire. *Rapp. Comm. Int. Mer Médit.*, CIESM, Monaco, **20**, 3: 395.
23. **PORUMB F.**, 1972 – Contributions à la connaissance de la dynamique des populations et à la production des Copépodes dans les eaux roumaines de la mer Noire. *Cercetări marine – Recherches Marines, IRCM Constanța*, **4**: 57–94.
24. **PORUMB F.**, 1973 – Recherches sur le zooplancton au-dessus des fonds rocheux du littoral roumain de la mer Noire (aspect printanier). *Rapp. Comm. Int. Mer Médit.*, CIESM, Monaco, **21**, 8: 533–535.
25. **PORUMB F.**, 1974 – Production des Copépodes pélagiques dans les eaux roumaines de la mer Noire. *Rapp. Comm. Int. Mer Médit.*, CIESM, Monaco, **22**, 9: 91–92.
26. **PORUMB F.**, 1976 – Recherches concernant la fertilité de quelques Copépodes pélagiques des eaux roumaines de la mer Noire. *Rapp. Comm. Int. Mer Médit.*, CIESM, Monaco, **23**, 2: 91–92.
27. **PORUMB F.**, 1979 – Production et productivité des Copépodes pélagiques des eaux roumaines de la mer Noire. *Cercetări marine, IRCM Constanța*, **12**: 141–155.
28. **PORUMB F.**, UȘURELU M., 1979 – Relations zooplancton-phytoplancton dans les eaux côtières de la mer Noire. *Rapp. Comm. Int. Mer Médit.*, CIESM, Monaco, **25/26**, 8: 83–84.
29. **PORUMB F.**, 1980 – Développement du zooplancton dans les conditions d’eutrophisation des eaux du littoral roumain de la mer Noire. *V-es Journées Etud. Pollutions, CIESM, Cagliari*: 881–886.
30. **PORUMB F.**, 1980 – Présence de quelques espèces méditerranéennes dans le zooplancton de la mer Noire. *Rev. Roum. Biol., ser. biol. anim., Ed. Acad. R.S.R., București*, **25**, 2: 167–170.
31. **PORUMB F.**, 1980 – Variations quantitatives du zooplancton dans les eaux néritiques roumaines de la mer Noire. *Cercetări marine, IRCM Constanța*, **13**: 103–123.
32. **PAPADOPOL N.**, **PORUMB F.**, 1981 – Report on the results of the researches carried out in the West Indian Ocean on the P.R. of Mozambique continental shelf, September–November 1980. *Halieutica* **2** (18): 7–57.
33. **PORUMB F.**, 1981 – Données concernant la Copépodofaune des eaux de la Méditerranée du Sud. *Rapp. Comm. Int. Mer Médit.*, CIESM, Monaco, **27**, 7: 175–176.
34. **PORUMB F.**, ONCIU T., 1981 – Données concernant la faune des Copépodes des eaux de la Méditerranée du sud. *Rapp. Comm. Int. Mer Médit.*, CIESM, Monaco, **27**, 7: 4–5.
35. **PORUMB F.**, ONCIU T., IALINA E., PETRAN A., 1981 – Sur les quantités de zooplancton dans les eaux de la Méditerranée du Sud. *Rapp. Comm. Int. Mer Médit.*, CIESM, Monaco, **27**, 7: 119–120.
36. **PORUMB F.**, 1982 – Modificări în structura zooplanctonului marin din apele românești litorale cu adâncimi mici, în perioada 1972–1980. *Pontus Euxinus, Studii și cercetări, Constanța*, **2**: 309–310.
37. **PORUMB F.**, 1982 – Production des principaux composants du zooplancton des eaux roumaines de la mer Noire. *Cercetări marine, IRCM Constanța*, **15**: 59–67.
38. **PORUMB F.**, **PORUMB I.**, 1982 – Base trophique zooplanctonique et son importance pour la répartition et la nutrition du sprat dans les eaux devant le littoral roumain de la mer Noire. *Cercetări marine, IRCM Constanța*, **15**: 69–88.
39. **PORUMB F.**, 1983 – Recherches concernant la production du zooplancton dans les eaux de la mer Noire. *Rapp. Comm. Int. Mer Médit.*, CIESM, Monaco, **28**, 9: 225–226.

40. **PORUMB F., PORUMB I.**, 1983 – Importance du zooplancton pour la répartition des poissons pélagiques dans les eaux du plateau continental devant le littoral roumain de la mer Noire. *Rapp.Comm.Int.Mer Médit., CIESM, Monaco*, **28**, 5: 147–148.
41. **PORUMB F.**, 1984 – Evolution à long terme de la productivité secondaire de l'écosystème pélagique de l'ouest de la mer Noire. *Cercetări marine, IRCM Constanța*, **17**: 85–118.
42. **PORUMB F.**, 1985 – Structure trophique du zooplancton dans les eaux du littoral roumain de la mer Noire. *Rapp.Comm.Int.Mer Médit., CIESM, Monaco*, **29**, 9: 225–226.
43. **PORUMB F., PORUMB I.**, 1985 – Efficience de la transformation de l'énergie dans l'écosystème pélagique devant le littoral roumain de la mer Noire. *Rapp.Comm.Int.Mer Médit., CIESM, Monaco*, **29**, 9: 333–334.
44. **PORUMB F.**, 1986 – Niveau de développement du zooplancton dans les eaux roumaines de la mer Noire pendant la période 1970–1984. *Rapp.Comm.Int.Mer Médit., CIESM, Monaco*, **30**, 2: 201.
45. **PORUMB F.**, 1986 – Participation du zooplancton à la nutrition de la population du sprat dans les eaux devant le littoral roumain de la mer Noire. *Cercetări marine, IRCM Constanța*, **19**: 73–90.
46. **PORUMB F.**, 1988 – Dynamique du développement quantitatif de *Noctiluca miliaris* Sur. dans les eaux roumaines de la mer Noire. *Rapp.Comm.Int.Mer Médit., CIESM, Monaco*, **31**, 2: 223.
47. **PORUMB F.**, 1989 – On the development of *Noctiluca scintillans* (McCartney) Kofoid and Swezy under the eutrophication of the Romanian Black Sea waters. *Cercetări marine, IRCM Constanța*, **22**: 247–262.
48. **PORUMB F.**, 1989 – The influence of the eutrophication on zooplankton communities in the Black Sea water. *Cercetări marine, IRCM Constanța*, **22**: 223–246.
49. **PORUMB F.**, 1992 – Evolution du zooplancton des eaux du plateau continental roumain de la mer Noire au cours des dernières trois décennies. *Rapp.Comm.Int.Mer Médit., CIESM, Monaco*, **33**: 266–267.
50. **PORUMB F.**, 1994–1995 – Le zooplancton des eaux roumaines de la mer Noire. *Cercetări marine, IRCM Constanța*, **27–28**: 159–252.
51. **PORUMB F.**, 1996 – Evolution du zooplancton dans les eaux roumaines de la mer Noire durant les dernières décennies. Le degré de sa mise en valeur par les poissons pélagiques. *Analele Statunii Biologice "Prof. I. Borcea" – Agiea*: 47–54.
52. **PORUMB F.**, 2000 – L'histoire des recherches marines Roumaines en mer Noire. *Recherches Marines, IRCDM, Constanța*, **32–33**: 372 pp.
53. **PORUMB F., ONCIU T.**, 2006 – Recherches sur la biodiversité du zooplancton des eaux Méditerranéennes des côtes de la Libye. *Cercetări Marine, INCDM*, **36**: 213–236.

B. Scientific papers published in books

54. **PORUMB F.**, 1975 – Cercetări asupra dinamicii sezoniere și a variațiilor nictemerale ale principalelor specii de copepode pelagice din apele românești ale Mării Negre. *Teza de doctorat, Ministerul Educației și Învățământului, Institutul de Științe Biologice, București*: 368 pp.
55. **PORUMB F., MIRCEA V.**, 1976 – Sootnoshchenie mezhdru rasprostraneniem pelagicheskikh veslonogikh rakoobraznykh i geterotrofnykh bakterii v rumynskikh vodakh Chemogo morya. *Zagryaznenie Solonovotvodnykh Morej, Inst.Morsk.ryb, Izdatelsk.Tsentr, Gdynia*: 431–437.
56. **PORUMB F.**, 1977 – Le zooplancton de la mer Noire. *Biologie des eaux saumâtres de la mer Noire* (E.A. Pora et M. Bacescu eds.), *IRCM Constanța*, **1**: 99–107.
57. **PORUMB F., PETRAN A.**, 1978 – Asociațiile de animale planctonice din Marea Neagră. *Protecția ecosistemelor, Comisia Nat. a R.S.R. pentru UNESCO, Constanța*, **1**: 186–195.
58. **PORUMB I., PORUMB F.**, 1979 – La nourriture du chinchard de la mer Noire. Le chinchard de la mer Noire (*Trachurus mediterraneus ponticus*). *Etude monographique* (E.A. Pora ed.), *IRCM Constanța*, **2**: 549–611.
59. **PORUMB F.**, 1992 – On the development of *Noctiluca scintillans* (McCartney) Kofoid and Swezy under the eutrophication of the Romanian Black Sea waters. *Science of the Total Environment, Elsevier Science Publishers B.V., Amsterdam, Supplement 1992*: 907–920.

C. Papers published in “Marea Noastră” – magazine of culture and information

60. **PORUMB F.**, 1998 – Evoluția ecosistemului Mării Negre în ultimele decenii. Marea noastră, LNR Constanța, an VII, 26: 23–24.
61. **PORUMB F.**, 1998 – Un secol de cercetări marine românești la Marea Neagră. Marea noastră, LNR Constanța, an VIII, 28: 8–9.
62. **PORUMB F.**, 1999 – Aportul cercetătorilor români la cunoașterea fenomenului de poluare a Mării Negre. Marea noastră, LNR Constanța, 33: 28–29.
63. **PORUMB I.**, **PORUMB F.**, 2000 – Academicianul doctor docent MIHAI C. BĂCESCU. Marea noastră, LNR Constanța, 1 (34): 24–25.
64. **PORUMB F.**, 2000 – Marea Neagră în viziunea lumii antice. Marea noastră, LNR Constanța, 4(37): 18–19.
65. **PORUMB F.**, 2000 – Contribuții românești la cunoașterea biologiei mamiferelor din Marea Neagră. Marea noastră, LNR Constanța, an X, 3(36): 21–22.
66. **PORUMB F.**, 2001 – Unele considerații asupra poluării cu hidrocarburi a apelor Mării Negre. Marea noastră, LNR Constanța, an VI nr. 2 (39): 26–27.
67. **PORUMB I.**, **PORUMB F.**, 2002 – Savanți români în slujba cunoașterii vieții acvatice (G. Antipa, I. Borcea, E.A. Pora). Marea Noastră, LNR Constanța, an XII, nr. 2(43): 24–25.
68. **PORUMB F.**, 2002 – Considerații asupra planctonului din apele Mării Negre. Marea Noastră, LNR Constanța, an XII, 1(42): 30–31.
69. **PORUMB F.**, 2003 – Istoricul cercetărilor marine românești la Marea Neagră. Marea Noastră, LNR Constanța, an XIII nr. 1:31–32.
70. **PORUMB F.**, **PORUMB I.**, 2004 – Cercetări marine românești în Marea Mediterană. Marea Noastră, LNR Constanța, an 14, nr. 4(53): 24–25.

EFFICACY OF ENTOMOPATHOGENS AGAINST MOSQUITOES *ANOPEHELES MACULIPENNIS* AND *CULEX PIPIENS* *MOLESTUS* (DIPTERA: CULICIDAE)

MANANA LORTKIPANIDZE*, KAZIM HWSEYNOV**, OLEG GORGADZE*,
MZIA KOKHIA*, MADONA KUCHAVA*

In the recent years, as a result of the increase of anthropogenic influence on the environment, global ecological changes have taken place – climatic rise in temperature, soil erosion, deforestation, desertification etc. We face a rapid increase of pathogenic microorganisms which cause various infections such as malaria, yellow fever, dengue, rift causing great economical damage to many countries. Biological control with entomopathogens can provide a good control of pests, reduce the population of mosquitoes and decrease damage to human health. The efficacy of entomopathogenic nematodes (EPNs) of the species *Steinernema feltiae* and bacterial pesticides: Bitoxybacillin (BTB), Thuringin-2 and Dipel were evaluated in the control of two species of mosquitoes: *Anopheles maculipennis* and *Culex pipiens molestus* in the laboratory conduction. The mosquitoes mortality grew with increase of concentration of bacterial pesticides. On the 5th day with the high concentration of Thuringin-2 and Dipel, mortality rate of insects increased, although it did not reach 100%. The maximum mortality (100%) of mosquito larvae was observed only when exposed to BTB. It can be concluded that suspension of entomopathogenic nematodes of the species *S. feltiae* with dose 100 IJs /per larvae showed slight activity (36%–40%), compared with bacterial pesticides toward the larvae of the indicated mosquito species where the mortality ranged between 45 and 100%.

Keywords: entomopathogenic nematodes, bacterial pesticides, *Anopheles maculipennis*, *Culex pipiens molestus*.

INTRODUCTION

Among blood-feeding arthropods as carriers of causative agents of dangerous infectious diseases, mosquitoes have major importance. Mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae) are the most important groups of arthropods in medical and veterinary fields. They act as vectors of several diseases such as malaria, yellow fever, dengue, etc. Ecological changes connected with global warming produce an essential effect on the thermal conditions of the biotopes of blood-feeding arthropods. In recent years much attention has been given to the study of carriers of pathogenic microorganisms which cause various infections in men and animals.

South Caucasus (Georgia and Azerbaijan) – is characterized as a zone of great geographic and climatic diversity as well as diversity of fauna migrant and drift birds, bats that may cause significant variations in the virus circulation chain and in the forming of ecological niches for pathogenic agents. This paper will discuss the advances in understanding in the application of entomopathogenic

nematodes (EPNs) species *Steinernema feltiae* and bacterial pesticides Bitoxybacillin (BTB), Thuringin-2 and Dipel against mosquitoes: *Anopheles maculipennis* Meigen, 1918 and *Culex pipiens molestus* Forskal, 1775 in laboratory. Generally, application of both pathogens showed encouraging results. The successful widespread use of biological control agents against mosquitoes requires a precise understanding of the ecology of predator/prey and pathogen/host relationships. The opportunistic characteristics of many species, including their ability to take advantage of temporary habitats, coupled with their short generation time, high natural mortality, great dispersal potential, and other R-strategist characteristics, pose difficult problems for any biological control agent (Garcia & Legner, 1999). Mosquitoes typically exploit many aquatic habitats. Often a good biological control agent will have a much narrower range of environmental activity than the target species. Thus, in many situations a number of different biological control agents and/or appropriate methods are necessary to control even one species of mosquito across its range of exploitable breeding sources.

Nematodes. Entomopathogenic nematodes (EPNs) are extraordinarily lethal to many important insect pests, yet they are safe for plants and animals. This high degree of safety means that unlike chemicals, nematode applications do not require masks or other safety equipment; and re-entry time, residues, groundwater contamination, chemical trespass, and pollinators are not issues. Most biological pesticides require days or weeks to kill, yet nematodes, working with their symbiotic bacteria, can kill insects within 24–48 hours. Two families – the steinernematids and the heterorhabditids – are obligate parasites of insects used for microbial control. Juvenile nematodes parasitize their hosts by directly penetrating the cuticle or through natural openings. They then introduce symbiotic bacteria, which multiply rapidly and cause death by septicemia. The symbiotic bacteria break down the insect body, which provides food for the nematodes. After the insect has died, the juvenile nematodes develop to adults and reproduce. A new generation of infective juveniles emerges 8–14 days after infection. Dozens of different insect pests are susceptible to infection, yet no adverse effects have been shown against beneficial insects or other nontargets in field studies (Georgis *et al.*, 1991; Akhurst & Smith, 2002). The entomopathogenic members of the genera *Photorhabdus* and *Xenorhabdus* are represented by endosymbionts of insecticidal nematodes. The first which are typically associated with entomopathogenic nematodes in the genus *Heterorhabditis*, while the other is the species of genus *Steinernema*. The pathogenic action usually involves the release of symbiotic bacteria in the insect hemocoel once the nematodes have actively entered the insect body. Here the bacteria proliferate producing various antimicrobial compounds to contrast the growth of other microorganisms. They also release different enzymes that contribute to the degradation processes in the hemocoel, thus creating an ideal environment for the development of the nematode population (Luca, 2015). A variety of bacterial virulence factors are involved in the interaction with the susceptible host. Different *Photorhabdus* and *Xenorhabdus* species producing an insecticidal toxin complex (Tc) have high potential for pest management (Waterfield *et al.*, 2001). Generally, the Tcs are high-molecular weight and multi-

subunit proteins that include three components, A, B and C, orally active against different insects (French-Constant & Waterfield, 2006). All these components are normally needed to achieve full toxicity (French-Constant *et al.*, 2007).

Bacterial pesticides. Over 90 species of naturally occurring, insect-specific (entomopathogenic) bacteria have been isolated from insects, plants, and the soil, but only a few have been studied intensively. Much attention has been given to *Bacillus thuringiensis*, a species that has been developed as a microbial insecticide. *B. thuringiensis* (*Bt*) occurs naturally in the soil and on plants. Different varieties of this bacterium produce a crystal protein that is toxic to specific groups of insects. *Bt* have an excellent safety record and can be used on crops until close to the day of harvest. *Bt* can be applied using conventional spray equipment but, because the bacteria must be eaten to be effective, good spray coverage is essential. *B. thuringiensis* the majority of bacterial pathogens of insects, widespread in soil, is a lethal pathogen of a range of orders and the most widely used as biological control agent (Hoffmann & Frodsham, 1993). Bitoxybacillin (BTB) has been widely used for a long period of time in forestry and agriculture all over the world. The active components of the bitoxybacillin (BTB) are beta-exotoxin and crystal endotoxin. Dipel delivers outstanding control of more than 30 species of insects, did not harm any other organism and has long been a favorite of organic gardeners and commercial growers. Dipel is biodegradable and has minimal effect on humans, non-target animals or the environment (Grishechina, 2015).

Mosquitoes. Mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae) are the most important groups of arthropods in medical and veterinary fields. They act as vectors of several diseases such as malaria, yellow fever, dengue, filariasis, setariasis and encephalitis, causing serious health problems to humans (Service, 2003, Almeida *et al.*, 2008).

The study has shown that in respect of feeding mosquitoes of west Georgia may be divided into three groups: 1) Species characterized by a wide range of feeders, i.e. these preferring to feed on domestic ungulates, humans, and more or less birds (*Anopheles plumbeus*, *An. elaviger*, *An. maculipennis*, *An. hyrcanus*, *Mansonia richiardii*, *Aedes vexans*, *Ae. cinereus*, *Ae. caspius*, *Ae. geniculatus*); 2) Species which seldom bite humans, but feed mainly on domestic ungulates and poultry (*Culex hortensis*, *Cx. mimeticus*, *Cx. theileri*, *Culiseta annulata*, *Cs. setivalva*) as well as on birds and cold-blooded vertebrates (*Culex territans*); 3) Species feeding in the countryside on birds and domestic ungulates (*Culex pipiens pipiens*) and in towns on humans, birds and carnivores (*Culex pipiens molestus*, *Cx. pipiens pipiens*) (Sichinava, 1978; Gugushvili, 2002).

In the recent time larvae and adults collections were carried out from different habitats using the standard methods in twenty-five localities of seven counties across West Azerbaijan Province (Iran) near Georgia. Overall, 1569 mosquitoes including 1336 larvae and 233 adults were collected from 25 localities. The details of geographical properties were recorded. Five genera with 12 species were collected and identified: *Anopheles claviger*, *An. maculipennis s.l.*, *An. superpictus*, *Culex pipiens*, *Cx. theileri*, *Cx. modestus*, *Cx. hortensis*, *Cx. mimeticus*, *Culiseta longiareolata*, *Ochlerotatus caspius s.l.*, *Oc. geniculatus* and *Uranotaenia unguiculata*.

Due to the geographical location of the West Azerbaijan Province, it comprises different climatic conditions which provide a suitable environment for the establishment of various species of mosquitoes. The solidarity geographical, cultural and territorial exchanges complicate the situation of the province and its vectors as a threat for future and probable epidemics of mosquito-borne diseases (Farahnaz Khoshdel-Nezamiha *et al.*, 2014). The objective of this study was to evaluate the susceptibility of entomopathogenic nematodes of the species of *S. feltiae* and action of bacterial pesticides: Bitoxybacillin (BTB), Thuringin-2 and Dipel against Mosquitoes – *Anopheles maculipennis* and *Culex pipiens molestus* in the laboratory conduction.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Larval collection. The larvae of mosquitoes were collected from June to August 2017, in the village Chiauri on the border of Georgia – Azerbaijan, 08.00 to 11.00 AM by using standard dipper (350 ml) and eye dropper. After that mosquitoes were transferred into a closed container, sent to the laboratory and placed within a few cups into cages to obtain F1 generation (Silver, 2008). In urban areas, larvae were collected from barrels and open sewage reservoirs. Rural populations came from flood plains, ditches and ground pools in forests and meadows. The samples were mounted and identified by systematic keys (Shahgudian, 1960; Zaim & Cranston, 1986; Azari-Hamidian & Harbach, 2009). Mosquitoes *Anopheles maculipennis* and *Culex pipiens molestus* were counted and identified using standard identification keys of Harbach *et al.*, 1985; Cranston *et al.*, 1987; Harbach, 1988).

Entomopathogenic nematodes (EPNs) of the species *S. feltiae* were reared at $22 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$ in last instars of the wax moth, *Galleria mellonella* (L.) (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae), following the method of Kaya and Stock (1997). IJs were stored at 4°C in sterile distilled water (SDW) and were less 3 weeks old when used in the experiments. The nematode suspensions allowed to acclimatize at ambient room temperature for 24 h prior to exposure to mosquitoes. Quantification of nematodes in the suspension was done using the dilution method (Kaya and Stock, 1997).

For the control of *Anopheles maculipennis* and *Culex pipiens molestus* was used *S. feltiae* with concentration 5000 IJs/mL water (i.e. 100 per larva) and solution of bacterial insecticides BTB, Thuringin-2 and Dipel with dose 0.1, 0.2 and 0.5 separately.

I. Experiment – fifteen larvae of the 3rd–4th stages of both species of mosquitoes were placed individually on a wet filter paper in 10×10 cm diameter Petri dishes. Suspensions of 100 IJs/ per insect were treated in each Petri dish. II. Experiment – solutions of bacterial pesticides with dichlorinated water were placed in glass jars. In the jars the amount of liquid was 300 ml. Fifteen Mosquito larvae of both species were introduced into those solutions.

In the case of biomass control, the larvae were placed only in water. White bread was used as a nutrient (1.5 g per 15 larvae). Each treatment was replicated

four times including the untreated control. The dead larvae of both species of mosquitoes were removed from Petri dishes and glass jars, washed with sterile water, put individually onto 10 White traps and incubated at 25°C until the emergence of a new generation of IJs. The emerging IJs were harvested and counted after 11 to 15 days.

Experiments were carried out in the laboratory conditions at a temperature of $22 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$ and 80% RH. The mortality percentage was recorded and corrected by means of the Abbott formula (Abbott, 1925). One-way ANOVA was used to compare the mortality of mosquitoes. Means were compared at the $P=0.05$ level, and Tukey's test was used to separate means (SPSS, 1999). Arcsine transformation was carried out on mortality (%) before analyses.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The estimate of insect's mortality was recorded on days 3, 4, 5 and 6 after application of pathogens.

Within 4-day exposure to the dose 100/IJs per larvae of *Anopheles maculipennis* mortality was not observed, larvae were infected on days 5 and 6, the mortality rate was 15.6 and 20.5% (Table 1). There was no significant difference between the 5th and 6th days $P < 0.05$. Larval mortality % of *Culex pipiens molestus* ranged from 55.2 to 100.

Table 1
Larval mortality % of *Anopheles maculipennis* after exposure to *S. feltiae* and Bacterial insecticides Bitoxybacillin (BTB), Thuringin-2 and Dipel

<i>Anopheles maculipennis</i>					
Pathogens	Concentration	Mortality %			
		Day 3	Day 4	Day 5	Day 6
<i>S. feltiae</i>	5000 IJs mL/water	–	–	15.6	20.5
	Biological pesticides %				
BTB	0.1	0	30.3	60.2	
	0.2	17.2	44.5	68.5	
	0.5	30.2	76.5	100	
Thuringin-2	0.1	0	18	37.1	
	0.2	11.5	33	66	
	0.5	22.8	74.3	95.3	
Dipel	0.1	0	10	35.5	
	0.2	0	19.2	38.3	
	0.5	10.2	32.3	55.2	
Control	–	–	–	–	–

Using bacterial insecticides BTB, Thuringin-2 and Dipel at a concentration of 0.1%, the first 2 days larvae mortality was also not recorded, although on day 3 larvae mortality rate reached 17.2–30.2 (BTB), 11.5–22.8 (Thuringin-2) and 10.2% (Dipel) caused at 0.2 and 0.5% concentration of bacterial insecticide. However, efficacy of 0.1 and 0.2% concentration of Dipel was not noted.

On the following 4th and 5th days under applying higher concentrations (0.5%) of bacterial insecticides BTB, Thuringin-2 and Dipel mortality rates reached 76.5–100%, 74.3–95.3% and 32.3–55.2%, respectively. The result shows that insecticidal activity of *BTB* of 0.5% concentration against larvae of *Anopheles maculipennis* is much more effective (76.5–100% mortality) than of Thuringin-2 and Dipel. There was no significant difference on days 4, 5 between BTB and Turingin-2 at high concentration (0.5%). A significant difference was observed between BTB and Dipel (76.5–100% and 32.3–55.2%). All bacterial insecticides produced significantly more mortality than the control (Table 1).

The data of activities of *S. feltiae* suspension (100/IJs per larvae) and bacterial insecticides BTB, Thuringin-2 and Dipel against *Culex pipiens molestus* is presented in Table 2.

Table 2
Larval mortality % of *Culex pipiens molestus* after exposure to *S. feltiae* and bacterial insecticides Bitoxybacillin (BTB), Thuringin-2 and Dipel

<i>Culex pipiens molestus</i>					
Pathogens	Concentration	Mortality %			
		Day 3	Day 4	Day 5	Day 6
<i>S. feltiae</i>	5000 IJs/mL water	–	–	13.4	18.5
	Biological pesticides %				
BTB	0.1	0	33	59.2	
	0.2	18.5	39.2	69.3	
	0.5	35.2	81.1	95.5	
Thuringin-2	0.1	0	22.3	56.2	
	0.2	0	40.1	63.4	
	0.5	19.8	72.2	80.5	
Dipel	0.1	0	21.0	32.2	
	0.2	5.5	44.5	37	
	0.5	8.2	34.5	51.2	
Control	–	–	–	–	–

In both experiments the same concentrations of nematode suspension and bacterial insecticides were used. Larvae of *Culex pipiens molestus* were less sensitive against toxin of nematode suspension; the larval mortality reduced about 10.3–10.0% compared with larvae of *Anopheles maculipennis*, while activities of bacterial insecticides at higher concentration (0.5%) against the both insects were almost equal, 81.1–95.5, 72.2–80.5; 34.5–51.2. There was no significant difference on days 4, 5 between BTB and Turingin-2 at high concentration (0.5%). A significant difference was observed between *BTB* and *Dipel* (81.1–95.5; 34.5–51.2). However, BTB, Turingin-2 and Dipel at high concentration (0.5) produced significantly more mortality than in control (Table 1, 2). It is clear that with the increase of concentration of bacterial insecticides, the mortality of insects increases significantly. Larvae of both species are more susceptible to BTB, than to Thuringin-2 and Dipel. When testing high concentration (0.5%) of bacterial insecticides, larval mortality on the day 4 reached 81.1%, 72% and 34.5%.

On the next 5th day with the higher dose of Dipel and Thuringin-2, mortality rate of insects increased as well, although it did not reach 100%. Larval mortality % of *Culex pipiens molestus* ranged from 51.2 to 95.5.

The maximum mortality (100%) of mosquito larvae was observed only when exposed to BTB. In control experiments all survived larvae developed up to the pupa; control pupas were stored in separate cells, where flight of normal imago was observed.

CONCLUSIONS

The action of entomopathogenic nematode *S. feltiae* and bacterial pesticides BTB, Dipel and Thuringin-2 has been studied against mosquitoes *Anopheles maculipennis* and *Culex pipiens molestus*. It can be concluded that a suspension of *S. feltiae* with a dose 100 IJs /per larvae showed a slight activity (36–40%) toward the larvae of the indicated mosquito species compared with bacterial pesticides where the mortality ranged from 51.2–100%. More effective was the action of bacterial pesticides BTB, Thuringin-2 and Dipel, the mosquito's mortality grew with the increase of concentration. The mosquito's larvae were most sensitive to the highest (0.5) concentration of bacterial pesticide BTB.

Our results indicate that generally biological agents are regarded as one of the best means for biological control and successfully used against different species of mosquitoes.

Acknowledgements. The study is part of the project *Introducing environmentally friendly pest-control for bio-protection of agricultural crops in the border areas Azerbaijan (Sheki - Zagatala) and Georgia (Lagodekhi)*, financially supported by the Eastern Partnership Territorial Cooperation Programme Azerbaijan-Georgia. Project #11-O1.A (# 83212864/83273372).

REFERENCES

- ABBOTT W.S., 1925, *A method of computing the effectiveness of an insecticide*. Journal of Economic Entomology, **18**: 265–276.
- AKHURST R., SMITH K., 2002, *Regulation and safety*. Pp.: 311–332. In: Gaugler R. (Ed.), Entomopathogenic Nematology. CABI, New York.
- ALMEIDA A.P.G., GALAO R.P., SOUSA C.A., NOVO M.T., PARREIRA R., PINTO J., PIEDADE J., ESTEVES A., 2008, *Potential mosquito vectors of arboviruses in Portugal: species, distribution, abundance and West Nile infection*. Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene, **102**: 823–832.
- AZARI-HAMIDIAN S., HARBACH R.E., 2009, *Keys to the adult females and fourth-instar larvae of the mosquitoes of Iran (Diptera: Culicidae)*. Zootaxa, **2078**: 1–33.
- CRANSTON P.S., RAMSDALE C.D., SNOW K.R. and WHITE G.B., 1987, *Keys to the Adults, Male Hypopygia, Fourth-Instar Larvae and Pupae of the British Mosquitoes (Culicidae) with Notes on their Ecology and Medical Importance*. Fresh Water Biological Association Scientific Publication, No. 48, Cumbria.
- FARAHNAZ KHOSHDEL NEZAMIHA, HASSAN VATANDOOST, SHAHYAD AZARI, HAMIDIAN, MULOOD MOHAMMADI BAVANI, FARROKH DABIRI, RASOO ENTEZAR MAHDI,

- ALI REZA CHAVSHIN, 2014, *Fauna and Larval Habitats of Mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae) of West Azerbaijan Province, Northwestern Iran*. Journal of Arthropod-Borne Diseases, **8** (2): 163–173.
- FFRENCH-CONSTANT R., WATERFIELD N., 2006, *An ABC guide to the bacterial toxin complexes*. Advances in Applied Microbiology, **58**: 169–183.
- FFRENCH-CONSTANT R.H., DOWLING A., WATERFIELD N.R., 2007, *Insecticidal toxins from Photorhabdus bacteria and their potential use in agriculture*. Toxicon, **49**: 436–451.
- GARCIA R., LEGNER E.F., 1999, *The biological control of medical and veterinary pests*. In: Fisher, T.W. & T.S. Bellows Jr. (eds.), Handbook of Biological Control: Principles and Applications. Academic Press, San Diego, CA, 1046 pp.
- GEORGIS R., KAYA H., GAUGLER R., 1991, *Effect of steinernematid and heterorhabditid nematodes on nontarget arthropods*. Environmental Entomology, **20**: 815–22.
- GRISHECHINA S.D., 2015, *Mechanism and activity spectrum of microbiological preparation batsikol with phytoprotective action*. Agricultural Biology, **50** (5): 685–693.
- GUGUSHVILI G., 2002, *Mosquitoes (Diptera, Culicidae) of Georgia*. Proceedings of the Institute of Zoology, Tbilisi, XXI: 235–237.
- HARBACH R.E., 1988, *The mosquitoes of the subgenus Culex in Southwestern Asia and Egypt (Diptera: Culicidae)*. Contribution of the American Entomological Institute, **24**: 1–240.
- HARBACH R.E., DAHL C., WHITE G.B., 1985, *Culex (Culex) pipiens Linnaeus (Diptera: Culicidae): concepts, type designations, and description*. Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Washington, **87**: 1–24.
- HOFFMANN M.P., FRODSHAM A.C., 1993, *Natural enemies of vegetable insect pests*. Cooperative Extension, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, 63 pp.
- KAYA H.K. & STOCK S.P., 1997, *Techniques in insect nematology*. Pp.: 281–324. In: Lacey L. (ed.), Manual of Techniques in Insect Pathology, Academic Press, San Diego, USA.
- LUCA RUIU, 2015, *Insect Pathogenic Bacteria in Integrated Pest Management*. Insects, **6**: 352–367.
- SERVICE M.W., 2003, *Medical Entomology for Students*. Vol. 3, United Kingdom, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- SHAHGUDIAN E.R., 1960, *A key to the anophelines of Iran*. Acta Medica Iranica, **3**: 38–48.
- SICHINAVA SH.G., 1979, *Preferable hosts of blood-sucking mosquitoes (Diptera, Culicidae) in the Abkhazian ASSR*. Tbilisi, Georgia, Journal Academy of Sciences of the Georgian SSR. Jan., **89** (1): 189–192.
- SILVER B.J., 2008, *Mosquito Ecology: Field Sampling Methods*. Vol. 3. Springer-Verlag Press, New York.
- WATERFIELD N.R., BOWEN D.J., FETHERSTON J.D., PERRY R.D., FFRENCH-CONSTANT R.H., 2001, *The TC genes of Photorhabdus: A growing family*. Trends Microbiology, **9**: 185–191.
- ZAIM M., CRANSTON P. S., 1986, *Checklist and keys to the Culicinae of Iran (Diptera: Culicidae)*. Mosquito Systematics, **18** (3–4): 233–245.
- *** <http://www.biodiversity-georgia.net>

Received September 18, 2018

*Department of Entomopathogens
Institute of Zoology,
Ilia State University, Tbilisi 0162, Georgia
e-mail: manana.lortkipanidze@iliauni.edu.ge

**Azerbaijan State Agricultural University,
Ganja, AZ 2000, Azerbaijan

CONTRIBUTION TO DATABASE OF ALIEN/INVASIVE HOMOPTERA INSECTS IN ROMANIA

IRINA TEODORESCU

Through the compilation of bibliographic sources (295 papers) with 47 personal papers (as one author or co-author, published between 1969 and 2010) and some not yet published data, a total of 219 alien Homoptera species, belonging to 27 families (Acanaloniidae, Adelgidae, Aleyrodidae, Aphididae, Asterolecaniidae, Calophyidae, Cercopidae, Cicadellidae, Cixiidae, Coccidae, Cryptococcidae, Delphacidae, Diaspididae, Eriococcidae, Flattidae, Homotomidae, Issidae, Kermesidae, Margarodidae (Monophlebidae), Membracidae, Ortheziidae, Pemphigidae, Phylloxeridae, Pseudococcidae, Psyllidae, Rhizoecidae and Triozidae), were identified in Romania. Their probable origin zone, biogeographic region, first report in Romania (since 1859 till 2018), and in some cases, other subsequent bibliographical references were indicated. The species were found in greenhouses (on vegetables and ornamental plants), in houses or different other controlled temperature conditions buildings, on ornamental plants, on open field vegetables, and other field crops, in orchards, gardens, parks, forests, as well as on imported tropical or subtropical fruits.

Keywords: Homoptera, alien species, non-native, non-indigenous, Romania, origin area.

INTRODUCTION

The unprecedented phenomenon of increase of the alien species introduction represents a very important problem being the consequence of increased trade, travel, transport and globalization; which has as a result the homogenization of all biota elements (flora, fauna, and microbiota).

Alien taxa are species, subspecies or lower taxa introduced outside of their past or present natural range and outside of their natural dispersal potential. This includes any part, gamete or propagule of such species that might survive and subsequently reproduce. To alien species are used different terms as: non-indigenous, non-native, exotic, foreign, new, allochthonous, neobiota (neozoan, neophyta, neomicrobia). **Cryptogenic taxa** are those of unknown origin which cannot be ascribed as being native or alien. **Invasive taxa** are alien species that can cause significant harm to biological diversity, ecosystem functioning, socio-economic values and human health in invaded regions (Pyšek *et al.*, 2009).

The invasive alien species are recognized as a major threat to global biodiversity (at genetic, population, specific, biocenosis and ecosystems level)

representing the cause of habitats loss and degradation. The impact upon biodiversity comprises native species decline or their elimination, through competition for common resources, through predation, and transmission of parasites or pathogens. Many alien species have an economic impact, being dangerous phytophagous pests for important plant categories, causing enormous economic costs through increased costs associated with their prevention, eradication and control measures, but especially through direct production losses.

Alien species that represent a threat to human health are vectors or etiologic agents for many and dangerous viral, bacterial or fungal diseases.

Biological invasion has also an implication in the accelerated evolution of species; therefore the scientific community is interested in the dimension and importance of the invasion process as well as in its evolutionary implications (Rîșnoveanu & Teodorescu, 2009).

Insecta is a main animal group with implications in invasiveness process, favoured by their flying capacity, small dimensions, resistance to different adverse factors, as well as by a lot of defence mechanisms. The Homoptera is a particular order due to their many protective adaptations (the body covered or transformed in scale or covered with wax secretion), high number of annual generations, live in colonies, high density, fecundity and degree of attack intensity, salive toxicity and their nutrition by plants sap suction. Moreover, the Homoptera have a great impact upon the host plants through their vectorial role in transmission of different diseases.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

This report compiles information published by other authors (bibliographic sources) with present author data. As well as, some unpublished new personal data are added, about outdoors and indoors Homoptera species on ornamental and vegetable plant hosts in glasshouses, houses, private gardens, parks, and on imported tropical or subtropical fruits for consumption.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The aim of this paper is to provide a list of Homoptera insect species introduced in Romania since the 19th century, to 2018, indicating their probable origin area, the biogeographic region, their first report in Romania, and in some cases, attaching other subsequent bibliographical references.

The present list with Homoptera allochthonous species is based on three sources: the collation of bibliographic information (295 papers), the author's publications (47 papers referring to 64 alien species), and some recent personal observations (2010–2018). To collate the bibliographic sources was not easy for me, but I intended to complete the gaps in knowledge about the Romanian alien Homoptera species, adding new information in general database.

A total of 219 species, belonging to 27 families (Acanaloniidae, Adelgidae, Aleyrodidae, Aphididae, Asterolecaniidae, Calophyidae, Cercopidae, Cicadellidae, Cixiidae, Coccidae, Cryptococcidae, Delphacidae, Diaspididae, Eriococcidae, Flattidae, Homotomidae, Issidae, Kermesidae, Margarodidae, Membracidae, Ortheziidae, Pemphigidae, Phylloxeridae, Pseudococcidae, Psyllidae, Rhizoecidae and Triozidae), are presented (Table 1). In function of the species richness (Table 2), the Aphididae, Diaspididae, Coccidae, Eriococcidae, Pseudococcidae and Adelgidae are the largest families (75.34% from the total 219 species).

An almost insurmountable problem for me was to indicate the species origin area, the data being largely different between the bibliographic sources. Therefore, the present table contains unclear or conflicting information on this status (for example unknown, probably, uncertain, doubtful), or underlines the cryptic origin. I deliberately introduced some species in the present table to put in discussion their origin.

We must underline that the data are approximate, as regards the moment of introduction in our country of the Homoptera species, especially due to their small dimension, unintentional introductions, and in many cases, due to the long time interval between the first arrival date and that of detection and report.

According to the published data, only 11 alien species, belonging to Aphididae, Diaspididae and Phylloxeridae families were detected before 1900. The first alien Homoptera species recorded in Romania were *Diaspis echinocacti* (1859) and *Viteus vitifolii* (1864). Between 1900 and 1949, the number increased about fourfold. The biggest number of records was registered in the next period (1950–1999) being tenfold compared to the period before 1900. In the last 18 years the number of recorded species was fivefold comparatively with the period before 1900 (Table 3).

The cases of reported alien species increased after 1950, due to climate changes (global warming) and to different anthropogenic activities. The species with origin in warm zones, especially tropical and subtropical ones, are restricted in greenhouses and in other indoor locations in Romania.

The number of some species reports increased after the first detection dates, due to their certain features (the rapid multiplying, adapting and establishing in new habitat conditions), to spreading capacity in the whole country, and to the special interest of the local researchers and farmers.

Table 1
Alien Homoptera species recorded in Romania between 1859 and 2018

No.	Species	Native area/ Biogeographical region	First record in Romania: years/authors
I	FAMILY ACANALONIIDAE		
1.	<i>Acanalonia conica</i> (Say, 1830)	North America/ Nearctic	2017b (Chireceanu <i>et al.</i>)
II	FAMILY ADELGIDAE		
1.	<i>Adelges</i> (<i>Sacchiphantes</i>) <i>abietis</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	Euro-Siberian / Western Palaeartic	1985b (Lăcătușu <i>et al.</i>); 1997 (Simionescu & Teodorescu); 1998 (Gusic); 2001 (Teodorescu & Simionescu); 2017b (Ciceoi Roxana <i>et al.</i>)
2.	<i>Adelges</i> (<i>Gilletteella</i>) <i>cooleyi</i> (Gillette, 1907)	Western North America / Nearctic	1964 (Simionescu, Mihalache <i>et al.</i> , 2000); 1971 (Simionescu <i>et al.</i>); 1973 (Nanu); 1998 (Gusic); 2006 (Teodorescu et al.); 2008 (Ciochia <i>et al.</i>)
3.	<i>Adelges</i> (<i>Adelges</i>) <i>laricis</i> Vallot, 1836	Alps and, or Central Europe / Western Palaeartic	1981 (Holman & Pintera); 1982, 1995 (Blada); 1997 (Simionescu & Teodorescu); 1998 (Gusic); 2001 (Teodorescu & Simionescu); 2010 (Brudea & Rîșca); 2011 (Vîlcă); 2017b (Ciceoi Roxana <i>et al.</i>)
4.	<i>Adelges</i> (<i>Dreyfusia</i>) <i>nordmannianae</i> (Eckstein, 1890) syn. <i>D. nüsslini</i> (Börner, 1908)	Mountain areas of Caucasus, Northeastern Turkey, Crimea / Western Palaeartic	1973a (Teodorescu); 1975 (Borusiewicz & Capecki); 2008 (Ciochia <i>et al.</i>) http://www.rosilva.ro/articole/daunatori_biotici_ai_padurilor_p_113.htm
5.	<i>Adelges</i> (<i>Dreyfusia</i>) <i>piceae</i> (Ratzeburg, 1844)	Central Europe / Western Palaeartic	1973a, 1974 (Teodorescu); 1975 (Ceianu & Teodorescu); 2008b (Teodorescu); 2012 (CABI/EPPO); 2017b (Ciceoi Roxana <i>et al.</i>) http://www.rosilva.ro/articole/daunatori_biotici_ai_padurilor_p_113.htm
6.	<i>Adelges</i> (<i>Adelges</i>) <i>tardus</i> Dreyfus, 1888	Central and Northern Europe / Western Palaeartic	1998 (Gusic)
7.	<i>Adelges</i> (<i>Aphrastasia</i>) <i>tsugae</i> Annand, 1924	? China, Japan, or North America	2017 (Csóka <i>et al.</i>)
8.	<i>Adelges</i> (<i>Sacchiphantes</i>) <i>viridis</i> Ratzeburg, 1843	Central Europe / Western Palaeartic	1957 (Georgescu <i>et al.</i>); 1985b (Lăcătușu <i>et al.</i>); 1998 (Gusic) http://www.rosilva.ro/articole/daunatori_biotici_ai_padurilor
9.	<i>Pineus</i> (<i>Pineus</i>) <i>abietinus</i> Underwood & Balch, 1964	Western North America / Nearctic	1980 (Drugescu); 2001 (Mihalciuc <i>et al.</i>).
10.	<i>Pineus</i> (<i>Pineus</i>) <i>cembrae</i> (Cholodkovsky, 1888)	? Europe, Japan, China	1998 (Gusic); 2016 (Olenici & Duduman) http://www.rosilva.ro/articole/daunatori_biotici_ai_padurilor_p_113.htm
11.	<i>Pineus</i> (<i>Pineus</i>) <i>pini</i> (Macquart, 1819)	Western and Central Europe / Western Palaeartic Cosmopolitan	1981 (Teodorescu & Ceianu); 1998 (Gusic); 2008b (Teodorescu); 2017b (Ciceoi Roxana <i>et al.</i>)

Table 1 (continued)

12.	<i>Pineus (Pineus) strobi</i> (Hartig, 1937) syn. <i>Eopineus strobus</i> (Hartig, 1837)	Eastern North America / Nearctic	1972, 1998 (Gusic); 1973 (Ceianu & Teodorescu); 2008b (Teodorescu); 2008 (Fora & Lauer); 2008 (Ciochia <i>et al.</i>); 2016 (Olenici & Duduman)
III	FAMILY ALEYRODIDAE		
1.	<i>Aleurothrixus floccosus</i> (Maskell, 1896)	South America / Neotropical	1963 (CIE); 1987 (Ioan <i>et al.</i>)
2.	<i>Aleyrodes prolella</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	Afrotropical	1969 (Dobreanu & Manolache); 1982 (Manolache <i>et al.</i>); 2006 (Teodorescu et al.)
3.	<i>Bemisia tabaci</i> (Gennadius, 1889)	Unknown, probably Asia-Pacific Cosmopolitan	1969 (Dobreanu & Manolache); 1982 (Manolache <i>et al.</i>); 2006, 2010 (Teodorescu et al.); 2006 (Roman & Glăvan)
4.	<i>Bulgarialeurodes cotesii</i> (Maskell, 1896) syn. <i>B. rosae</i> Corbet, 1936	? Western Palaearctic or Oriental	1969 (Dobreanu & Manolache); 1982 (Manolache <i>et al.</i>); 1997 (Teodorescu & Procheș); 2006 (Teodorescu et al.); 2010 (Teodorescu & Matei)
5.	<i>Trialeurodes vaporariorum</i> (Westwood, 1856)	Central America / Neotropical Cosmopolitan	1929 (Anonymous, according to Pașol, 2007); 1955, 1969 (Dobreanu & Manolache); 1972 (Reus); 1973 (Jurcă & Reus); 1974 (Peiu); 1974 (Lăcătușu <i>et al.</i>); 1975 (Iacob <i>et al.</i>); 1976 (Costache & Mihăilescu); 1977 (Reus); 1977 (Lemeni & Alexandrescu); 1979 (Rogojanu & Perju); 1980 (Costescu, <i>In Boguleanu et al.</i>); 1982 (Raicu & Mihăilescu); 1983a, 1984 (Lăcătușu <i>et al.</i>); 1985a (Lăcătușu <i>et al.</i>); 1989 (Perju <i>et al.</i>); 1990 (Byrne <i>et al.</i>); 1997 (Teodorescu & Procheș); 2006 (Teodorescu et al.); 2006 (Roman & Glăvan); 2006 (Mustață Mariana <i>et al.</i>); 2007 (Pașol <i>et al.</i>); 2008c (Teodorescu); 2008 (Pop-Balea <i>et al.</i>); 2010 (Teodorescu & Matei); 2010 (Tucă <i>et al.</i>); 2017a (Ciceoi Roxana <i>et al.</i>); DAISIE www.europe-aliens.org)
IV	FAMILY APHIDIDAE		
1.	<i>Acyrtosiphon caraganae</i> (Cholodkovsky, 1908)	Temperate Asia / Palaearctic	1909 (Henrich); 1981 (Holman & Pintera); 1996 (Ciochia & Boeriu); 1997 (Moglan Veronica); 2000 (Mustață <i>et al.</i>); 2000, 2008 (Ciochia <i>et al.</i>)
2.	<i>Acyrtosiphon ignotum</i> Mordvilko, 1914	Central Asia / Western Palaearctic	1968 (UK CAB International)
3.	<i>Acyrtosiphon loti</i> (Theobald, 1913)	Western Europe / Western Palaearctic	1981 (Holman & Pintera); 2008 (Ciochia <i>et al.</i>)
4.	<i>Acyrtosiphon malvae</i> (Mosley, 1841)	Palaearctic Cosmopolitan	1981 (Holman & Pintera); 2008 (Ciochia <i>et al.</i>)
5.	<i>Acyrtosiphon pisum</i> Harris, 1776	? Supposed European Cosmopolitan	1908 (Borcea); 1909 (Henrich); 1940a (Knechtel & Manolache); 1969 (Manolache <i>et al.</i>); 1974 (Lăcătușu <i>et al.</i>); 1975 (Lăcătușu); 1980 (Teodorescu & Mustață); 1990 (Voicu); 1980 (Perju, <i>in Boguleanu et al.</i>); 1981 (Holman & Pintera); 1982 (Teodorescu); 1982 (CIE); 1991 (Teodorescu); 1992 (Ciochia <i>et al.</i>); 1997 (Teodorescu & Vădineanu); 1997 (Ciochia & Boeriu); 2000 (Mustață <i>et al.</i>); 2006 (Roman & Glăvan); 2008a (Teodorescu); 2008b (Teodorescu); 2008 (Ciochia <i>et al.</i>); 2010 (Fericean <i>et al.</i>)
6.	<i>Aphis craccivora</i> C.L. Koch, 1854	Probable / Western Palaearctic Cosmopolitan	1896 (Henrich); 1897 (Horváth); 1908 (Borcea); 1970 (Ceianu); 1975 (Lăcătușu); 1979 (Rogojanu & Perju); 1981 (Holman & Pintera); 1982 (Săvescu); 1983 (CIE); 1996 (Ciochia & Boeriu); 1998 (Perju); 2000 (Mustață <i>et al.</i>); 2004 (Feraru); 2005 (Barnea <i>et al.</i>); 2005 (Feraru <i>et al.</i>); 2008b (Teodorescu); 2015 (Mărginean Soporan)

Table 1 (continued)

7.	<i>Aphis euphorbiae</i> Kaltenbach, 1843	Unclear ? Europe	1896, 1909 (Henrich); 1897 (Horváth); 1908, 1909 (Borcea); 1981 (Holman & Pintera); 1996 (Ciochia & Boeriu); 2000 (Mustață <i>et al.</i>)
8.	<i>Aphis forbesii</i> Weed, 1889	North America /Nearctic Cosmopolitan	1977 (Holman http://www.naro.affrc.go.jp/archive/niaes/sinfo/publish/bulletin/niaes37-2.pdf); 2008 (Ciochia <i>et al.</i>)
9.	<i>Aphis gossypii</i> Glover, 1877	Unknown Conflicting information Cosmopolitan	1877 (Horvath, 1897); 1968 (UK CAB International); 1969 (Manolache <i>et al.</i>); 1975 (Lăcătușu); 1979 (Rogojanu & Perju); 1980 (Teodorescu & Mustață); 1980 (Costescu, in Boguleanu <i>et al.</i>); 1982 (Săvescu <i>et al.</i>); 1982 (Raicu & Mihăilescu); 1984 (Lăcătușu <i>et al.</i>); 1987 (Mirică <i>et al.</i>); 1987 (Ioan <i>et al.</i>); 1989 (Perju <i>et al.</i>); 1992 (Ciochia <i>et al.</i>); 1997 (Ciochia & Boeriu); 2000 (Mustață <i>et al.</i>); 2005 (Feraru <i>et al.</i>); 2006 (Roman & Glăvan); 2007 (Pașol <i>et al.</i>); 2008b (Teodorescu); 2008 (Ciochia <i>et al.</i>); 2010 (Teodorescu & Matei)
10.	<i>Aphis (Anuraphis) nerii</i> Boyer de Fonscolombe, 1841	Conflicting information ? Eastern Asia ? Mediterranean Cosmopolitan	1940a (Knechtel & Manolache); 1997 (Moglan Veronica); 2007 (Pașol <i>et al.</i>); 2008 (Ciochia <i>et al.</i>); 2009 (Odagiu <i>et al.</i>); DAISIE, www.europe-aliens.org
11.	<i>Aphis pomi</i> DeGeer, 1773	Conflicting information	1969 (CIE); 1969 (Ionescu & Teodorescu); 1972 (Ionescu <i>et al.</i>); 1973a (Teodorescu); 1975 (Lăcătușu); 1980 (Peiu & Filipescu, in Boguleanu <i>et al.</i>); 1985b (Lăcătușu <i>et al.</i>); 1989 (Perju <i>et al.</i>); 1991 (Teodorescu); 2004 (Feraru); 2008a (Teodorescu); 2008b (Teodorescu); 2009 (Bolbose); 2010 (Țucă <i>et al.</i>); 2010 (Bunescu <i>et al.</i>); 2016b (Fericean); 2016 (Fericean & Comeanu)
12.	<i>Aphis spiraeicola</i> Patch, 1914	? / Eastern Palaeartic or Oriental	2005 (Feraru & Mustață); 2009 (Odagiu <i>et al.</i>); 2015 (Mărginean Soporan)
13.	<i>Aphis spiraeophaga</i> Müller, 1961	Central Asia / Western Palaeartic	1981 (Holman & Pintera); 1982 (Bărbulescu <i>et al.</i> , in Săvescu <i>et al.</i>); 1990 (Mustață); 2006 (Barnea <i>et al.</i>); 2009 (Bărbuceanu & Nicolaescu); 1990 (Mustață); 2000 (Mustață <i>et al.</i>); 2008 (Ciochia <i>et al.</i>); 2009 (Brudea); 2011 (Datamining - Invasive Species Databases); 2015 (Mărginean Soporan) 2017b (Ciceoi Roxana <i>et al.</i>)
14.	<i>Aphis viburni</i> Scopoli, 1763	Northern Europe / Western Palaeartic	1896, 1909 (Henrich); 1897 (Horvath); 1908, 1909 (Borcea); 1920-1921 (Brândză according to Stănescu, 2009); 1938 (Borza & Ghiuță); 1939 (Baudys); 1981 (Holman & Pintera); 1996 (Ciochia & Boeriu); 2000 (Mustață <i>et al.</i>)
15.	<i>Aphis vitalbae</i> Ferrari, 1872	Probably Mediterranean / Western Palaeartic	1981 (Holman & Pintera)
16.	<i>Aulacorthum</i> (<i>Neomyzus</i>) <i>circumflexum</i> (Buckton, 1876)	Probably Eastern Asia / Eastern Palaeartic or Oriental Cosmopolitan	1942 (Knechtel & Manolache); 1973 (Szekely <i>et al.</i>); 1997 (Moglan Veronica); 2007 (Pașol <i>et al.</i>); 2008 (Ciochia <i>et al.</i>); 2010 (Teodorescu & Matei); (DAISIE, www.europe-aliens.org)
17.	<i>Aulacorthum solani</i> (Kaltenbach, 1843)	Doubtful ? Western Palaeartic Cosmopolitan Cryptogenic	1908 (Borcea); 1981 (Holman & Pintera); 1992 (Ciochia <i>et al.</i>); 1997 (Ciochia & Boeriu); 2000 (Mustață <i>et al.</i>); 2004 (Feraru); 2008 (Fericean <i>et al.</i>); 2008 (Ciochia <i>et al.</i>); 1982 (Bărbulescu <i>et al.</i> , in Săvescu); 2004 (Călin <i>et al.</i>); 2005 (Barnea <i>et al.</i>); 2010 (Fericean <i>et al.</i>)
18.	<i>Brachycaudus helichrysi</i> (Kaltenbach, 1843)	Conflicting information Cosmopolitan	1908 (Borcea); 1981 (Holman & Pintera); 1943 (Knechtel & Manolache); 1975 (Minoiu); 1990 (Voicu); 1992 (Ciochia <i>et al.</i>); 1993 (Săpunaru <i>et al.</i>); 1998 (Isac <i>et al.</i>); 2004 (Feraru); 2016a (Fericean <i>et al.</i>)

Table 1 (continued)

19.	<i>Brachycaudus mordvilkoii</i> Hille Ris Lambers, 1931	Tropical Asia / Oriental regions	1908 (Borcea); 1981 (Holman & Pintera); 1996 (Ciochia & Boeriu); 2000 (Mustață <i>et al.</i>)
20.	<i>Brachycaudus rumexicolens</i> (Patch, 1917)	Uncertain Europe or North America	1996 (Ciochia & Boeriu); 2000 (Mustață <i>et al.</i>); 2008 (Ciochia <i>et al.</i>)
21.	<i>Brachycolus</i> (= <i>Brachycorynella</i>) <i>asparagi</i> (Mordvilko, 1929)	Eastern Mediterranean / Western Palaearctic	2000 (Mustață <i>et al.</i>); 2008 (Ciochia <i>et al.</i>)
22.	<i>Brevicoryne brassicae</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	Unclear origin; possible Northwestern Europe / Western Palaearctic Cosmopolitan	1897 (Horvath); 1908 (Borcea); 1969 (Ionescu & Teodorescu); 1973a (Teodorescu); 1974 (Peiu); 1974-1975 (Mustață); 1974 (Lăcătușu <i>et al.</i>); 1975 (Constantinescu); 1975 (Lăcătușu); 1977 (Mustață <i>et al.</i>); 1979 (Rogojanu & Perju); 1980 (Teodorescu); 1980 (Teodorescu & Mustață); 1980 (Boguleanu <i>et al.</i>); 1981 (Holman & Pintera); 1984 (Lăcătușu <i>et al.</i>); 1985a (Lăcătușu <i>et al.</i>); 1986 (Mustață); 1986 (Teodorescu & Borcan); 1989 (Perju <i>et al.</i>); 1996 (Mustață); 1997 (Moglan Veronica); 2000 (Mustață <i>et al.</i>); 2001 (Teodorescu & Vădineanu); 2004 (Călin <i>et al.</i>); 2005 (Barnea <i>et al.</i>); 2006 (Teodorescu <i>et al.</i>); 2006 (Antonie & Teodorescu); 2006 (Mustață Mariana <i>et al.</i>); 2006 (Roman & Glăvan); 2007 (Pașol <i>et al.</i>); 2008a (Teodorescu); 2008b (Teodorescu); 2008c (Teodorescu); 2008 (Fericean <i>et al.</i>); 2008 (Ciochia <i>et al.</i>); 2009 (Teodorescu); 2010 (Teodorescu & Matei)
23.	<i>Chaetosiphon fragaefolii</i> (Cockerell, 1901)	Northern America / Nearctic	1958 (Arion); 1982 (Bărbulescu <i>et al.</i> In Săvescu <i>et al.</i>); 2007 (Pașol <i>et al.</i>)
24.	<i>Chromaphis juglandicola</i> (Kaltenbach, 1843)	Temperate Asia / Western Palaearctic	1979 (Rogojanu & Perju); 1996 (Ciochia & Boeriu); 2000 (Mustață <i>et al.</i>); 2014 (Ficker Trif)
25.	<i>Cinara cupressi</i> (Buckton, 1881)	North America / Nearctic Cosmopolitan	2005 (Ciceoi <i>et al.</i>); 2009 (Bărbuceanu & Nicolaescu)
26.	<i>Diuraphis noxia</i> (Kurdjumov, 1913)	Central Asia / Western Palaearctic Cosmopolitan	1981 (Holman & Pintera); 2008 (Ciochia <i>et al.</i>)
27.	<i>Dysaphis apiifolia</i> (Theobald, 1923)	Unclear	1977 (Mustață <i>et al.</i>); 1980 (Teodorescu & Mustață); 2003 (Mitroiu & Andriescu); 2008b (Teodorescu)
28.	<i>Dysaphis plantaginae</i> (Passerini, 1860)	Irano-Turanian / Palaearctic	1897 (Horváth); 1908, 1909 (Borcea); 1937 (Ghiuță); 1981 (Holman & Pintera); 2004 (Ferar); 2004 (Trandafirescu <i>et al.</i>); 2005 (Ferar & Mustață)
29.	<i>Dysaphis tulipae</i> (Fonscolombe, 1841)	South of Europe / Western Palaearctic Cosmopolitan	2007 (Pașol <i>et al.</i>); 2008 (Ciochia <i>et al.</i>) DAISIE, www.europe-aliens.org
30.	<i>Eriosoma lanigerum</i> (Hausmann, 1802)	Probably Eastern North America / Nearctic Cosmopolitan	1923 (To control the <i>Eriosoma lanigerum</i> , Knechtel imported <i>Aphelinus mali</i> parasitoid, from France); 1911 (Brândză, according to Stănescu, 2009); 1957 (Georgescu <i>et al.</i>); 1960 (Săvescu); 1974 (Lăcătușu <i>et al.</i>); 1974 (Peiu); 1975 (CIE); 1979 (Rogojanu & Perju); 1980 (Peiu & Filipescu, In Boguleanu <i>et al.</i>); 1982 (Duvlea & Gusic, In Săvescu <i>et al.</i>); 1989 (Perju <i>et al.</i>); 1996 (Ciochia & Boeriu); 2000 (Mustață <i>et al.</i>); 2004 (Trandafirescu <i>et al.</i>); 2006 (Teodorescu <i>et al.</i>); 2006 (Mustață Mariana <i>et al.</i>); 2007 2007 (Pașol <i>et al.</i>); 2008 (Teodorescu & Vlad Antonie); 2008 (Ciochia <i>et al.</i>); 2009 (Beșleagă & Cârdei); 2010 (Bunescu <i>et al.</i>); 2015 (Chireceanu <i>et al.</i>)

Table 1 (continued)

31.	<i>Hyalopterus amygdali</i> E. Blanchard, 1840	Eastern Asia / Eastern Palaearctic	1996 (Ciochia & Boeriu); 2000 (Mustață <i>et al.</i>); 2004 (Feraru); 2008 (Ciochia <i>et al.</i>)
32.	<i>Hyalopterus pruni</i> (Geoffroy, 1762) syn. <i>Hyalopterus arundinis</i> (Fabricius, 1775)	Mediterranean / Western Palaearctic Cosmopolitan	1908 (Borcea); 1913 (Brândză, according to Stănescu, 2009); 1943 (Knechtel & Manolache); 1969 (Săvescu, as <i>Hyalopterus arundinis</i>); 1969 (Ionescu & Teodorescu); 1970 (Ceianu); 1972 (Ionescu <i>et al.</i>); 1973a (Teodorescu); 1974 (Peiu); 1974 (Lăcătușu <i>et al.</i>); 1975 (Lăcătușu); 1979 (Rogojanu & Perju); 1980 (Teodorescu & Mustață); 1980 (Peiu & Filipescu, <i>In Boguleanu et al.</i>); 1982 (Teodorescu); 1989 (Perju <i>et al.</i>); 1992, 1997 (Moglan Veronica); 1998 (Isac <i>et al.</i>); 2000 (Mustață <i>et al.</i>); 2004 (Feraru); 2005 (Feraru <i>et al.</i>); 2006 (Feraru & Mustață); 2006 (Mustață Mariana <i>et al.</i>); 2008a (Teodorescu); 2008b (Teodorescu); 2008 (Ciochia <i>et al.</i>); 2008 (Fericean <i>et al.</i>); 2009 (Teodorescu); 2009 (Tomescu <i>et al.</i>); 2010 (Bunescu <i>et al.</i>); 2012 (Țucă)
33.	<i>Illinoia azalea</i> (Mason, 1925)	North America / Nearctic	2008 (Ciochia <i>et al.</i>); 2011 (Datamining Invasive Species Databases)
34.	<i>Impatiens asiaticum</i> Nevsky, 1929	Temperate Asia / Western Palaearctic	2008 (Ciochia <i>et al.</i>); DAISIE, www.europe-aliens.org
35.	<i>Macrosiphoniella sanborni</i> (Gillette, 1908)	Temperate Asia / Western Palaearctic Cosmopolitan	1942 (Knechtel & Manolache); 1973 (Szekely <i>et al.</i>); 1982 (Bărbulescu <i>et al.</i> , <i>In Săvescu et al.</i>); 1997 (Teodorescu & Procheș); 2000 (Mustață <i>et al.</i>); 2007 (Pașol <i>et al.</i>); 2008 (Ciochia <i>et al.</i>); 2010 (Teodorescu & Matei); 2017b (Ciceoi Roxana <i>et al.</i>); DAISIE, www.europe-aliens.org
36.	<i>Macrosiphum chlodkovskyi</i> Mordvilko, 1909	Western Europe / Western Palaearctic Cosmopolitan	2000 (Mustață <i>et al.</i>); 2008 (Ciochia <i>et al.</i>)
37.	<i>Macrosiphum daphnidis</i> (Börner, 1940)	Western Europe / Western Palaearctic	2000 (Mustață <i>et al.</i>)
38.	<i>Macrosiphum euphorbiae</i> (Thomas, 1878)	North America / Nearctic Cosmopolitan	1942 (Koehler, as <i>M. koehleri</i>); 1942 (Knechtel & Manolache, as <i>M. koehleri</i>); 1979 (Rogojanu & Perju); 1981 (Holman & Pintera); 1982 (Raicu & Mihăilescu); 1983a, 1985a, 1996 (Ciochia & Boeriu); 1997 (Teodorescu & Vădineanu); 2000 (Mustață <i>et al.</i>); 2001 (Teodorescu & Vădineanu); 2003 (Tomescu & Negru); 2004 (Călin Maria <i>et al.</i>); 2004 (Feraru); 2006 (Teodorescu et al.); 2006 (Antonie & Teodorescu); 2006 (Roman & Glăvan); 2007 (Pașol <i>et al.</i>); 2008a (Teodorescu); 2008 (Ciochia <i>et al.</i>); 2010 (Fericean <i>et al.</i>) DAISIE, www.europe-aliens.org
39.	<i>Macrosiphum rosae</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	Western Europe / Western Palaearctic Cosmopolitan	1896 (Henrich); 1908 (Borcea); 1970 (Ceianu); 1973 (Szekely <i>et al.</i>); 1974 (Peiu); 1975 (Lăcătușu); 1979 (Rogojanu & Perju); 1980 (Teodorescu & Mustață); 1980 (Costescu, <i>in Boguleanu et al.</i>); 1981 (Holman & Pintera); 1982 (Bărbulescu <i>et al.</i> , <i>In Săvescu et al.</i>); 1990 (Mustață); 1989 (Perju <i>et al.</i>); 1997 (Teodorescu & Procheș); 2000 (Mustață <i>et al.</i>); 2004 (Feraru); 2007 (Pașol); 2008 (Ciochia <i>et al.</i>); 2008 (Fericean <i>et al.</i>); 2009 (Bărbuceanu & Nicolaescu); 2009 (Odagiu <i>et al.</i>); 2010 (Țucă <i>et al.</i>); 2017a (Ciceoi Roxana <i>et al.</i>)
40.	<i>Metopolophium albidum</i> Hille Ris Lambers, 1947	Western Europe / Western Palaearctic Cosmopolitan	1981 (Holman & Pintera); 2000 (Mustață <i>et al.</i>); 2008 (Ciochia <i>et al.</i>)
41.	<i>Metopolophium dirhodum</i> (Walker, 1848)	? Europe / Western Palaearctic	1981 (Holman & Pintera); 2000 (Mustață <i>et al.</i>); 2004 (Teodorescu & Vilsan); 2006 (Malschi <i>et al.</i>)

Table 1 (continued)

42.	<i>Myzocallis coryli</i> (Goeze, 1778)	? Europe / Western Palaearctic	1979 (Rogojanu & Perju); 1996 (Ciochia & Boeriu); 1997 (Ioachim & Bobârnac); 1997 (Achim & Parnia); 2000 (Mustață <i>et al.</i>)
43.	<i>Myzus ascalonicus</i> Doncaster, 1946	Perhap South West of Asia / Western Palaearctic Cosmopolitan	2006 (Roman & Glăvan); 2007 (Pașol <i>et al.</i>); 2008 (Ciochia <i>et al.</i>); 2008 (Fericean <i>et al.</i>); (DAISIE, www.europe-liens.org).
44.	<i>Myzus ornatus</i> Laing, 1932 (syn. <i>Myzodes portulacae</i>)	Temperate Asia / Western Palaearctic Cosmopolitan	1942 (Knechtel & Manolache), 1973 (Szekely <i>et al.</i>); 1979 (Rogojanu & Perju); 2006 (Roman & Glăvan); 2007 (Pașol <i>et al.</i>); 2008 (Ciochia <i>et al.</i>); (DAISIE, www.europe-aliens.org)
45.	<i>Myzus persicae</i> Sulzer, 1776	Doubtful is considered to be of East Asian Cryptogenic Cosmopolitan	1896, 1909 (Henrich); 1908, 1909 (Borcea); 1943 (Knechtel & Manolache); 1969 (Ionescu & Teodorescu); 1971 (Duvlea); 1972 (Ionescu <i>et al.</i>); 1973a (Teodorescu); 1973 (Szekely <i>et al.</i>); 1974 (Peiu); 1974 (Lăcătușu <i>et al.</i>); 1975 (Lăcătușu); 1979 (Rogojanu & Perju); 1979 (CIE); 1980 (Teodorescu & Mustață); 1980 (Peiu & Filipescu, <i>In Boguleanu et al.</i>); 1981 (Holman & Pintera); 1982 (Teodorescu); 1982 (Raicu & Mihăilescu); 1983a, (Lăcătușu <i>et al.</i>); 1983b (Lăcătușu <i>et al.</i>); 1984 (Lăcătușu <i>et al.</i>); 1985a (Lăcătușu <i>et al.</i>); 1989 (Perju <i>et al.</i>); 1992 (Ciochia <i>et al.</i>); 1991 (Teodorescu); 1996 (Ciochia & Boeriu); 1997 (Teodorescu & Procheș); 1997 (Moglan Veronica); 1997 (Ciochia & Boeriu); 1998 (Isac <i>et al.</i>); 2000 (Mustață <i>et al.</i>); 2004 (Teodorescu & Vîlsan); 2004 (Feraru); 2004 (Trandafirescu <i>et al.</i>); 2006 (Teodorescu <i>et al.</i>); 2006 (Roman & Glăvan); 2008a (Teodorescu); 2008b (Teodorescu); 2008c (Teodorescu); 2008 (Teodorescu & Vlad Antonie); 2008 (Ciochia <i>et al.</i>); 2008 (Ciochia & Stancă-Moise); 2009 (Teodorescu); 2015 (Mărginean Soporan) DAISIE, www.europe-aliens.org)
46.	<i>Myzus varians</i> Davidson, 1912	Eastern Asia / Eastern Palaearctic	2006, 2015 (Chireceanu <i>et al.</i>); 2011 (Sivu)
47.	<i>Neomyzus circumflexus</i> (Buckton, 1876)	Temperate Asia / Western Palaearctic Cosmopolitan	1942 (Knechtel & Manolache); 1982 (Bărbulescu <i>et al.</i> , <i>In Săvescu et al.</i>); 2000 (Mustață <i>et al.</i>); 2008b (Teodorescu)
48.	<i>Panaphis juglandis</i> (Goeze, 1778) syn. <i>Callaphis juglandis</i> (Goeze, 1778)	Eastern Asia / Eastern Palaearctic	2007 (Martin <i>et al.</i>); 2014 (Ficker)
49.	<i>Pterochloroides persicae</i> (Cholodkovsky, 1899)	Eastern Asia / Eastern Palaearctic	1986 (Hondru <i>et al.</i>); 1994 (EPPO), 2008b (Teodorescu); 2008 (Ciochia <i>et al.</i>)
50.	<i>Rhodobium porosum</i> (Sanderson, 1900)	Tropics and subtropics Cryptogenic	1997 (Teodorescu & Procheș); 2006 (Teodorescu et al.); 2008 (Ciochia <i>et al.</i>); 2009 (Odagiu <i>et al.</i>); 2010 (Teodorescu & Matei)
51.	<i>Rhopalosiphoninus latysiphon</i> (Davidson, 1912)	North America / Nearctic	2008 (Ciochia <i>et al.</i>); 2008 (Fericean <i>et al.</i>); DAISIE, www.europe-aliens.org
52.	<i>Rhopalosiphum insertum</i> (Walker, 1849)	North America / Nearctic Cosmopolitan	1908, 1909 (Borcea); 1981 (Holman & Pintera); 1996 (Ciochia & Boeriu); 2000 (Mustață <i>et al.</i>); 2004 (Feraru); 2005 (Feraru & Mustață); 2008 (Ciochia <i>et al.</i>); 2008 (Fericean <i>et al.</i>)

Table 1 (continued)

53.	<i>Rhopalosiphum maidis</i> (Fitch, 1856)	Eastern Asia / Eastern Palaearctic Cosmopolitan	1944 (Knechtel & Manolache); 1969 (Manolache <i>et al.</i>); 1971 (CIE); 1973a (Teodorescu); 1978 (Lăcătușu <i>et al.</i>); 1979 (Rogojanu & Perju); 1980 (Teodorescu); 1980 (Teodorescu & Mustață); 1981 (Holman, & Pintera); 1982 (Teodorescu); 1982 (Bărbulescu <i>et al.</i> , <i>In Săvescu et al.</i>); 1989 (Teodorescu & Cuțaru); 1989 (Voicu & Mureșan); 1990 (Voicu); 1990 (Mustață); 1996 (Ciochia <i>et al.</i>); 1996 (Ciochia & Boeriu); 1997, 1999 (Mustea); 2000 (Mustață <i>et al.</i>); 2001 (Teodorescu & Vădineanu); 2004 (Teodorescu & Vilsan); 2006 (Teodorescu et al.); 2006 (Antonie & Teodorescu); 2008a (Teodorescu); 2008b (Teodorescu); 2008 (Ciochia <i>et al.</i>); 2009 (Teodorescu).
54.	<i>Rhopalosiphum padi</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	Unclear Supposed to be European Cosmopolitan	1908 (Borcea); 1979 (Rogojanu & Perju); 1981 (Holman & Pintera); 1997 (Moglan Veronica); 2000 (Mustață <i>et al.</i>); 2003 (Malschi <i>et al.</i>); 2004 (Teodorescu & Vilsan); 2006 (Teodorescu et al.); 2006, 2013 (Malschi <i>et al.</i>); 2008 (Ciochia <i>et al.</i>); 2008, 2010 (Fericean <i>et al.</i>)
55.	<i>Rhopalosiphum rufiabdominale</i> (Sasaki, 1899)	Eastern Asia / Eastern Palaearctic	2000 (Mustață <i>et al.</i>)
56.	<i>Schizaphis graminum</i> (Rondani, 1852)	Conflicting information Middle Eastern or Central Asia Cosmopolitan	1908 (Borcea); 1965, 1973, 1975 (Bărbulescu); 1979 (Rogojanu & Perju); 1980 (Teodorescu & Mustață); 1980 (Lăcătușu <i>et al.</i>); 1980 (Pașol, <i>In Boguleanu et al.</i>); 1981 (Holman & Pintera); 1982 (Teodorescu); 1987 (Voicu & Nagler); 1989 (Teodorescu & Cuțaru); 1994 (Teodorescu & Stănescu) 1998a (Teodorescu); 1999 (CABI and EPPO); 2000a (Mustață <i>et al.</i>); 2001 (Teodorescu & Vădineanu); 2004 (Teodorescu & Vilsan); 2006 (Antonie & Teodorescu); 2006 Mustață Mariana <i>et al.</i>); 2006, 2013 (Malschi <i>et al.</i>); 2008a (Teodorescu); 2009 (Mustață & Mustață); 2009 (Malschi); 2010 (Teodorescu)
57.	<i>Tetraneura ulmi</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	Seems to be northwestern Europe / Western Palaearctic	1907 (Brândză, according to Stănescu, 2009, on <i>Ulmus minor</i>); 1939 (Baudys); 1957 (Georgescu <i>et al.</i>); 1979 (Rogojanu & Perju); 1985b (Lăcătușu <i>et al.</i>); 2008 (Teodorescu & Vlad Antonie); 2008d (Teodorescu); 2008 (Ciochia <i>et al.</i>); 2009 (Teodorescu); 2011 (Ilie & Marinescu); 2015 (Chireceanu <i>et al.</i>); 2017a (Ciceoi Roxana <i>et al.</i>)
58.	<i>Thecabius affinis</i> (Kaltenbach, 1843)	? / Palaearctic	1905 (Brândză, according to Stănescu, 2009); 1939 (Baudys); 1957 (Georgescu); 1998 (Gusic); 2008d (Teodorescu); 2008 (Ciochia <i>et al.</i>); 2009 (Teodorescu); http://www.rosilva.ro/articole/daunatori_biotici_ai_padurilor_p_113.htm
59.	<i>Tinocallis</i> (<i>Eotinocallis</i>) <i>platani</i> (Kaltenbach, 1843)	Japan / Eastern Palaearctic	1996 (Ciochia & Boeriu); 2000 (Mustață <i>et al.</i>)
60.	<i>Tinocallis</i> (<i>Sappocallis</i>) <i>saltans</i> (Nevsky, 1929)	Eastern Asia / Eastern Palaearctic	2008 (Ciochia <i>et al.</i>)
61.	<i>Toxoptera aurantii</i> Boyer de Fonscolombe, 1841	? Eastern Asia / Eastern Palaearctic or Oriental Cosmopolitan	2008 (Ciochia <i>et al.</i>); DAISIE, www.europe-aliens.org
62.	<i>Uroleucon</i> <i>erigeronense</i> (Thomas C., 1878)	North America / Nearctic Cosmopolitan	2008 (Ciochia <i>et al.</i>); 2011 (Datamining-Invasive Species Databases)
63.	<i>Uroleucon telekiae</i> (Holman, 1965)	Southeastern Europe, Asia Minor and Transcaucasia / Western Palaearctic	2008 (Ciochia <i>et al.</i>); 2011 (Datamining-Invasive Species Databases)

Table 1 (continued)

V	FAMILY ASTEROLECANIIDAE		
1.	<i>Asterodiaspis variolosa</i> (Ratzeburg, 1870)	Unclear Western Palaearctic or Oriental	1957 (Georgescu <i>et al.</i>); 1972-1973 (Andriescu); 1975 (Rogojanu); 2010 (Fetyko <i>et al.</i>) http://www.rosilva.ro/articole/daunatori_biotici_ai_padurilor_p_113.htm
VI	FAMILY CALOPHYIDAE		
1.	<i>Calophia rhois</i> (Löw, 1877)	Mediterranean / Western Palaearctic	1962 (Dobreanu & Manolache)
VII	FAMILY CERCOPIDAE		
1.	<i>Cercopis sanguinolenta</i> (Scopoli, 1763)	Mediterranean / Western Palaearctic	1975 (Cantoreanu); 1985b (Lăcătușu <i>et al.</i>); 1999 (Popa & Cojocneanu); 2008 (Orosz)
VIII	FAMILY CICADELLIDAE		
1.	<i>Empoasca decipiens</i> Paoli, 1930	Central and Southern Europe / Western Palaearctic	1972 (Nast); 1975 (Cantoreanu); 2002 (Popa); 2006 (Orosz); 2013 (Acs <i>et al.</i>)
2.	<i>Empoasca pteridis</i> (Dahlbom, 1850)	Central Europe / Western Palaearctic	1971 (Cantoreanu); 1999 (Popa & Cojocneanu); 2002 (Popa); 2013 (Acs <i>et al.</i>)
3.	<i>Empoasca vitis</i> (Göthe, 1875)	Conflicting information	1972 (Nast); 1999 (Popa & Cojocneanu); 2002 (Popa); 2008 (Orosz); 2009 (Stan <i>et al.</i>); 2010, 2011, 2013 (Tomoioagă <i>et al.</i>); 2011 (Chireceanu <i>et al.</i>); 2017 (Ficiu <i>et al.</i>)
4.	<i>Japananus hyalinus</i> Osborn, 1900	Eastern Asia (Japan) / Eastern Palaearctic	1961 (Wagner & Franz, the first European records, from Austria and Romania, published in 1961); 1972, 1987 (Nast); 2002 (Popa); 2011 (Chireceanu & Gutue)
5.	<i>Macropsis elaeagni</i> Emeljanov, 1964	Central Asia Western Palaearctic	1984 (Lauterer); 1987 (Nast); Roques Alain, DAISIE Species Factsheet
6.	<i>Orientus ishidae</i> (Matsumura, 1902)	Eastern Asia / Eastern Palaearctic	2017b (Chireceanu <i>et al.</i>)
7.	<i>Phlogotettix cyclops</i> (Mulsant et Rey, 1855)	Unclear ?Asia and Russia	1977, 1981 (Diabola); 2017a (Chireceanu <i>et al.</i>)
8.	<i>Scaphoideus titanus</i> Ball, 1932	Northeastern parts of USA and South Canada /Nearctic	2011b (Chireceanu <i>et al.</i>); 2012 (Ploaie & Chireceanu) 2016 (Orosz & Tôth); 2017a (Chireceanu <i>et al.</i>)
IX	FAMILY CIXIIDAE		
1.	<i>Reptalus panzeri</i> (Löw, 1883)	? Central Europe / Western Palaearctic	2009 (Jović <i>et al.</i>); 2010 (Bertin <i>et al.</i>); 2011b (Chireceanu <i>et al.</i>); 2012 (EPPO); 2013 (Acs <i>et al.</i>)
2.	<i>Cixius nervosus</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	North of Mexico / Neotropical	1975 (Cantoreanu); 2014 (Bartlett <i>et al.</i>)
3.	<i>Cixius pallipes</i> Fieber, 1876	Central and est Mediterranean / Western Palaearctic	1972, 1987 (Nast)
4.	<i>Cixius wagneri</i> China, 1942	? East Mediterranean and Middle East / Western Palaearctic	1987 (Nast)
5.	<i>Hyalesthes obsoletus</i> Signoret, 1865	Middle Asia / Western Palaearctic	1971, 1975 (Cantoreanu); 2011 (Ember <i>et al.</i>)
X	FAMILY COCCIDAE		
1.	<i>Ceroplastes sinensis</i> Del Guerco, 1900	Central or South America (based on cladistic analysis) / Neotropical	1982 (Tudor); DAISIE Species Factsheet (Giuseppina Pelizzari, Germain Jean-Francois)
2.	<i>Coccus hesperidum</i> Linnaeus, 1758 (syn. <i>Lecanium hesperidum</i>)	Tropical, subtropical Cosmopolitan Cryptogenic	1930 (Knechtel); 1982 (Săvescu); 1974 (Peiu); 1980 (Costescu, <i>In</i> Boguleanu <i>et al.</i>); 1982 (Săvescu <i>et al.</i>); 1985 (Kozár); 1989 (Perju <i>et al.</i>); 1993 (Ben-Dov); 1997 (Teodorescu & Procheș); 2006 (Teodorescu <i>et al.</i>); 2008c (Teodorescu); 2007 (Pașol <i>et al.</i>); 2010 (Teodorescu & Matei); 2010 (Tucă <i>et al.</i>)

Table 1 (continued)

3.	<i>Eriopeltis lichtensteini</i> Signoret, 1877	Euro-Siberian / Western Palearctic	2002 (Fusu <i>et al.</i>); 2003 (Fusu & Popescu)
4.	<i>Lecanopsis turcica</i> (Bodenheimer, 1951)	? / Western Palearctic	2016 (Kaydan <i>et al.</i>)
5.	<i>Luzulaspis dactylis</i> Green, 1928	? / Western Palearctic	2010 (Fetyko <i>et al.</i>); 2016 (Kaydan <i>et al.</i>)
6.	<i>Parasaissetia nigra</i> (Nietner, 1861)	Africa /Afrotropical Cosmopolitan	2012 (Ben Dov, 2013)
7.	<i>Parthenolecanium corni</i> (Bouché, 1844)	Doubtful North America or Europe Cosmopolitan	1943, 1944, 1960 (Săvescu); 1957 (Georgescu <i>et al.</i>); 1960 (Suci); 1971 (Simionescu <i>et al.</i>); 1974 (Lăcătușu <i>et al.</i>); 1974 (Peiu); 1975 (Rogojanu); 1979 (Rogojanu & Perju); 1980 (Peiu & Filipescu, <i>In Boguleanu et al.</i>); 1982 (Săvescu); 1982 (Tudor); 1988 (Kosztarab & Kozár); 1990a (Moglan); 1989 (Perju <i>et al.</i>); 1993 (Ben-Dov); 1997 (Simionescu & Teodorescu); 1997, 2000, 2007 (Moglan); 1999 (CABI & EPPO); 2000 (Simionescu, Mihalache <i>et al.</i>); 2001 (Teodorescu & Simionescu); 2003 (Fusu & Popescu); 2006 (Mustață Mariana <i>et al.</i>); 2012 (Țucă)
8.	<i>Parthenolecanium fletcheri</i> (Cockerell, 1893)	Northern America / Nearctic	1961, 1982 (Săvescu); 2003 (Fusu & Popescu)
9.	<i>Parthenolecanium persicae</i> (Fabricius, 1776)	Unknown ? nemoral and subtropical forests of East Asia Cosmopolitan	1963 (Rogojanu); 1977 (Zahradník); 1975 (Rogojanu); 1979 (Rogojanu & Perju); 1979 (CIE); 1978 (Drugescu); 1997 (Moglan); 1985 (Kozár); 2003 (Fusu & Popescu); 2012 (Țucă)
10.	<i>Parthenolecanium rufulum</i> (Cockerell, 1903)	Central Europe / Western Palearctic	1944 (Săvescu); 1954 (Eliescu & Disescu, according to Săvescu 1982); 1957 (Georgescu <i>et al.</i>); 1971 (Simionescu <i>et al.</i>); 1975 (Rogojanu); 1979 (Rogojanu & Perju); 1979 (CIE); 1982 (Săvescu); 1982 (Tudor); 1985 (Kozár); 1997 (Simionescu & Teodorescu); 1997 (Moglan); 2000 (Simionescu); 2001 (Teodorescu & Simionescu); 2003 (Fusu & Popescu); 2006 (Moglan & Fusu); 2007 (Moglan)
11.	<i>Physokermes hemicryphus</i> (Dalman, 1826)	? Central Europe / Western Palearctic	1985 (Kozár); 2010 (Fetyko <i>et al.</i>)
12.	<i>Physokermes inopinatus</i> Danzig & Kozár, 1973	? Central Europe / Western Palearctic	2010 (Fetykó <i>et al.</i>)
13.	<i>Physokermes piceae</i> (Schrank, 1801)	North America / Nearctic	1961 (Săvescu); 1962, 1975 (Rogojanu); 1982 (Săvescu); 1985b (Lăcătușu <i>et al.</i>); 1985 (Kozar); 2000 (Simionescu <i>et al.</i>); 2007 (Moglan); 2010 (Fetyko <i>et al.</i>)
14.	<i>Pulvinaria floccifera</i> (Westwood, 1870)	Asia temperate (Japan) / Eastern Palearctic Cosmopolitan	1960, 1982 (Săvescu); 1997 (Teodorescu & Procheș); 2006 (Teodorescu et al.); 2010 (Teodorescu & Matei)
15.	<i>Pulvinaria regalis</i> Canard, 1968	?Asia temperate / Eastern Palearctic	1982 (Săvescu); 1993 (Ben-Dov, as <i>Pulvinaria savescui</i>); 2009 (Preda <i>et al.</i>)
16.	<i>Pulvinaria vitis</i> (Linnaeus, 1758) syn. <i>P. betulae</i> (Linnaeus) Signoret, 1873	Apparently Europe / Western Palearctic Cosmopolitan	1960, 1962 (Săvescu); 1975 (Rogojanu); 1978 (Drugescu); 1980 (Teodorescu & Ceianu); 1982 (Tudor); 1986 (Duschin); 1989 (Perju <i>et al.</i>); 2007 (Pașol <i>et al.</i>); 2008b (Teodorescu); 2009 (Stan <i>et al.</i>); 2009 (Mitrea <i>et al.</i>); 2010 (Fetyko <i>et al.</i>); 2017 (Ficiu <i>et al.</i>)
17.	<i>Pulvinariella mesembryanthemi</i> (Vallot, 1829)	South Africa / Afrotropical Cosmopolitan	1997 (Teodorescu & Procheș); 2006 (Teodorescu et al.); 2010 (Teodorescu & Matei)

Table 1 (continued)

18.	<i>Saissetia coffeae</i> (Walker, 1852) syn. <i>Saissetia haemisphaerica</i> Hall, 1922	Afrotropical Cosmopolitan	1930 (Knechtel); 1982 (Săvescu); 1997 (Teodorescu & Procheș); 2006 (Teodorescu et al.); 2007 (Pașol <i>et al.</i>); 2010 (Teodorescu & Matei); 2010 (Fetykó <i>et al.</i>)
19.	<i>Saissetia oleae</i> (Olivier, 1791)	Southern districts of Cape Province, South Africa / Afrotropical Cosmopolitan	1982 (Săvescu); 2003 (Fusu & Popescu); 2006 (Teodorescu et al.); 2010 (Fetykó <i>et al.</i>); DAISIE www.europe-aliens.org)
20.	<i>Sphaerolecanium prunastri</i> (Fonscolombe, 1834)	Southern and central Europe / Western Palaearctic	1961 (Săvescu); 1975 (Rogojanu); 1979 (Rogojanu & Perju); 1965 (Boțoc); 1978 (Drugescu); 1982 (Săvescu); 1982 (Tudor); 1988, 1990c, 1994b (Moglan); 1999 (Moglan & Cojocaru); 1995-1997 (Moglan); 1997 (Moglan); 2005, 2007 (Moglan); 2003 (Fusu & Popescu); 2005 (Kozar); 2012 (Tucă)
XI	FAMILY CRYPTOCCIDAE		
1.	<i>Cryptococcus fagisuga</i> Lindinger, 1936	Based on studies of mitochondrial DNA, origin can be in south western Asia (Gwiazdowski, 2006)	1957 (Georgescu <i>et al.</i>); 1963 (Hoy); 1975 (Rogojanu); 1979 (CIE); 1988 (Kosztarab & Kozar); 1997 (Simionescu & Teodorescu); 2000 (Simionescu, Mihalache <i>et al.</i>); 2001 (Teodorescu & Simionescu); 1996, 2003 (Chira <i>et al.</i>); 1997, 1998 (Chira & Chira); 2007b (& Cicák); 2011 (Roibu <i>et al.</i>); 2015 (Mihál <i>et al.</i>)
2.	<i>Pseudochermes fraxini</i> (Kaltenbach, 1860)	Sibero-European / Palaearctic	1985 (Kozar); 2010 (Fetyko)
XII	FAMILY DELPHACIDAE		
1.	<i>Conomelus anceps</i> (Germar, 1821)	? / Western Palaearctic	1972, 1987 (Nast); 2008 (Pricop); 2014 (Bartlett <i>et al.</i>)
2.	<i>Conomelus lorifer</i> Ribaut, 1948	? / Western Palaearctic	1972, 1987 (Nast); 2006 (Orosz)
3.	<i>Conomelus odryssius</i> Dlabola, 1965	? / Western Palaearctic	1987 (Nast)
4.	<i>Herbalima eforie</i> (Dlabola, 1961)	? / Western Palaearctic	1972 (Nast)
5.	<i>Unkanodes tanasijevici</i> (Dlabola, 1965)	? / Western Palaearctic	1972 (Nast); 1982 (Asche)
XIII	FAMILY DIASPIDIDAE		
1.	<i>Aonidiella aurantii</i> (Maskell, 1879)	Tropical Asia / Oriental Cosmopolitan	1930 (Knechtel); 1997 (Teodorescu & Procheș); 1998 (Danzig & Pellizzari); 2010 (Teodorescu & Matei)
2.	<i>Aspidiotus nerii</i> (Bouché, 1833) syn. <i>A. hederæ</i> Leonardi, 1898	Afrotropical or Mediterranean Cosmopolitan	1897 (Horvath); 1912 (Arion); 1961 (Săvescu); <1970 (CIE); 1974 (Lăcătușu <i>et al.</i>); 1974 (Peiu); 1997 (Teodorescu & Procheș); 1980 (Costescu, in Boguleanu <i>et al.</i>); 1982 (Săvescu); 2006 (Teodorescu et al.); 2007 (Pașol <i>et al.</i>); 2008c (Teodorescu); 2010 (Teodorescu & Matei); 2010 (Tucă <i>et al.</i>); DAISIE, www.europe-aliens.org)
3.	<i>Aulacaspis rosae</i> Bouché, 1833	Unclear origin ?Asia Possible / Eastern Palaearctic Cosmopolitan	1897 (Horvath); 1961 (Săvescu); 1974 (Lăcătușu <i>et al.</i>); 1975 (Rogojanu); 1979 (Rogojanu & Perju); 1980 (Costescu, in Boguleanu <i>et al.</i>); 1982 (Tudor); 1997 (Teodorescu & Procheș); 1998 (Danzig & Pellizzari); 2007 (Pașol <i>et al.</i>); 2010 (Teodorescu & Matei); 2015 (Mărginean Soporan) 2017a (Ciceoi Roxana <i>et al.</i>)
4.	<i>Carulaspis minima</i> (Signoret, 1869)	Mediterranean / Western Palaearctic Cosmopolitan	1988 (Kosztarab & Kozár); 2005 (Miller & Davidson)
5.	<i>Chionaspis salicis</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	? Palaearctic	1988 (Kosztarab & Kozár); 1998 (Danzig & Pellizzari)

Table 1 (continued)

6.	<i>Chionaspis wistariae</i> Cooley, 1897	Asia (Japan, China) / Eastern Palaearctic	2010 (Pellizzari); 2010 (Pellizzari & Germain)
7.	<i>Chrysomphalus aonidum</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	Southern America / Neotropical Cosmopolitan	1930 (Knechtel), 1988 (CIE); 1997 (Teodorescu & Procheș); 2006 (Teodorescu <i>et al.</i>); 2010 (Teodorescu & Matei); 2013, 2014 (EPPO)
8.	<i>Chrysomphalus dictyospermi</i> (Morgan, 1889)	Tropical Asia / Oriental Cosmopolitan	1930 (Knechtel); 1982 (Săvescu); 1997 (Teodorescu & Procheș); 1998 (Danzig & Pellizzari); 2006 (Teodorescu <i>et al.</i>); 2007 (Pașol <i>et al.</i>); 2010 (Teodorescu & Matei); 2014 (EPPO); DAISIE, www.europe-aliens.org)
9.	<i>Diaspidiotus gigas</i> (Thiem & Gemeck, 1934a)	? Eurasia Palaearctic	1961 (Săvescu); 1975 (Rogojanu); 1978 (Drugescu); 1982 (Săvescu); 2001a (Moglan)
10.	<i>Diaspidiotus ostreaeformis</i> (Curtis, 1843)	Probably the colder part of Europe / Western Palaearctic Cosmopolitan	1960 (Săvescu); 1974 (Peiu); 1975 (Rogojanu); 1979 (Rogojanu & Perju); 1980 (Peiu & Filipescu, <i>In Boguleanu et al.</i>); 1982 (Săvescu); 1988 (Kosztarab & Kozár); 1985a (Lăcătușu <i>et al.</i>); 1997 (Moglan); 2012 (Țucă)
11.	<i>Diaspidiotus perniciosus</i> (Comstock, 1881)	East Asia China, Korea / Eastern Palaearctic Cosmopolitan	1933 (in Arad, Bihor, Timiș district. (http://www.botanistii.ro/blog/insecte-daunatoare-plante-paduchele-din-san-jose/); 1954, 1960 (Săvescu); 1955 (Rogojanu); 1965 (Boțoc); 1966 (Borchsenius); 1969, 1975 (Săvescu & Isac); 1972 (Ciochia, Andriescu <i>et al.</i>); 1972-1973 (Andriescu); 1974 (Lăcătușu <i>et al.</i>); 1974 (Peiu); 1975 (Rogojanu); 1978 (Ciochia <i>et al.</i>); 1979 (Rogojanu & Perju); 1979-1980 (Ciochia <i>et al.</i>); 1980 (Peiu & Filipescu, <i>In Boguleanu et al.</i>); 1982 (Săvescu); 1982 (Manolache & Boguleanu); 1983 (Perju <i>et al.</i>); 1988 (Kosztarab & Kozár); 1989 (Perju <i>et al.</i>); 1991 (Teodorescu); 1992 (Ciochia <i>et al.</i>); 1997 (Ciochia); 1997 (Ciochia & Boeriu); 1997 (Moglan Veronica); 1997, 2004 (Moglan); 1998b (Teodorescu); 2004 (Trandafirescu <i>et al.</i>); 2006 (Teodorescu <i>et al.</i>); 2006 (Mustață Mariana <i>et al.</i>); 2007 (Pașol <i>et al.</i>); 2008c (Teodorescu); 2008 (Teodorescu & Vlad Antonie); 2008 (Ioana & Frasin); 2010 (Fetykó <i>et al.</i>); 2012 (Țucă); 2014 (EPPO)
12.	<i>Diaspis boisduvalii</i> Signoret, 1869	Southern America / Neotropical	1920 (Leonardi); 1997 (Teodorescu & Procheș); 1982 (Săvescu); 2006 (Teodorescu <i>et al.</i>); 2010 (Teodorescu & Matei); 2010 (Fetykó <i>et al.</i>)
13.	<i>Diaspis bromeliae</i> (Kerner, 1778)	Southern America / Neotropical	2010 (Fetykó <i>et al.</i>); (DAISIE, www.europe-aliens.org)
14.	<i>Diaspis echinocacti</i> (Bouché, 1833)	Central America / Neotropical Cosmopolitan	1859 (according to Pașol, 2007); 2006 (Teodorescu <i>et al.</i>); 1997 (Teodorescu & Procheș); 2007 (Pașol <i>et al.</i>); 2010 (Teodorescu & Matei); 2010 (Fetykó <i>et al.</i>)
15.	<i>Dynaspidiotus abietis</i> (Schränk, 1776) syn. <i>Nuculaspis abietis</i>	Euro Siberian Irano Turanian / Western Palaearctic	1962, 1975 (Rogojanu); 2001 (Simon <i>et al.</i>); 2001 (Dieter <i>et al.</i>); 2010 (Fetykó <i>et al.</i>); 2011 (Isaia)
16.	<i>Epidiaspis leperii</i> (Signoret, 1869)	? Eurasia	1960, 1961 (Săvescu); 1974 (Peiu); 1975, 1979 (Rogojanu & Perju); 1980 (Peiu & Filipescu, <i>In Boguleanu et al.</i>); 1998 (Danzig & Pellizzari); 2007 (Pașol <i>et al.</i>); 2012 (Țucă)
17.	<i>Fiorinia fioriniae</i> (Targioni-Tozzetti, 1869)	Tropical Asia (Southeast Asia) / Oriental Cosmopolitan	1930 (Knechtel); 1998 (Danzig & Pellizzari); DAISIE, www.europe-aliens.org
18.	<i>Gymnaspidiotus aechmeae</i> Newstead, 1898	Cryptogenic Cosmopolitan	1953 (Balachowsky); 1982 (Nakahara); 2010 (Fetykó <i>et al.</i>); 2011 (Datamining-Invasive Species Databases)
19.	<i>Hemiberlesia lataniae</i> (Signoret, 1869)	Cryptogenic Cosmopolitan	1976 (CIE); 1998 (Danzig & Pellizzari)
20.	<i>Hemiberlesia rapax</i> (Comstock, 1881)	Cryptogenic Cosmopolitan	1998 (Danzig & Pellizzari); DAISIE, www.europe-aliens.org)

Table 1 (continued)

21.	<i>Lepidosaphes beckii</i> (Newman, 1869)	Cryptogenic Cosmopolitan	1998 (Danzig & Pellizzari); 1997 (Teodorescu & Procheș); 2006 (Teodorescu et al.); 2010 (Fetykó <i>et al.</i>); 2010 (Teodorescu & Matei); DAISIE, www.europe-aliens.org; CIE
22.	<i>Lepidosaphes conchiformis</i> (Gmelin, 1790)	Uncertain Probably Asia Cosmopolitan	1961, 1982 (Săvescu); 1985 (Kozar); 2010 (Fetykó <i>et al.</i>)
23.	<i>Lepidosaphes gloverii</i> (Packard, 1869)	Cryptogenic Cosmopolitan	2010 (Fetykó <i>et al.</i>); DAISIE, www.europe-aliens.org)
24.	<i>Lepidosaphes newsteadi</i> (Šulc, 1895)	Central and western Europe /Western Palaeartic	1978 (Drugescu); 1988 (Kosztarab & Kozár)
25.	<i>Lepidosaphes pinnaeformis</i> (Bouche, 1851)	Uncertain Subtropical /Tropical zones, possibly Asia	2010 (Fetykó <i>et al.</i>)
26.	<i>Lepidosaphes ulmi</i> Linnaeus, 1758 syn. <i>Mytilaspis pomorum</i> Signoret, 1870	Uncertain Cosmopolitan	1944 (Săvescu); 1957 (Săvescu, as <i>Lepidosaphes populi</i> and <i>L. tiliae</i>); 1957 (Georgescu <i>et al.</i>); 1961 (Săvescu); 1971 (Simionescu <i>et al.</i>); 1974 (Lăcătușu <i>et al.</i>); 1974 (Peiu); 1978 (Drugescu); 1975 (Rogojanu); 1979 (Rogojanu & Perju); 1982 (Săvescu); 1982 (Tudor); 1985b (Lăcătușu <i>et al.</i>); 1991 (Teodorescu); 1994a, 1997, 2003 (Moglan); 1997 (Simionescu & Teodorescu); 2001 (Teodorescu & Simionescu); 2012 (Țucă)
27.	<i>Leucaspis loewi</i> Colvee, 1882	Mediterranean / Western Palaeartic	1930 (Knechtel); 1975 (Rogojanu); 1998 (Danzig & Pellizzari); 2008 (Isaia & Manea); 2011 (Isaia)
28.	<i>Leucaspis pini</i> (Hartig, 1839)	Mediterranean / Western Palaeartic Cosmopolitan	1930 (Knechtel); 2008 (Isaia & Manea); 2011 (Isaia); 2010 (Fetykó <i>et al.</i>)
29.	<i>Leucaspis pusilla</i> Löw, 1883	Mediterranean / Western Palaeartic	1975 (Rogojanu); 2008 (Isaia & Manea); 2011 (Isaia)
30.	<i>Oceanaspidiotus spinosus</i> (Comstock, 1883)	Cryptogenic Cosmopolitan	2011 (Datamining-Invasive Species Databases DAISIE, www.europe-aliens.org)
31.	<i>Parlatoria oleae</i> (Colvée, 1880)	Middle East or South Africa Cryptogenic Cosmopolitan	1966 (Rogojanu); 1988 (Kosztarab & Kozar); 1998 (Danzig & Pellizzari); 2010 (Fetykó <i>et al.</i>)
32.	<i>Parlatoria ziziphi</i> (Lucas, 1853)	Tropical Asia / Oriental Cosmopolitan	1998 (Danzig & Pellizzari); 2010 (Fetykó <i>et al.</i>) DAISIE, www.europe-aliens.org
33.	<i>Pinnaspis aspidistrae</i> (Signoret, 1869)	Tropical Asia, especially India, Ceylon / Oriental	2010 (Fetyko <i>et al.</i>); (DAISIE, www.europe-aliens.org)
34.	<i>Pinnaspis buxi</i> (Bouche, 1851)	?Cosmopolitan Cryptogenic	2010 (Fetykó <i>et al.</i>)
35.	<i>Pseudaulacaspis pentagona</i> (Targioni-Tozzetti, 1886)	East Asia (Japan or China) / Eastern Palaeartic Cosmopolitan	1982 (Tudor); 1998 (Brăiloiu-Tanase); 2010 (Fetykó <i>et al.</i>); 2017b (Ciceoi Roxana <i>et al.</i>); DAISIE, www.europe-aliens.org
36.	<i>Targionia vitis</i> (Signoret, 1876)	? / Western Palaeartic	1975 (Rogojanu); 1982 (Săvescu); 1993 (Danzig)
37.	<i>Unaspis euonymi</i> (Comstock, 1881)	Temperate Asia / Eastern Palaeartic Cosmopolitan	1988 (Kosztarab & Kozar) 2015 Gutue <i>et al.</i>); 2017b (Ciceoi Roxana); 2017b (Ciceoi Roxana <i>et al.</i>) (DAISIE, www.europe-aliens.org)
XIV	FAMILY ERIOCOCCIDAE		
1.	<i>Acanthococcus aceris</i> Signoret, 1875	? / Holarctic	1966b (Rogojanu); 1985 (Kozár); 2010 (Fetyko <i>et al.</i>)

Table 1 (continued)

2.	<i>Acanthococcus roboris</i> (Goux, 1931)	? / Palaearctic	1966a (Rogojanu); 1982 (Tudor); 2010 (Fetyko <i>et al.</i>)
3.	<i>Anophococcus Agropyri</i> (Borchsenius, 1949)	? Central Europe / Western Palaearctic	1966a (Rogojanu); 1982 (Tudor); 1985 (Kozar); 1988 (Kosztarab & Kozár); 2003 (Fusu & Popescu); 2010 (Fetykó <i>et al.</i>)
4.	<i>Anophococcus Cingulatus</i> (Kiritchenko, 1940)	? / Eastern Palaearctic	2010 (Fetyko <i>et al.</i>)
5.	<i>Anophococcus inermis</i> (Green, 1915)	? Central Europe / Western Palaearctic	2010 (Fetyko <i>et al.</i>)
6.	<i>Anophococcus insignis</i> (Newstead, 1891)	? / Holarctic	2010 (Fetyko <i>et al.</i>)
7.	<i>Gossyparia spuria</i> (Modeer, 1778)	? / Cosmopolitan	1930 (Knechtel); 1961 (Săvescu); 1963 (Hoy); 1975 (Rogojanu); 1982 (Tudor); 2010 (Fetyko <i>et al.</i>)
8.	<i>Greenisca brachypodii</i> Borchsenius & Danzig, 1966	? / Western Palaearctic	1998 (Kozár & Nagy); 2010 (Fetyko <i>et al.</i>)
9.	<i>Kaweckia glyceriae</i> (Green, 1921)	? China, Korea, Rusia, Mongolia Kazakhstan / Eastern Palaearctic	2010 (Fetyko <i>et al.</i>)
10.	<i>Neoacanthococcus centaurea</i> (Săvescu, 1985)	? Romania / Western Palaearctic	1985 (Săvescu); 2009 (Kozar); 2010 (Fetyko <i>et al.</i>); 2013 (Kozar <i>et al.</i>)
11.	<i>Eriococcus buxi</i> (Boyer de Fonscolombe, 1834)	Turanian-European / Western Palaearctic	1966a (Rogojanu); 1982 (Tudor); 1985 (Kozar); 2010 (Fetyko <i>et al.</i>)
12.	<i>Rhizococcus erinaceus</i> (Kiritchenko, 1940)	? Romania, Ucraina / Western Palaearctic	2010 (Fetyko <i>et al.</i>)
13.	<i>Rhizococcus greeni</i> (Newstead, 1898)	? Central Europe / Western Palaearctic	2003 (Fusu & Popescu); 2010 (Fetyko <i>et al.</i>)
14.	<i>Rhizococcus munroi</i> (Boratynski, 1962)	Sibiric-European / Palaearctic	2013 (Kozár <i>et al.</i>)
15.	<i>Rhizococcus zygophylli</i> (Archangelskaya, 1931)	? / Eastern Palaearctic	2010 (Fetyko <i>et al.</i>)
XV	FAMILY FLATTIDAE		
1.	<i>Metcalfa pruinosa</i> (Say, 1830)	Eastern North America / Nearctic	2009a,b, 2011 (Preda & Skolka); 2009 (Preda <i>et al.</i>); 2009 (Bărbuceanu & Nicolaescu); 2010 (Gogan <i>et al.</i>); 2011, 2014, 2015a,b,c (Grozea <i>et al.</i>); 2011a (Chireceanu & Gutue); 2013 (Cean & Cean); 2014 (Grozea <i>et al.</i>); 2014 (EPP0); 2015, 2016 (Vlad & Grozea); 2015 (Bărbuceanu & Mihăescu); 2015 (Bărbuceanu <i>et al.</i>); 2016 (Vlad); 2013, 2016 (Don <i>et al.</i>); 2017a (Ciceoi Roxana <i>et al.</i>)
XVI	FAMILY HOMOTOMIDAE		
1.	<i>Homotoma ficus</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	Central-Southern Europe and the Middle East / Western Palaearctic	1962 (Dobreanu & Manolache)
XVII	FAMILY ISSIDAE		
1.	<i>Palaeolithium distinguendum</i> (Kirschbaum, 1868)	Mediterranean / Western Palaearctic	1972 (Nast); 2005 (Mazzoni)

Table 1 (continued)

XVIII	FAMILY KERMESIDAE		
1.	<i>Kermes greeni</i> Bodenheimer, 1931	Mediterranean / Western Palaearctic	2003 (Fusu & Popescu)
2.	<i>Kermes quercus</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	? Mediterranean / Western Palaearctic	1930 (Knechtel); 1957 (Georgescu <i>et al.</i>); 1961 (Săvescu); 1975 (Rogojanu); 1982 (Tudor); 1988 (Kosztarab & Kozár); 2003 (Fusu & Popescu)
3.	<i>Kermes roboris</i> (Fourcroy, 1785)	? Mediterranean ? Palaearctic or Oriental	1930 (Knechtel); 1961 (Săvescu); 1975 (Rogojanu); 1982 (Tudor); 1990b (Moglan); 2003 (Fusu & Popescu); 2011 (Roșca <i>et al.</i>)
XIX	FAMILY MARGARODIDAE		
1.	<i>Icerya purchase</i> (Maskell, 1878)	Australia /Australasian Cosmopolitan	1971 (CIE); 1988 (Kosztarab and Kozár); 1990-1996 (Teodorescu & Simionescu, 1997); 1997 (Simionescu & Teodorescu); 1993, 2014 (EPPO)
XX	FAMILY MEMBRACIDAE		
1.	<i>Stictocephala bisonia</i> Kopp & Yonke, 1977 (syn. <i>Ceresa bubalus</i> Fabricius, 1794)	North America / Nearctic	1955 (Popescu-Gorj); 1974 (Peiu); 1971, 1975 (Cantoreanu); 1979 (Rogojanu & Perju); 1980 (Peiu & Filipescu, in Boguleanu <i>et al.</i>); 1983 (Stoian); 1985b (Lăcătușu <i>et al.</i>); 2002 (Popa); 2006 (Teodorescu et al.); 2006 (Mustață Mariana <i>et al.</i>); 2007 (Pașol <i>et al.</i>); 2009 (Teodorescu); 2009 (Stan <i>et al.</i>); 2011 (Popa & Roșca); 2011 (Datamining-Invasive Species Databases); 2012 (Țucă); 2014 (Velichî); 2016 (Orosz & Tóth) DAISIE Species Factsheet Roques Alain
2.	<i>Stictocephala alta</i> (Walker, 1851)	Conflicting information	Datamining 2011 - Invasive Species Databases
XXI	FAMILY ORTHEZIIDAE		
1.	<i>Arctorthezia cataphracta</i> (Olafsen, 1772)	Unknown / boreoarctic des hauts sommets alpins	1958 (Rogojanu); 1978 (Kosztarab & Kozár)
2.	<i>Insignorthesia insignis</i> (Browne, 1887)	South America, probably Guyana and neighbouring countries / Neotropical	1935 (Rogojanu)
3.	<i>Newsteadia floccosa</i> (De Geer, 1778)	Boreal (Eurosiberian) / Palaearctic	1930 (Knechtel); 1952 (Morrison); 1958, 1975 (Rogojanu); 2016 (Kaydan <i>et al.</i>)
4.	<i>Ortheziola marottai</i> Kaydan & Szita, 2014	Turanian-European / Western Palaearctic	2016 (Kaydan <i>et al.</i>)
5.	<i>Ortheziola vejdvkyi</i> Šulc, 1895	Vestern-European and montano-boreal / Palaearctic	1988 (Kosztarab & Kozár); 2014, 2016 (Kaydan <i>et al.</i>)
XXII	FAMILY PEMPHIGIDAE		
1.	<i>Pemphigus borealis</i> Tullgren, 1909	? / Northern Palaearctic	1939 (Baudys); 1998 (Gusic)
2.	<i>Pemphigus bursarius</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	Western Palaearctic Cosmopolitan	1909 (Brândză according to Stănescu, 2009); 1940b (Knechtel & Manolache); 1957 (Georgescu); 1975, 1998 (Gusic); 1981 (Holman & Pintera); 2006 (Roman & Glăvan); 2009 (Teodorescu); 2011 (Ilie & Marinescu); 2015 (Ilie).
3.	<i>Pemphigus immunis</i> Buckton, 1896	? North-western Himalayan ?European and Asian	1998 (Gusic)

Table 1 (continued)

4.	<i>Pemphigus populitransversus</i> Riley ex Riley & Monell, 1879	North America / Nearctic	2011 (Roşca & Istrate)
5.	<i>Pemphigus populinigrae</i> (Schränk, 1801)	? / Europe, Asia	2009 (Hac)
XXIII	FAMILY PHYLLOXERIDAE		
1.	<i>Viteus vitifoliae</i> (Fitch, 1855) (syn. <i>Daktulosphaira vitifoliae</i> (Fitch, 1855) <i>Phylloxera vastatrix</i> (Planchon, 1868)	Eastern North America / Nearctic	1864 (Knechtel & Knechtel, 1879, in Satu Mare, 1884); 1880 (Szánisló); 1884 (Chişorani locality, Dealu Mare vineyards, Prahova district, Knechtel & Knechtel 1909), 1891 (Nicolae); 1959 (Manolache & Boguleanu); 1972 (Manolache <i>et al.</i>); 1974 (Lăcătuşu <i>et al.</i>); 1974 (Peiu); 1979 (Rogojanu & Perju); 1987 (Dumitru & Mărmureanu); 1989 (Perju <i>et al.</i>); 2006 (Teodorescu et al.); 2006 (Mustăţ Mariana <i>et al.</i>); 2007 (Paşol <i>et al.</i>); 2007 (Perju <i>et al.</i>); 2008c (Teodorescu); 2008 (Teodorescu & Vlad Antonie); 2011 (Popa & Roşca); 2014 (EPPO); 2015 (Ficiu <i>et al.</i>); 2017 (Galan & Petculescu).
XXIV	FAMILY PSEUDOCOCCIDAE		
1.	<i>Balanococcus boratynskii</i> Williams, 1962	Euro-Siberian / Palaearctic	2016 (Kaydan <i>et al.</i>)
2.	<i>Balanococcus orientalis</i> Danzig & Ivanova, 1976	? / Palaearctic	2016 (Kaydan <i>et al.</i>)
3.	<i>Fonscolombia europaea</i> (Newstead, 1897)	? / Palaearctic	2016 (Kaydan <i>et al.</i>)
4.	<i>Heterococcus nudus</i> (Green, 1926) syn. <i>H. agropyri</i> Săvescu	? Cosmopolitan	1985 (Săvescu); 1994 (Ben-Dov); 2013 (Danzig & Gavrilov-Zimin)
5.	<i>Mirococcopsis subterranean</i> (Newstead, 1893)	Euro-Siberian? Palaearctic	2016 (Kaydan <i>et al.</i>)
6.	<i>Peliococcus calluneti</i> (Lindinger, 1912 b)	Boreal (Euro-Siberian) / Western Palaearctic	2010 (Fetyko <i>et al.</i> , as <i>Spilococcus nanae</i> Schmutterer, 1957, as <i>Rhizococcus albidus</i> Goux)
7.	<i>Peliococcus turanicus</i> (Kiritshenko, 1932)	? Cosmopolitan	1985 (Săvescu, as <i>Eupeliococcus tragopogoni E. drabae</i>); 1994 (Ben-Dov)
8.	<i>Phenacoccus insularis</i> Danzig, 1971	Far East (Sakhalin and Kuril islands) Palaearctic	1984 (Săvescu, as <i>Phenacoccus prunispinosi</i>)
9.	<i>Phenacoccus aceris</i> (Signoret, 1875)	? / Transpalaearctic	1975 (Rogojanu); 1978 (Danzig); 1985 (Săvescu, as <i>Paroudablis ulmi</i>); 1994 (Ben-Dov); 2010 (Fetyko <i>et al.</i>)
10.	<i>Phenacoccus piceae</i> (Löw, 1883)	? / Transpalaearctic	???? 1985 (Săvescu); 1985 (Kozar); 1994 (Ben-Dov); 2010 (Fetyko <i>et al.</i>)
11.	<i>Phenacoccus pumilus</i> Kiritshenko, 1936	? Possible Central Asia	1984 (Săvescu, as <i>Phenacoccus rehacekii</i>); 1994 (Ben-Dov)
12.	<i>Planococcus citri</i> (Risso, 1813) syn <i>Pseudococcus citri</i> (Risso, 1813)	Unclear Cosmopolitan Cryptogenic	1960, 1982 (Săvescu); 1997 (Teodorescu & Procheş); 1999 (CABI/EPPO); 2006 (Teodorescu et al.); 2007 (Paşol <i>et al.</i>); 2010 (Teodorescu & Matei), 2010 (Fetykó <i>et al.</i>)

Table 1 (continued)

13.	<i>Pseudococcus longispinus</i> Targioni-Tozzetti, 1867) syn. <i>P. adonidum</i> (L.)	Australia / Australasian Cosmopolitan	1930 (Knechtel); 1974 (Lăcătușu <i>et al.</i>); 1982 (Săvescu); 1997 (Teodorescu & Procheș); 2005 (Ecobici <i>et al.</i>); 2004 (Porca & Biró); 2006 (Teodorescu <i>et al.</i>); 2007 (Pașol <i>et al.</i>); 2008c (Teodorescu); 2010 (Teodorescu & Matei); 2010 (Tucă <i>et al.</i>)
14.	<i>Pseudococcus mamillariae</i> (Bouché, 1844) syn. <i>Spilococcus mamillariae</i> (Bouche, 1844)	Subtropical and Mediterranean / Western Palaearctic	1997 (Teodorescu & Procheș); 2006 (Teodorescu <i>et al.</i>); 2010 (Teodorescu & Matei)
15.	<i>Volvicoccus volvifer</i> (Goux, 1945)	West Asia / Palaearctic	2016 (Kaydan <i>et al.</i>)
XXV	FAMILY PSYLLIDAE		
1.	<i>Cacopsylla bidens</i> (Šulc, 1907) In many paper <i>C. pyricola</i>	? Central Asia / Eastern Palaearctic	1962 (Dobreanu & Manolache); 1992 (Straulea <i>et al.</i>); 1994, 2002 (Branște <i>et al.</i>); 1999, 2007 (Chireceanu Constantina); 2006 (Mustață Mariana); 2008 (Ploaie <i>et al.</i>); 2008 (Branște & Militaru); 2009 (Sestras <i>et al.</i>); 2012 (Cean <i>et al.</i>)
XXVI	FAMILY RHIZOECIDAE		
1.	<i>Rhizococcus albidus</i> Goux, 1942	? / Transpalaearctic	1985 (Kozar); 2016 (Kaydan <i>et al.</i>); 2010 (Fetyko <i>et al.</i>)
XXVII	FAMILY TRIOZIDAE		
1.	<i>Trioza neglecta</i> Loginova, 1978	Southeastern and Central Asia / Eastern Palaearctic	1988 (Burckhardt); 1959 (Dobreanu & Manolache); 1998 (Zeidan-Gèze & Burckhardt); 2010 (Mifsud <i>et al.</i>); 1995 (Glowacka <i>et al.</i>); 2002 (Lauterer and Malenovský); DAISIE (Christian Cocquempot)
2.	<i>Trioza schrankii</i> Flor, 1861	Central Europe / Western Palaearctic	1962 (Dobreanu & Manolache); 1996 (Conci <i>et al.</i>); 2002 (Lauterer & Malenovský)
3.	<i>Trioza tatrensis</i> Klimaszewski, 1965	Northern Europe Western Palaearctic	1992 (Ossiannilsson)

Table 2

The species richness of alien Homoptera families reported in Romania

No.	Homoptera families	No. of species	%
1	Acanaloniidae	1	0.456
2	Adelgidae	12	5.479
3	Aleyrodidae	5	2.283
4	Aphididae	63	28.767
5	Asterolecaniidae	1	0.456
6	Calophyidae	1	0.456
7	Cercopidae	1	0.456
8	Cicadellidae	8	3.652
9	Cixiidae	5	2.283
10	Coccidae	23	10.502
11	Cryptococcidae	2	0.913
12	Delphacidae	5	2.283
13	Diaspididae	37	16.894
14	Eriococcidae	15	6.849

No.	Homoptera families	No. of species	%
15	Flattidae	1	0.456
16	Homotomidae	1	0.456
17	Issidae	1	0.456
18	Kermesidae	3	1.369
19	Margarodidae	1	0.456
20	Membracidae	2	0.913
21	Ortheziidae	5	2.283
22	Pemphigidae	5	2.283
23	Phylloxeridae	1	0.456
24	Pseudococcidae	15	6.849
25	Psyllidae	1	0.456
26	Rhizoecidae	1	0.456
27	Trioziidae	3	1.369
	Total	219	

Table 3
Dynamics of number of alien Homoptera species reported in Romania

Number of reported Homoptera species			
1859–1889	1900–1949	1950–1999	2000–2018
11	44	112	52

One or few reports do not mean that the species are not established or cannot establish in Romania. The cause of their accidental detection is their low capacity to multiply and spread, or their preference to the wild plants as hosts, their oligophagy or even low peculiar interest of researchers. But it is necessary to confer special attention to these species even if initially they have not a negative effect or only minor impact upon native biodiversity and ecological systems. In the invasiveness process, perhaps in all cases, after establishing in new zones, the alien species can develop rapidly high polyphagy, becoming invasive or pests.

In function of the capacity to carry out their normal vital activities, their adaptation degree to environmental changes (“the ecological valence”) the non-native Homoptera species identified in Romania belong to four main categories: 1. species found only and typically overwinter outdoor; 2. species that live and reproduce only indoors, on ornamental and vegetable plants, in greenhouses, or on ornamental plants in different heated buildings; 3. few species found in indoor conditions but which can escape and develop outdoor during summer, and 4. species that are accidentally introduced with imported fruits. The species that can live, nourish and reproduce outdoor during summer have the possibility to spread and enter in other indoor locations, where they continue to multiply, but they do not resist in winter conditions and do not establish in the Romanian fauna. But, if actual climate change phenomenon continues and the temperature values increase, some of these species will be able to find some outdoor favourable microhabitats, to survive over winter and establish in new outdoor zone.

From the biogeographical point of view, the alien Homoptera species in Romania are included into the following regions: Palaearctic, Nearctic, Neotropical, Oriental, Afrotropical and Australasian, with dominance of the Palaearctic zone (Western and Eastern). Many species (at least 33%) now have a worldwide distribution (cosmopolitan species).

In Romania, similar to other countries, the Homoptera insects were probably introduced with fresh cut flowers, potted flowering plants, seeds, bulbs, grafts, potting mix and other planting materials.

NEW DATA ON ALIEN/INVASIVE HOMOPTERA SPECIES BETWEEN 2000 AND 2018

A number of 33 insect species, which attacked plant, was observed between 2000 and 2018 (Table 4). In five cases, the mean density values, attack intensity, effect on plant hosts were assessed and some control measures were applied.

The *Metcalfa pruinosa* presence and attack were registered in Bucharest, in two private gardens, in 2015–2018. In 2015, the first attack of huge intensity was observed in one garden in the Bucharest centre, on young *Albizia julibrisin* tree (under three meter height and only 8 cm diameter), weakened and stressed by a shadowed place with improper soil. The tree was practically defoliated due to a lot of factors: sap sucking by larvae, decreased photosynthesis rate because the leaves were covered with an abundant wax secretion, with honeydew eliminated by insects and with a black fungus growing on honeydew. The *Metcalfa* larvae were disposed along the main nerve of characteristic, long, bipinnately compound leaves and on the nerve of each leaflet (pinnae) facilitating the access to sap. The wax and honeydew were in so high quantities that they were present not only on the leaves but also even on the ground. The young tree was very seriously damaged, and in a few weeks died. The second attack was registered in 2016 in a northern Bucharest garden on one basal branch of high and vigorously *Albizia* tree (over 10 meters). The moderate attack intensity was solved by chemical control and later by mechanical control (sawing the attacked branch, before the adult insect appearance). This pest was also installed on a high number of cultivated and wild plants (Table 3), but the attack intensity was low or moderate. In 2017, *Metcalfa* was again present in this garden, but the number of attacked plants and the attack intensity decreased, and in 2018 attacks were observed only on few *Rosa*, *Ulmus* and *Parthenocissus quinquefolia*.

A *Hyalopterus pruni* attack was discovered on leaves and sprigs of young *Prunus* tree (four meter high and 12 centimeter diameter) between 2015 and 2017, in the same garden. The pest was extremely abundant, with very high density ($>10/\text{cm}^2$), and attack intensity. The pests were present also on the ground being knocked down by wind. The chemical treatments and washing with water jet under pressure applied in 2015 and 2016 remained without effect, and the tree died.

A high attack of *Cacopsylla bidens* nymphs was registered on two old *Pyrus communis* trees, in May 2018. Following the attack, numerous black spots were observed on the foliage, while the leaves and the young fruits fell down prematurely and an abundant honeydew secretion covered all leaves, branches and stems. The honeydew droplets fell also on the ground, similar to raindrops. In order to decrease this very high level of attack intensity the two trees were washed using water jet under pressure, five weeks consecutively.

An impressively and probably old attack of *Pseudalacaspis pentagona* was registered in 2017 on *Syringa vulgaris* stem and basal branches, with a high density of female scales ($>12/\text{cm}^2$). The attack was stopped with chemical treatment (concentrated soap solution and oil emulsion).

An attack of *Macrosiphum rosae* was registered on about all 70 *Rosa* varieties in the same garden, in 2016–2018. The attack was on young leaves and especially on buds, the very young leaves being rolled (pseudocecidia) and buds not flourished. The older leaves remained unattacked and the varieties with dark red flowers usually remained unattacked or with very low level of attack intensity.

On a little number of *Vitis vinifera* plants, *Viteus vitifolii* aerial galls were present on all young leaves (100% attack frequency) and with a high number of galls on each leaf. To diminish the level of the next generation of pests, all sprigs with attacked leaves were cut and destroyed.

Table 4

New data with alien Homoptera species and their host plants detected between 2000 and 2018

No.	Alien species	Plant hosts species/Families
1	<i>Aspidiotus nerii</i> (<i>A. hederae</i>)	<i>Nerium oleander</i> (Apocynaceae), <i>Hedera helix</i> (Araliaceae), <i>Asparagus acutifolius</i> (Asparagaceae), <i>Bugainvillea glabra</i> (Nyctaginaceae), <i>Buxus sempervirens</i> (Buxaceae), <i>Parthenocissus quinquefolia</i> (Vitaceae)
2	<i>Asterodiaspis variolosa</i>	<i>Quercus robur</i> (Fagaceae)
3	<i>Aulacaspis rosae</i>	<i>Rosa</i> sp. (Rosaceae)
4	<i>Cacopsylla bidens</i>	<i>Pyrus communis</i> (Rosaceae)
5	<i>Chromaphis juglandicola</i>	<i>Juglans regia</i> (Juglandaceae)
6	<i>Chrysomphalus dictyosperni</i>	Fruit of <i>Citrus maxima</i> , <i>C. paradise</i> , <i>C. sinensis</i> (Rutaceae)
7	<i>Cryptococcus fagisuga</i>	<i>Fagus</i> (Fagaceae)
8	<i>Diaspidiotus perniciosus</i>	<i>Malus pumila</i> var. <i>domestica</i> (Rosaceae), <i>Parthenocissus tricuspidata</i> (Vitaceae), <i>Vaccinium myrtillus</i> (Ericaceae), <i>Ribes nigrum</i> , <i>Ribes uva-crispa</i> (Grossulariaceae)
9	<i>Epidiaspis leperii</i>	<i>Prunus</i> , <i>Pyrus</i> (Rosaceae)
10	<i>Hyalopterus pruni</i>	<i>Prunus domestica</i> , <i>Prunus armeniaca</i> (Rosaceae)
11	<i>Lecanium hesperidum</i>	Indoor: <i>Citrus limon</i> , <i>Murraya exotica</i> (Rutaceae); <i>Bugainvillea glabra</i> (Nyctaginaceae)
12	<i>Lepidosaphes beckii</i>	<i>Citrus</i> fruits (Rutaceae)
13	<i>Lepidosaphes conchiformis</i>	<i>Pyrus communis</i> (Rosaceae); <i>Syringa vulgaris</i> (Oleaceae); <i>Tilia cordata</i> (Malvaceae)
14	<i>Lepidosaphes gloverii</i>	<i>Murraya</i> (Rutaceae)
15	<i>Lepidosaphes ulmi</i>	<i>Cornus mas</i> (Cornaceae); <i>Malus</i> , <i>Pyrus</i> (Rosaceae); <i>Robinia pseudoacacia</i> (Fabaceae)
16	<i>Macrosiphoniella sanborni</i>	<i>Chrysanthemum</i> (Asteraceae)
17	<i>Macrosiphum rosae</i>	<i>Rosa</i> sp. <i>Aurora</i> , <i>Bonica</i> , <i>First Lady</i> , <i>Floribunda</i> , <i>Fragonard</i> , <i>Honey Vanilla</i> , <i>Ingrid Bergman</i> , <i>Macha</i> , <i>Message</i> , <i>Pauls Scarlet</i> , <i>Rainbow Sorbet</i> , <i>Santana</i> , <i>Valencia</i> , <i>Velasquez</i> , <i>White Meidiland</i> , <i>Rosa canina</i> (Rosaceae)
18	<i>Metcalfa pruinosa</i>	<i>Acer negundo</i> (Aceraceae); <i>Albizia julibrissin</i> , <i>Robinia pseudoacacia</i> (Fabaceae); <i>Aesculus hippocastanum</i> (Sapindaceae); <i>Amaranthus</i> sp. (Amaranthaceae); <i>Atriplex hortensis</i> , <i>Chenopodium ficifolium</i> , <i>Spinacia oleracea</i> (Chenopodiaceae); <i>Prunus armeniaca</i> , <i>P. domestica</i> , <i>P. persica</i> , <i>Pyrus communis</i> , <i>Malus pumila</i> var. <i>domestica</i> , <i>Rosa</i> sp., <i>Rubus</i> sp. (Rosaceae); <i>Buxus sempervirens</i> (Buxaceae); <i>Campsis radicans</i> (Bignoniaceae); <i>Cirsium arvense</i> (Asteraceae); <i>Bellis perenis</i> (Compositae); <i>Cucumis sativus</i> , <i>Cucurbita pepo</i> (Cucurbitaceae); <i>Ficus carica</i> , <i>Morus alba</i> (Moraceae); <i>Ligustrum vulgare</i> , <i>Fraxinus</i> sp. (Oleaceae); <i>Lonicera japonica</i> (Caprifoliaceae); <i>Melissa officinalis</i> (Lamiaceae); <i>Mirabilis jalapa</i> (Nyctaginaceae); <i>Parthenocissus quinquefolia</i> , <i>P. tricuspidata</i> , <i>Vitis vinifera</i> (Vitaceae); <i>Philadelphus coronaries</i> (Hydrangaceae); <i>Ribes grossularia</i> (Grossulariaceae); <i>Sambucus nigra</i> (Adoxaceae); <i>Spathiphyllum floribundum</i> (Araceae); <i>Ulmus</i> (Ulmaceae)
19	<i>Myzus persicae</i>	- Indoor: <i>Capsicum annuum</i> , <i>Lycopersicon lycopersicum</i> , <i>Solanum melongena</i> (Solanaceae) - Outdoor: <i>Prunus persica</i> , <i>Armeniaca vulgaris</i> (Rosaceae)
20	<i>Panaphis juglandis</i>	<i>Juglans regia</i> (Juglandaceae)
21	<i>Parthenolecanium corni</i>	<i>Albizia julibrissin</i> (Fabaceae); <i>Buxus</i> (Buxaceae); <i>Morus</i> (Moraceae); <i>Prunus</i> , <i>Ribes nigrum</i> (Grossulariaceae); <i>Robinia pseudacacia</i> (Fabaceae); <i>Rosa canina</i> (Rosaceae); <i>Sambucus nigra</i> (Adoxaceae); <i>Vitis vinifera</i> (Vitaceae)
22	<i>Physcothermes piceae</i>	<i>Picea abies</i> (Pinaceae)

Table 4 (continued)

23	<i>Pineus pini</i>	<i>Pinus</i> (Pinaceae)
24	<i>Pineus strobi</i>	<i>Pinus strobus</i> (Pinaceae)
25	<i>Pseudalacaspis pentagona</i>	<i>Syringa vulgaris</i> variety <i>Charles Joly</i> (Oleaceae)
26	<i>Quadraspidiotus gigas</i>	<i>Populus</i> (Salicaceae)
27	<i>Saissetia oleae</i>	<i>Asparagus acutifolius</i> (Asparagaceae); <i>Nerium oleander</i> (Apocynaceae)
28	<i>Stictocephala bisonia</i>	<i>Malus</i> , <i>Pyrus</i> , <i>Prunus</i> , <i>Persica</i> , <i>Cerasus</i> (Rosaceae); <i>Vitis vinifera</i> (Vitaceae); <i>Salix</i> , <i>Populus</i> (Salicaceae); <i>Tilia</i> (Malvaceae)
29	<i>Tetraneura ulmi</i>	<i>Ulmus minor</i> (Ulmaceae)
30	<i>Thecabius affinis</i>	<i>Populus pyramidalis</i> (Salicaceae)
31	<i>Trialeurodes vaporariorum</i>	- Indoor: <i>Hibiscus rosa-sinensis</i> , <i>botanika</i> and <i>brilliant</i> varieties (Malvaceae); <i>Pelargonium domesticum</i> , <i>P. peltatum</i> (Geraniaceae); <i>Saintpaulia ionantha</i> , <i>Desdemona</i> and <i>Snow Bunny</i> varieties (Gesneriaceae). - Outdoor: <i>Brassica oleracea</i> (Brassicaceae); <i>Capsicum annuum</i> , <i>Lycopersicon lycopersicum</i> , <i>Solanum melongena</i> (Solanaceae); <i>Cleome hassleriana</i> (Cleomaceae); <i>Cucumis sativus</i> , <i>Cucurbita maxima</i> , <i>C. pepo</i> (Cucurbitaceae); <i>Lactuca sativa</i> (Asteraceae); <i>Lonicera japonica</i> (Caprifoliaceae); <i>Mirabilis jalapa</i> (Nyctaginaceae); <i>Pelargonium domesticum</i> , <i>P. peltatum</i> (Geraniaceae); <i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i> , <i>Ph. coccineus</i> (Fabaceae); <i>Rumex patientia</i> (Polygonaceae); <i>Spinacia oleracea</i> (Chenopodiaceae)
32	<i>Unaspis euonymi</i>	<i>Syringa vulgaris</i> (Oleaceae)
33	<i>Viteus vitifolii</i>	<i>Vitis vinifera</i> (Vitaceae)

CONCLUSIONS

A series of non-native Homoptera species, recorded in Romania since the 19th century, to 2018 is presented in the present paper, indicating their presumably origin zone, biogeographic region, their first report in Romania, and in some cases, other subsequent bibliographical references. Through the compilation of bibliographic sources (295 papers) with 47 personal papers (one author or co-author, published between 1969 and 2010) and some not yet published data, a total of 219 Homoptera species belonging to 27 families, were identified in greenhouses (on vegetables and ornamental plants), in houses or different other controlled temperature conditions buildings, on ornamental plants, on open field vegetables, and other field crops, in orchards, gardens, parks, forests, as well as on imported tropical or subtropical fruits.

Certainly, this list is not complete, and can be supplemented with new or unknown records, but the present paper can complete the gaps in knowledge about the alien Homoptera species in Romania and offer new information in existing data base referring to these insects.

REFERENCES

- ACHIM G., PARNIA P., 1997, *Investigations of different methods of propagation for hazelnut in Romania*. Acta Horticulturae, **445**: 449–458.
- ACS Z., EMBER IBOLYA, GERGELY L., ACSNE NAGY ZITA, JELENA JOVIC, IVO TOSEVSKI, TATJANA CVRKOVIC, KÖLBER MARIA, 2013, *Stolbur fitoplazma fertőzés kukoricán Magyarországon*, Növényvédelmi Tudományos Napok, 89 pp.

- ANDRIESCU I., 1972–1973, *Chalcidoidieni d'importance economique de Roumanie (catalogue hote/parasite parasite/hote)*. Lucrările Stațiunii Stejarul Ecologie Terestră și Genetică.
- ASCHE M., 1982, *Beitrage zur Delphaciden-Fauna der Turkei (Anatolien) (Homoptera Cicadinea Delphacidae)*. Marburger Entomologische Publikationen, **1** (7): 71–98.
- BALACHOWSKY A. S., 1953, *Les cochenilles de France d'Europe, du Nord de l'Afrique, et du bassin Méditerranéen*, VII., *Monographie des Coccoidea; Diaspidinae-IV, Odonaspidini-Parlatorini*. Entomologie Appliquée Actualités Scientifiques et Industrielles, **1202**: 725–929.
- BARNEA O., MUSTAȚĂ M., MUSTAȚĂ G., FERARU E., 2005, *The complex of parasitoids controlling some colonies of aphids*, Lucrările “Entomofagii și rolul lor în păstrarea echilibrului natural”. Editura Universității „Al. I. Cuza” din Iași: 87–97.
- BARNEA O., MUSTAȚĂ M., MUSTAȚĂ GH., 2006, *Facteurs biotiques limitatifs dans des colonies d'aphides*, Analele Științifice ale Universității “Al. I. Cuza” Iași, Seria Biologie Animală, **LII**: 119–130.
- BARTLETT C. R., O'BRIEN L. B., WILSON S. W., 2014, *A review of the planthoppers (Hemiptera: Fulgoroidea) of the United States*. Memoirs of the American Entomological Society, **50**: 1–287.
- BAUDYS E., 1939, *Contribution a l'extension des zooecidies de la Roumanie*. Bulletin du jardin et du musée botanique de l'Université de Cluj, Roumanie: 58–65.
- BĂRBUCEANU D., NICOLAESCU D. P., 2009, *Pests of ornamental trees and shrubs in the parks of Pitești and methods of fighting them*. Scientific Papers, Current Trends in Natural Sciences, Pitești, **1** (1): 2–5.
- BĂRBUCEANU D., MIHĂESCU C. F., 2015a, *New data about the control of the planthopper Metcalfa pruinosa (Say, 1830) (Hemiptera: Flatidae) in the town of Pitești (Argeș County)*. Annals of the University of Craiova – Agriculture, Montanology, Cadastre Series, **XLV**: 26–31.
- BĂRBUCEANU D., DOBRESCU M. C., BORUZ V., TIMUȘ A. 2015b, *Host plants and climatic preferences of the invasive species Metcalfa pruinosa (Say 1830) (Hemiptera: Flatidae) in some places from southern Romania*. Current Trends in Natural Sciences, **4** (8): 13–22.
- BĂRBULESCU AL., 1965, *Rezultate obținute în combaterea păduchelui verde al cerealelor (Schizaphis graminum Rond.) la sorg*. Analele ICCA, Secția de Protecția plantelor, **III**, 193–204.
- BĂRBULESCU AL., 1973, *Factori care influențează apariția formelor sexuate ale păduchelui verde al cerealelor (Schizaphis graminum Rond.)*. Analele I.C.P.P., **IX**: 242.
- BĂRBULESCU AL., 1975, *Eficacitatea unor insecticide organo-fosforice sistemice în combaterea păduchelui verde al cerealelor (Schizaphis graminum Rond.)*. Probleme de protecția plantelor, **3** (2): 155–274.
- BEN-DOV Y., 1993, *A systematic catalogue of the soft scale insects of the world (Homoptera: Coccoidea: Coccidae) with data on geographical distribution, host plants, biology and economic importance*. Gainesville, USA: Sandhill Crane Press, Inc., 536 pp.
- BEN-DOV Y., 1994, *A systematic catalogue of the mealybugs of the world (Insecta: Homoptera: Coccoidea: Pseudococcidae and Putoidae) with data on geographical distribution, host plants, biology and economic importance*. 100th Intercept Limited Andover, UK, 686 pp.
- BEȘLEAGĂ R., CÂRDEI E., 2009, *Combaterea păduchelui lănos-Eriosoma lanigerum Hausum în plantațiile de măr de la SCDP Iași*. Lucrările Științifice ale Institutului de Cercetare-Dezvoltare pentru Pomicultură, Pitești, Mărăcineni, **XXV**: 143–145.
- BLADA I., 1982, *Testing larch clones for Adelges laricis*. Proceedings of the 3rd International workshop on the genetics of host-parasite interactions in forestry, Wageningen (Netherlands), 466–471.
- BLADA I., 1995, *Ameliorarea pe cale genetică a rezistenței duglasului și laricelui la principalele boli și dăunători* (Available at : <http://www.editurasilvica.ro/analeleicas/34/1/blada.pdf>)
- BOGULEANU Gh., BOBÎRNAC B., COSTESCU C., DUVLEA I., FILIPESCU C., PAȘOL P., PEIU M., PERJU T., 1980, *Entomologie Agricolă*. Editura Didactică și Pedagogică, București, 480 pp.
- BORCEA I., 1908, *Materiaux pour l'Etude de la faune des aphides de Roumanie*. Annales Scientifiques de l'Université de Jassy, **5**: 166–210.

- BORCEA I., 1909, *Contribuțiuni la catalogul aphidelor din România*. Publicațiunile fondului Vasilie Adamachi, Academia Română, XXIII, București, 4: 42.
- BORCHSENIUS N., 1966, *A catalogue of the armoured scale insects (Diaspidoidea) of the world*. Nauka, Moscow & Leningrad, 449 pp.
- BORZA AL., GHIUȚĂ M., 1938, *Contribuții la studiul cecidiilor în România*. Buletinul Grădinii Botanice, Muzeul Botanic, Cluj, **18** (1–4): 67–82.
- BRANIȘTE N., 2002, *The pear industry in Eastern Europe*. Acta Horticulturae, **596**: 83–85.
- BRANIȘTE N., AMZAR V., RĂDULESCU M., SUGAR D., 1994, *Resistance sources to psylla sp.* Acta Horticulturae, **367**: 54–63.
- BRANIȘTE N., MILITARU M., 2008, *Germplasm fund of Pyrus sp. presently in ex-situ Romania collections*. Acta Horticulturae, **800**: 497–501.
- BRĂILOIU-TĂNASE D., 1998, *White Peach Scale Pseudaulacaspis pentagona (Targioni-Tozzetti) (Homoptera: Diaspididae) damage, identification, biology and control in Bucharest-Băneasa area*. Zhivotnov dni Nauky (supplement): 10–11.
- BRUDEA V., 2009, *The efficacy of some biopesticides and vegetal metabolites in the control of spirea aphid Aphis spiraeophaga Müller (O. Homoptera – F. Aphididae)*. Facultatea de Agronomie, a 52-a Conferință științifică internațională, Iași.
- BRUDEA V., RIȘCA I. M., 2010, *Implementation of some biopesticides in integrated pest management (IPM) of larch aphid Adelges laricis Vallot (f. Adelgidae – Homoptera) and the horse chestnut leaf miner Cameraria ohridella Desch. & Dimic (f. Gracillariidae – Lepidoptera)*. Cercetări Agronomice în Moldova, XLIII, **4** (144): 79–87.
- BUNESCU H., OLTEAN I., DUDA M., FLORIAN T., BODIȘ I., 2010, *Alternative pest management strategies in orchard ecosystems protection. the 45th Croatian & 5th International Symposium on Agriculture at Opatija (Croatia), February 15–21, Zagreb: 55–59.*
- BYRNE D. N., BELLOWS T. S., PARELLA M. P., 1990, *Whiteflies in agricultural systems*. Pp.: 227–26. In: Gerling D. (ed.), *Whiteflies: their bionomics, pest status and management*. Andover, UK, Intercept Limited.
- CABI, 1999, *Distribution maps of plant pests*, No December, Map 394.
- CĂLIN M., CRISTEA T. O., AMBARUS S., BREZEANU C., BREZEANU P. M., PRISECARU M., ȘOVA G. F., 2004, *The study on attacks and control of aphids in organic tomato and beans*. Scientific Studies and Research Biology, **25** (2): 7–10.
- CANTOREANU M., 1971, *Cercetări asupra unor specii de cicadine (Hom. Auchen.) din Republica Socialistă România*. Studii și Comunicări, Științele Naturii, Muzeul Pitești: 87–92.
- CANTOREANU M., 1975, *Homoptera Auchenorrhyncha*. Fauna, Seria Monografică, Grupul de Cercetări Complexe “Porțile de Fier”, Editura Academiei Republicii Socialiste România: 90–95.
- CEAN I., CEAN M., STĂNICĂ F., 2012, *The harmful fauna of pear in ecological conditions of Bucharest in 2012*. Scientific Papers, Series B, Horticulture, **LVI**: 437–441.
- CEAN I., CEAN M., 2013, *Biodiversity of Auchenorrhyncha insects in a pear orchard from south-east of Romania*, Scientific Papers, Series B, Horticulture, **LVII**, 173–176.
- CEIANU I., TEODORESCU IRINA, 1973, *Dendrocercus (Macrostigma serricornis Boh.) parazit al dipterului camemiid Neoleucopis obscura Hal., prădător în colonii de Dreyfusia piceae Ratz. (Homoptera-Adelgidae)*. Analele Universității din București: 65–72.
- CEIANU I., TEODORESCU IRINA, 1975, *Un dăunător puțin cunoscut, păduchele lănos de scoarță al bradului, Dreyfusia piceae Ratz. (Homoptera-Adelgidae)*. Revista pădurilor, Anul **90** (4): 243–247.
- CHIRA D., CHIRA F., 1997, *Beech canker spreading in Romania*. Pp: 46–53. In: Knížek M., Zahradník P., Diviš K. (eds), *Proceedings of the Workshop on Forest Insect and Disease Survey, Czech Republic, Pisek. Forestry and Game Management Research Institute*.
- CHIRA D., CHIRA F., 1998, *Beech problems in Romania*. Pp: 23–28. In: *Proceedings of the IUFRO Workshop “Complex Diseases”, Wien, March 16–21*.
- CHIRA D., DĂNESCU F., ROȘU C., CHIRA F., MIHALCIUC V., SURDU A., NICOLESCU N. V., 2003, *Some recent issues regarding the European beech decline in Romania*. Analele I.C.A.S., **46**: 167–176.

- CHIRA F., CHIRA D., NEMȚEANU P., VLĂDUȚI S., 1996, *Beech canker (Nectria sp.), a disease which continues to spread*. Revista de Silvicultură, **1**: 24–29.
- CHIRECEANU C., 1999, *Precision sur la revision des psylles du poirier en Roumanie conformement aux derniers recherches taxonomiques*. Buletinul Informativ al Societatii Lepidopterologice Române, **10** (1–4): 211–214.
- CHIRECEANU C., BĂLAN V., DROSU S., SIVU C., 2006, *Entomophagous Range and Structure in the Aphid Colonies on Peach-Trees in the Bucharest-Baneasa Area*. Lucrările științifice UȘAMVB, Seria A, **XLIX**: 229–235.
- CHIRECEANU C., 2007, *Species diversity of insects in pear ecosystem in Băneasa-Bucharest*. Entomologica Romanica, **12**: 243–249.
- CHIRECEANU C. CHIRILOAIE A., TEODORU A., SIVU C., 2015, *Contribution to knowledge of the gall insects and mites associated with plants in southern Romania*. Scientific Papers – Series B, Horticulture, **59**: 27–36.
- CHIRECEANU C., GUTUE C., 2011, *Metcalfa pruinosa (Say) (Hemiptera: Flatidae) identified in a new south eastern area of Romania (Bucharest area)*. Romanian Journal of Plant Protection, **4**: 28–34.
- CHIRECEANU C., PLOAIE P. G., GUTUE M., MINODORA N. I., STAN C., COMȘA M., GUTUE C., 2010, *Detection of the Auchenorrhyncha fauna associated with vineyard agroecosystem in Romania*. IXth European Congress of Entomology, Budapest, Hungary, 22–27 August.
- CHIRECEANU C., PLOAIE P. G., GUTUE M., NICOLAE I., STAN C., COMȘA M., 2011, *Detection of the auchenorrhyncha fauna associated with grapevine displaying yellows symptoms in Romania*. Acta Phytopathologica et Entomologica Hungarica, **46** (2): 253–260.
- CHIRECEANU C., TEODORU A., CHIRILOAIE A., 2017, *New invasive insect pests recently reported in southern Romania*. Scientific Papers, Series B, Horticulture, **LXI**: 461–467.
- CHIRECEANU C., TEODORU A., DUMITRU M., ANASTASIU P., 2017, *Two new invasive hemipteran species first recorded in Romania: Orientus ishidae (Matsumura, 1902) (Cicadellidae) and Acanalonia conica (Say, 1830) (Acanaloniidae)*. Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies, **5** (2): 824–830.
- CICEOI R., BĂDULESCU L.-A., GUTUE M., MARDARE E. S., POMOHACI C. M., 2017a, *Citizen-generated Data on Invasive Alien Species in Romania, Trends and Challenge*. Acta Zoologica Bulgarica, Suppl., **9**: 255–260.
- CICEOI R., GUTUE C., GUTUE M., ROȘCA I., 2017b, *Current Status of Pests Associated with Urban Vegetation in Bucharest Area*. Acta Zoologica Bulgarica, Suppl. **9**: 181–190.
- CICEOI R., PAȘOL P., DOBRIN I., 2005, *The efficacy of treatments with water extracts on Cinara cupressi (Buckton)*. Revue de Cytologie et Biologie végétales-Le Botaniste, **28** (Special Issue): 376–379.
- CIOCHIA V., 1978, *Procedure and device for mass-rearing Quadraspidiotus perniciosus Comst. to be used as parasitization support in Prospaltella perniciosi (Tow.) and Apytis nurseries*. Annales de Zoologie-Écologie Animale, **10**: 641–644.
- CIOCHIA V., 1997, *Tehnologia de creștere a viesilor Prospaltella sp. și Aphis sp.. In: Ciochia (Coord.), Limitarea populațiilor de dăunători vegetali și animalii din culturile agricole prin mijloace biologice și biotehnice în vederea protejării mediului înconjurător*. Editura DISZ TIPO, Brașov, România.
- CIOCHIA V., BOERIU H., 1996, *Conspectul afidelor, plantele gazda și principalii limitatori naturali din România*, Editura Lux Libris, Brașov, 80 pp.
- CIOCHIA V., ANDRIESCU I., CIOCHIA D., 1979–1980, *Tehnologia de creștere industrială în România a entomofagilor Prospaltella perniciosi și Aphis sp. (Hym.) în vederea utilizării acestora pentru limitarea populațiilor păduchelui din San José (Quadraspidiotus perniciosus)*. Cumidava, Enciclopedia Dacica, XII, **3** (Available at: <http://www.encyclopedia-dacica.ro/=Cumidava>)
- CIOCHIA V., BOERIU H., 1997, *Limitarea populațiilor de Homoptere și în special de afide prin mijloace biologice*. In: Ciochia (Coord.), Limitarea populațiilor de dăunători vegetali și animalii din culturile agricole prin mijloace biologice și biotehnice în vederea protejării mediului înconjurător, Editura DISZ TIPO, Brașov, România.

- CIOCHIA V., ISAC G., STAN GH., 1992, *Tehnologii de creștere industrială a câtorva specii de insecte auxiliare folosite în combaterea biologică a dăunătorilor*. Editura Ceres, București.
- CIOCHIA V., STANCĂ M. C., MUSTAȚĂ GH., MUSTAȚĂ M., MANIU C., STĂNESCU C., **TEODORESCU IRINA**, BUIUC M., TOADER V., DONESCU D., 2008, *Aphids in Romania, natural limiters, methods of reducing populations*. Editura Pelecanus, Brașov, 432 pp.
- CONCI C., RAPISARDA C., TAMANINI L., 1996, *Annotated catalogue of the Italian Psylloidea, Second part (Insecta Homoptera)*. Atti Ace. Rov. Agiati, a. 245 (1995), sei: VII. vol. V. B: 5–207.
- CONSTANTINESCU V., 1975, *Cercetări asupra biologiei, ecologiei și combaterii păduchelui cenușiu al verzei Brevicoryne brassicae L.*. Universitatea București, Facultatea de Biologie, Teza de doctorat.
- COSTACHE M., MIHĂILESCU S., 1976, *Combaterea microflorei saprofite asociată cu uscarea frunzelor în culturile de tomate și castraveți infestate cu musculița albă Trialeurodes vaporariorum Westw.*, Producția vegetală, Horticultură (Available at: <http://agris.fao.org/agris-search/search.do?recordID=US201301261449>).
- CSÓKA G., STONE G. N., MELIKA G., 2017, *Non-native gall-inducing insects on forest trees: a global review*. Biological Invasions, **19** (11): 3161–3181.
- DAISIE 2009, *Handbook of Alien Species in Europe*. Dordrecht, Springer, 399 pp.
- DANZIG E. M., 1978, *Scale insect fauna of South Sakhalin and Kunashir*. Trudy Biologo-Pochvennogo, Akademii Nauk SSR, Vladivostok, **50**: 3–23.
- DANZIG E. M., 1993, *Fauna of Russia and neighbouring countries. Rhynchota, Volume X: suborder scale insects (Coccinea): families Phoenicococcidae and Diaspididae*. 'Nauka' Publishing House St. Petersburg, 452 pp.
- DANZIG E. M. & GAVRILOV-ZIMIN I. A., 2013, *Revision of Mealybugs of the Heterococcus Ferris, 1918 Genera Group (Homoptera, Coccinea: Pseudococcidae) of the Fauna of Russia and Neighboring Countries*. Entomological Review, **93** (4): 459–474.
- DANZIG E. M., PELLIZZARI G., 1998, *Diaspididae*. Pp.: 172–370. In: Kozár F. (ed.) Catalogue of Palearctic Coccoidea. Plant Protection Institute, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Budapest, Hungary.
- DIETER S., MARCU O., ISAIA G., 2001, *Contribuții privind biologia păduchelui țestos Nuculaspis abietis (Schrank)*. Revista pădurilor, Regia Națională a Pădurilor.
- DLABOLA J., 1977, *Chorologische Ergänzungen zur Zikadenfauna des Mittelmeergebietes (Hom.: Auchenorrhyncha)*. Acta Entomologica Musei Nationalis Pragae, **33** (1–21): 21–31.
- DLABOLA J., 1981, *Ergebnisse der Tschechoslowakisch Iranischen Entomologischen Expeditionen nach dem Iran (1970 und 1973) (Mit Ausgaben über einige Salmelresultate in Anatolien Homoptera: Auchenorrhyncha m. Ten)*. Acta Entomologica Musei Nationalis Pragae, **40**: 127–311.
- DOBREANU E., MANOLACHE C., 1959, *Nouvelle contribution à la connaissance des psylles (Psylloidea-Trioizinae)*. Revue Roumaine de Biologie, **4**: 279–299.
- DOBREANU E., MANOLACHE C., 1962, *Homoptera Psylloidea, Fauna R.P.R., Insecta*, Vol. VIII, Fascicula 3, Edit. Acad. R. P. R., 377 pp.
- DOBREANU E., MANOLACHE C., 1969, *Homoptera Aleyrodoidea, Subfamilia Aleyrodinae, Fauna Republicii Socialiste România, Insecta*, **8**: 1–152.
- DON I., DON C. D., SASU L. R., VIDREAN D., BRAD M. L., 2016, *Insect pests on the trees and shrubs from the Macea Botanical Garden, Studia Universitatis "Vasile Goldiș" Arad. Seria Științe Inginerești și Agro-turism*, **11** (2): 23–28.
- DON I., SASU L., BALINT N. D., 2013, *Metcalfa pruinosa a pest on the Macea Botanical Garden Plants Studia Universitatis "Vasile Goldiș" Arad. Seria Științe Inginerești și Agro-turism*, **8** (2): 25–28.
- DUSCHIN I., 1986, *Investigations on the bioecology and control of the woolly vine scale (Pulvinaria vitis L.)*. Cercetări agronomice în Moldova, **19**: 55–59.
- DUVLEA I., 1971, *Cercetări biologice, ecologice și influența îngrășămintelor minerale și a tratamentelor asupra fluctuației populațiilor păduchelui verde al piersicului (Myzus persicae Sulz.)*. Institutul Agronomic București.

- ECOBICI M. M., BIRO T., POPA A., 2005, *Research concerning the chemical control against Pseudococcus adonidum L.* Journal of Central European Agriculture, **5** (2004), **3**: 137–142.
- EMBER I., ACS Z., MUNYANEZA J. E., CROSSLIN J., KOLBER M., 2011, *Survey and molecular detection of phytoplasmas associated with potato in Romania and southern Russia.* European Journal of Plant Pathology, **130**: 367–377.
- FERARU E., 2004, *The catalogue of the species of aphids (Homoptera: Aphididae) that attack fruit trees in Vaslui county.* Analele Științifice ale Universității “Al. I. Cuza” Iași, Seria Biologie animală, **L**: 51–58.
- FERARU E., MUSTAȚĂ GH., 2005, *Species of parasitoids that control the populations of aphids (Homoptera: Aphididae) from some orchards of Iași and Vaslui counties.* Lucrările “Entomofagii și rolul lor în păstrarea echilibrului natural”, Editura Universității „Al. I. Cuza” Iași: 75–78.
- FERARU E., MUSTAȚĂ GH., BARNEA O., 2005, *The diversity of the parasitoids in some colonies of Aphids (Homoptera: Aphididae) installed on grassy plants.* Lucrările “Entomofagii și rolul lor în păstrarea echilibrului natural”, Editura Universității “Al. I. Cuza” Iași: 67–74.
- FERICEAN L. M., PĂLĂGEȘIU I., ZEPA C., 2008, *Researches regarding the influence of zone ecological concerning the potato aphid fauna dynamics from S. D. Timișoara and Vârfurile:* 405–410 (agricultura.usab-tm.ro/Simpo2008pdf)
- FERICEAN L. M., PĂLĂGEȘIU I., VÎRTEIU A. M., PRUNAR S., 2010, *Research regarding biology and ecology of the main aphid species of potato culture.* Research Journal of Agricultural Science, **42** (2): 31–34.
- FERICEAN L. M., PĂLĂGEȘIU I., PALICICA R., PRUNAR S., VÂRTEIU A. M., 2011, *The behaviour, life cycle and biometrical measurements of Myzus persicae.* Research Journal of Agricultural Science, **43** (2): 34–39.
- FERICEAN L. M., RADA O., BĂDILÎȚA M., 2016, *Research regarding external anatomy of species Brachycaudus helichrysi and Brachycaudus cardui.* Research Journal of Agricultural Science, **48** (2): 51–55.
- FERICEAN L. M., CORNEANU M., 2016, *The variability of some phenotypic features and life cycle in two Aphis pomi populations from western Romania.* Muzeul Olteniei Craiova, Oltenia, Studii și Comunicări, Științele Naturii, **32** (1): 61–66.
- FETYKÓ K. G., KOZÁR F., DARÓCZI K., 2010, *Species list of the scale insects (Hemiptera: Coccoidea) of Romania, with new data.* Acta Phytopathologica et Entomologica Hungarica, **45** (2): 291–302.
- FICKER A., 2014, *Dăunători din livada de nuc* (Available at: <http://despre-agricultura-ecologica.blogspot.com/2014/02/daunatori-din-livada-de-nuc-3-afide.html?view= magazine>).
- FICKER T.A., 2014, *Dăunătorii nucului, epidemiologie* (Available at: <https://dokumen.tips/documents/daunatorii-nucului-epidemiologie-alina-ficker-trif-v2.html>).
- FORA C.G., LAUER K.F., 2008, *Pineus strobi Htg. (Homoptera, Adelgidae), a pest of Pinus strobus.* Research Journal of Agricultural Science, **40** (1): 419–422.
- FUSU L., ANDRIESCU I., POPESCU I. E., 2002, *Contributions to the study of the Encyrtidae (Hymenoptera Chalcidoidea) from the David's Valley Meadows Reserve.* Pp.: 95–101. In: In Memoriam “Professor Dr. Doc. Vasile Gh. Radu”, Corresponding Member of Romanian Academy of Sciences, “Babeș-Bolyai” University, Departament of Zoology, Cluj University Press.
- FUSU L., POPESCU I. E., 2003, *New contributions to the study of Romanian encyrtid wasp (Hymenoptera, Encyrtidae) fauna.* Analele Științifice ale Universității “Al. I. Cuza” din Iași, (Serie Nouă), Secțiunea I, Biologie Animală, **159**: 87–93.
- GEORGESCU C. C., PETRESCU M., ENE M., ȘTEFĂNESCU M., MIRON V., 1957, *Bolile și dăunătorii pădurilor; biologie și combatere.* Editura Agro-Silvică de Stat, București.
- GLOWACKA E., KUZNETSOVA V. G., MARYANSKA-NADACHOWSKA A., 1995, *Testes follicle number in psyllids (Psylloidea, Homoptera) as anatomical feature in studies of systematic relations within the group.* Folia Biol. (Krakow), **43**: 115–124.
- GOGAN A., GROZEA I., VIRTEIU A. M., 2010, *Metcalfa pruinosa Say (Insecta: Homoptera: Flatidae) first occurrence in western part of Romania.* Research Journal of Agricultural Science, **42** (4): 63–67.

- GROZEA I., GOGAN A., VIRTEIU A. M., GROZEA A., ȘTEF R., MOLNAR L., CĂRĂBET A., DINNESEN S., 2011, *Metcalfa pruinosa* Say (Insecta: Homoptera: Flatidae): A new pest in Romania. African Journal of Agricultural Research, **6** (27): 5870–5877.
- GROZEA I., MIHAI V., GOGAN A., VIRTEIU A. M., ȘTEF R., CARABET A., DAMIANOV S., FLORIAN T., 2014, A new problem for Western Romania: *Metcalfa pruinosa* (Hemiptera: Flatidae). Bulletin of University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine Cluj-Napoca Agriculture (Available at: <http://journals.usamvcluj.ro/index.php/agriculture/issue/archive>).
- GROZEA I., GOGAN A., VLAD M., VIRTEIU A. M., ȘTEF R., CĂRĂBET A., DAMIANOV S., FLORIAN T., 2015a, A new problem for western Romania: *Metcalfa pruinosa* (Hemiptera: Flatidae). Bulletin of University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine Cluj-Napoca, Horticulture, **72** (1): 74–80.
- GROZEA I., ȘTEF R., VIRTEIU A. M., CĂRĂBET A., MOLNAR L., MAZĂRE V., 2015b, O nouă specie de cicade, *Metcalfa pruinosa* în Europa de est—prezent și perspective. Revista “Știința Agricolă”: 449–455.
- GROZEA I., VLAD M., VIRTEIU A. M., ȘTEF R., CĂRĂBET A., MOLNAR L., MAZĂRE V., 2015c, Biological control of invasive species *Metcalfa pruinosa* Say (Insecta: Hemiptera: Flatidae) in ornamentals plants by using Coccinellids. Journal of Biotechnology, European Biotechnology Congress, Vol. 208, Supplement: S112–S112, (Available at: <http://www.journals.elsevier.com/journal-of-biotechnology/>).
- GUSIC J. V., 1972, *Pineus strobi*, aphide du pin blanc (*Pinus strobus*) genre et espece signalts dans la faune de la Roumanie (Jardin Botanique de Bucarest et Parc Herăstrău). Acta Botanica Horti Bucurestiensis: 677–678.
- GUSIC J. V., 1975, Homoptera, Pemphigidae și Adelgidae, Fauna, Seria Monografică, Grupul de Cercetări Complexe “Porțile de Fier”, Edit. Academiei Republicii Socialiste România: 95–96.
- GUSIC V. J., 1998, Contributions a l’etude taxonomique des aphides cecidiogenes de la famille Pemphigidae (genres *Thecabius* Koch et *Pemphigus* Gill.) et de la famille Adelgidae (genres *Pineus* Schimer, *Sacchiphantes* Curtis, *Adelges* Vallot et *Gilletteella* Hartig) de Roumanie. Travaux du Museum National d’Histoire Naturelle “Grigore Antipa”, Bucharest, **XL**: 311–329.
- GUTUE C., GUTUE M., ROȘCA I., 2015, *Unaspis euonymi* (Comstock), a pest associated with damaging the parks and ornamental gardens of Bucharest. Scientific papers, Series B, Horticulture, **59**: 351–354.
- GWIAZDOWSKI R. A., VAN DRIESCHE R. G., DESNOYERS A., LYONA S., SAN-AN WU S., KAMATA N., NORMARK B. B., 2006, Possible geographic origin of beech scale, *Cryptococcus fagisuga* (Hemiptera: Eriococcidae), an invasive pest in North America. Biological Control, **39** (1): 9–18.
- HAC A. P., 2009, Aspecte privind prezența galelor pe plantele lemnoase în Parcul Natural Lunca Mureșului. Revista Pădurilor, Anul 124, **4**: 33–38.
- HOLMAN J., PINTERA A., 1981, Übersicht der Blattläuse (Homoptera, Aphididoidea) der Rumänischen Sozialistischen Republik. Praha, Československa Akademie Ved., 125 pp.
- HONDRU N. et al., 1986, Principalele specii de afide dăunătoare culturilor de cereale de toamnă și răspândirea lor pe județe și zone de cultură. Lucrările celei de a IX-a Conferințe de Protecția plantelor, București, **1**: 211–218.
- HORVÁT G., 1897, Ordo Hemiptera, Fauna Regni Hungariae, **3**: 59–63.
- HORVÁTH G., 1897, Homoptera nova ex Hungaria, Természetrájsi Füzetek, **20**: 620–643.
- HOY J. M., 1963, A catalogue of the Eriococcidae (Homoptera: Coccoidea) of the world, New Zealand Department of Scientific and Industrial Research Bulletin, **150**: 1–260.
- IACOB N., LĂCĂTUȘU M., BERATLIEF C., MIHALACHE G., CEIANU I., 1975, Combaterea biologică a dăunătorilor, Editura Științifică, București.
- IIE, 1990, Distribution maps of pests, No. 13, Wallingford, UK: CAB International.
- ILIE A. L., MARINESCU M., 2011, The galls from Tinca area (Bihor county, Romania). Studia Universitatis “Vasile Goldiș”, Seria Științele Vieții, **21** (4): 821–825.
- IOACHIM E., BOBÂRNAC B., 1997, Research on the hazelnut pests in Romania. Acta Horticulturae, **445**: 527–536.

- IOAN M. I., MIRICĂ A. I., TIMOTEI P. S., 1987, *Record of two species of aphid pests of grapevine*. Buletinul de Protecția Plantelor, **2–3**: 15–17.
- IOANA M., FRASIN L.-B., 2008, *Avertizarea tratamentelor după biologia dăunătorului *Quadraspidiotus perniciosus* Comst. în condițiile climatice ale județului Dâmbovița*, Simpozionul științific "Agricultura durabilă în contextul schimbărilor de mediu", 16 octombrie 2008.
- IONESCU A. M., 1965, *Specii noi de Cynipoidee în fauna Republicii Populare Române*. Studii și Cercetări de Biologie, Seria Zoologie, **4**: 317–323.
- IONESCU A. M., LĂCĂTUȘU M., **TEODORESCU IRINA**, TUDOR C., 1972, *Etude des relations entre les aphides (Homoptera) et les insectes zoophages (predateurs et parasites)*. Analele Universității din București, Anul **XXI**: 73–82.
- IONESCU A. M., **TEODORESCU IRINA**, 1969, *Familia Chamaemyiidae în fauna României*. Comunicări de Zoologie, Prima Consfătuire Națională de Entomologie, Partea I: 209–219.
- ISAC M., PREDA S., MARCU M., 1998, *Aphid species-vectors of plum pox virus*. Acta Virologica, **42**: 233–234.
- ISAIA G., 2011, *Researches concerning species of insects on Scotch pine (*Pinus sylvestris*) needles*. Pp.: 115–125. In: Volumul de lucrări al Simpozionului Biodiversitatea și managementul insectelor din România, Suceava, 24–25 septembrie.
- ISAIA G., MANEA A., 2008, *Researches upon the Leucaspis genus in Romania and Portugal*. Bulletin of the Transilvania University of Brașov, Forestry, Wood Industry, Agricultural Food Engineering, Series II, **1**, 13 pp.
- JOVIĆ J., CVRKOVIĆ T., MITROVIĆ M., KRNJAJIĆ S., PETROVIĆ A., REDINBAUGH M. G., PRATT R. C., HOGENHOUT S. A., TOŠEVSKI I., 2009, *Stolbur phytoplasma transmission to maize by *Reptalus panzeri* and the disease cycle of maize redness in Serbia*. Phytopathology, **99** (9): 1053–1061.
- JURCĂ V., REUS A., 1973, *Biochemical changes in the plants *Ageratum mexicanum* and *Salvia splendens*, infested by the greenhouse whitefly (*Trialeurodes vaporariorum* Westw.)*. Analele Științifice ale Universității "Al. I. Cuza" Iași, **19**: 2.
- KAYDAN M. B., KONCZNÉ B., ZSITA É., 2014, *New species of the genus *Ortheziola* Šulc (Hemiptera, Coccoidea, Ortheziidae)*. ZooKeys, **406**: 65–80.
- KAYDAN M. B., VEA M. ISABELLE, SZITA E., 2016, *Evolution of sensory antennal structures in the ensign scale insects (Hemiptera Coccoomorpha Ortheziidae)*, REDIA, **XCIX**: 177–186.
- KNECHTEL W. C., 1909, *Insectele vătămătoare și mijloacele de combatere a lor*. Editura Albert Baer, București.
- KNECHTEL W. K., 1930, *Zur Kenntnis der Coccidenfauna Rumaniens*. Premier Congres des Naturalistes de Roumani (pt. 2): 230–237.
- KNECHTEL W. K., MANOLACHE C. I., 1940a, *Observațiuni asupra sistematicii unor specii de afide în România*. Analele Institutului de Cercetări Agricole, **12**: 287–307.
- KNECHTEL W. K., MANOLACHE C., 1940b, *Pemphigus bursarius L.*. Horticultura Românească, **18**: 14.
- KNECHTEL W. K., MANOLACHE C. I., 1942, *Neue Blattlausarten für Rumänien*. Bulletin de la Section Scientifique de l'Académie Roumaine, **23**: 162–171.
- KNECHTEL W. K., MANOLACHE C. I., 1943, *Observațiuni asupra sistematicii unor specii de Aphide din România*. Analele Institutului de Cercetări Agricole, **B**: 217–267.
- KNECHTEL W. K., MANOLACHE C., 1944, *New Blattläuse für Roumanien*. Bulletin de la Section Scientifique de l'Académie Roumaine, **XXVI**.
- KOSZTARAB M., KOZÁR F., 1978, *Scale insects-coccoidea*, Fauna Hungariae. Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, **17**: 1–192.
- KOSZTARAB M., KOZÁR F., 1988, *Scale Insects of Central Europe*. Boletín del Museo de Entomología de la Universidad del Valle Akadémiai Kiado Budapest, 456 pp.
- KOZÁR F., 1985, *New data to the knowledge of scale-insects of Bulgaria, Greece, and Rumania (Homoptera: Coccoidea)*. Acta Phytopathologica Academiae Scientiarum Hungaricae, **20** (1–2): 201–205.

- KOZÁR F., 2004, *Ortheziidae of the World*. Plant Protection Institute, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Budapest, 525 pp.
- KOZÁR F., 2009, *Zoogeographical analysis and status of knowledge of the Eriococcidae (Hemiptera), with a World list of species*. Bollettino di Zoologia Agraria e di Bachicoltura (Milano), Ser. II, **41** (2): 87–121.
- KOZÁR F., BINK-MOENEN R. M., 1988, *New data to the knowledge of the whiteflies of the Palaearctic Region (Homoptera: Aleyrodidae)*. Folia Entomologica Hungarica, **49**: 117–121.
- KOZÁR F., MILLER D. R., 2000, *World revision of Ortheziola Šulc (Homoptera: Coccoidea: Ortheziidae) with descriptions of eleven new species*. Systematic Entomology, **25**: 15–45.
- KOZÁR F., NAGY B., 1998, *New data to the distribution of some Palaearctic scale insects (Homoptera: Coccoidea)*. Folia Entomologica Hungarica, **59**: 53–56.
- KOZÁR F., KAYDAN M. B., KONCZNÉ BENEDICTY S., SZITA É., 2013, *Acanthococcidae and Related Families of the Palaearctic Region*. Hungarian Academy of Sciences Budapest, Hungary, 680 pp.
- KOZÁR F., KONCZNÉ BENEDICTY ZSUZSANNA, FETYKÓ K., BALÁZS K., SZITA É., 2013, *An annotated update of the scale insect checklist of Hungary (Hemiptera, Coccoidea)*. ZooKeys, **309**: 49–66.
- LĂCĂTUȘU MATILDA, TUDOR CONSTANȚA, **TEODORESCU IRINA**, 1974, *Lucrări practice de Entomologie, Centrul de Multiplicare al Universității București*, 390 pp.
- LĂCĂTUȘU MATILDA, 1975, *Himenoptere braconide și afidiide – factori limitativi în înmulțirea insectelor dăunătoare*, în Iacob et al., *Combaterea biologică a dăunătorilor*, Editura Științifică, București, România.
- LĂCĂTUȘU MATILDA, **TEODORESCU IRINA**, TUDOR CONSTANȚA, CEIANU I., PAULIAN FL., 1978, *Structura și evoluția entomofaunei în monocultura de porumb*. In: Probleme de ecologie terestră, coord. R. Codreanu, Editura Academiei Române, 259 pp.
- LĂCĂTUȘU MATILDA, **TEODORESCU IRINA**, TUDOR CONSTANȚA, MUSTĂȚĂ GH., 1979, *Entomofagii din coloniile de afide*, Studii și Cercetări de Biologie, seria Biologie animală, **31** (1): 71–75.
- LĂCĂTUȘU M., **TEODORESCU IRINA** et al., 1980, *Structura faunistică din agrobiocenoză grâului de toamnă*. Studii și Cercetări de Biologie, Seria Biologie Animală, **32** (2): 177–180.
- LĂCĂTUȘU M., TUDOR CT., **TEODORESCU IRINA**, CÎNDEA E., 1983a, *Structura faunistică în culturile de solonaceae din câmp*. Lucrările celei de a III-a Conferințe de Entomologie, Iași: 565–567.
- LĂCĂTUȘU M., **TEODORESCU IRINA**, TUDOR CT., NĂDEJDE M., 1983b, *Entomofagii din coloniile de Myzodes persicae Sulz. și importanța lor în combaterea biologică*. Studii și Cercetări de Biologie, Seria Biologie Animală, **35** (1): 43–48.
- LĂCĂTUȘU M., TUDOR CT., **TEODORESCU IRINA**, SUCIU M., NĂSTĂȘESCU M., POPESCU GH., DINESCU I., 1984, *Recherches sur la faune nuisible et sur celle utile aux cultures successives des serres*. Travaux du Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle "Grigore Antipa", **XXV**: 249–255.
- LĂCĂTUȘU M., **TEODORESCU IRINA**, TUDOR CT., ROMAN T., 1985a, *The parasites of some Homoptera noxious to plants on covered ground*. Analele Universității din București, **XXXIV**: 89–94.
- LĂCĂTUȘU M., TUDOR CT., **TEODORESCU IRINA**, CANTOREANU M., NEACȘU P., 1985b, *Îndreptar entomologic pentru practica studenților (Regiunea Sinaia și Munții Bucegi)*. Tipografia Universității București, 496 pp.
- LAUTERER P., 1984, *New and interesting records of leafhoppers from Czechoslovakia (Homoptera, Auchenorrhyncha)*. Casopis Československe Solecnosti Entomologicke, **69**: 143–162.
- LAUTERER P., MALENOVSKY I., 2002, *New distributional and biological data on European Psylloidea (Hemiptera, Sternorrhyncha), with special reference to the fauna of the Czech Republic and Slovakia*. Entomologica Basiliensia, **24**: 161–177.
- LEMENI V., ALEXANDRESCU S., 1977, *The effectiveness of insecticides in the control of the whitefly (Trialeurodes vaporariorum Westw.) in the greenhouse*. Acta Horticulturae, **58**: 445–451.

- LEONARDI G., 1920, *Monografia delle cocciniglie Italiane*. Portici: Della Torre, 555 pp.
- MALSCHI D., 2009, *Integrated pest management in relation to environmental sustainability, Part I. Ecological management of wheat pests*. Manual online, Faculty of Environmental Sciences, Babeş-Bolyai University, Cluj-Napoca, Bioflux Publishing House, Cluj-Napoca, 200 pp.
- MALSCHI D., MUSTEA D., PERJU T., 2003, *Homoptera (Aphidina, Cicadina) and their importance from cereal agrobiocenosis in central Transylvania*. *Analele Institutului de Cercetări pentru Cereale și Plante Tehnice, Fundulea*, **70**: 321–336.
- MALSCHI D., STAN GH., MUREȘANU F., NAGY E., ȘTEFĂNESCU M., 2006, *Integrated agroecological control of wheat aphids and cicades, standing for environment-agriculture sustainable development interrelation in Transylvania*. *Bulletin USAMV-CN*, **63**: 80–85.
- MALSCHI D., IVAȘ A. D., KADAR R., 2013, *Integrated management of wheat aphids and leafhoppers, and suitable control methods in Transylvania*. *Romanian Agricultural Research*, **30**: 317–328.
- MANOLACHE C., 1957, *Dăunătorii porumbului, în Săvulescu Tr., Porumbul Studiu monografic, XVIII*, 716–758, Editura Academiei RSR.
- MANOLACHE C., BOGULEANU GH., 1967, *Entomologie Agricolă*. Editura Didactică și Pedagogică, București, 440 pp.
- MANOLACHE C., BOGULEANU GH., 1982, *Tratat de zoologie agricolă. Dăunătorii plantelor cultivate*, Volumul II, Editura Academiei Republicii Socialiste România, București.
- MANOLACHE C., PAȘOL P., ROMAȘCU E., IORDAN P., NAUM A., SADAGORSCHI D., POPESCU M., 1972, *Ecological contributions to the study of grape vines on sandy soils of the Platonești-Săveni-Suditi area (Ialomița)*. *Analele Institutului de Cercetări pentru Protecția Plantelor*, **10**: 257–264.
- MANOLACHE C., PERJU T., FELECAN V., 1970, *Homoptere (Aphidoidea și Psylloidea) transmițătoare de boli virotice la culturile de cartof din Transilvania*. *Analele ICCS, Cartoful*, **VI**: 287–292.
- MANOLACHE C., SĂVESCU A., BOGULEANU GH., PAULIAN FL., BALAJ D., PAȘOL P., 1969, *Entomologie Agricolă*. Editura Agrosilvică, București.
- MĂRGINEAN (SOPORAN) C.-R., *Managementul combaterii dăunătorilor din amenajările peisagistice ale Municipiului Cluj*. PhD Thesis abstract, University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine Cluj-Napoca.
- MARTIN J. H., MIFSUD D., RAPISARDA C., 2000, *The whiteflies (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae) of Europe and Mediterranean Basin*. *Bulletin of Entomological Research*, **90**: 407–448.
- MAZZONI V., 2005, *Contribution to the knowledge of the Auchenorrhyncha (Hemiptera Fulgoromorpha and Cicadomorpha) of Tuscany (Italy)*. *REDIA*, **LXXXVIII**, 85–102.
- MIFSUD D., COCQUEMPOT C., MÜHLETHALE, R., WILSON M., STREITO J.-C., 2010, *Other Hemiptera Sternorrhyncha (Aleyrodidae, Phylloxeroidea, and Psylloidea) and Hemiptera Auchenorrhyncha*, Chapter 9.4. In: Roques A. et al. (eds), *Alien terrestrial arthropods of Europe*. *BioRisk*, **4**: 511–552.
- MIHÁL I., CÍČÁK A., 2007, *A report of beech bark necrotic disease in northern Romania*, In: *Proceedings of the Romanian Academy, Series B*, **9**: 95–98.
- MIHÁL I., CÍČÁK A., TSAKOV H., 2015, *Beech (Fagus sylvatica L.) bark necrotic damage as a serious phytopathological problem in Central and Southeastern Europe*. *Journal of Forest Science*, **61** (1): 7–17.
- MIHALCIUC V., CHIRA D., CHIRA F., DANCI A., 2001, *Monitoring of forest insect and disease in Romania*. In: Knížek M. et al. (eds): *IUFRO Proceedings of the Methodology of Forest Insect and Disease Survey in Central Europe*, Bușteni: 86–91.
- MILLER D. R., DAVIDSON J. A. 2005, *Armored Scale Insect Pests of Trees and Shrubs*. Cornell University Press, Ithaca, NY 442 pp.
- MITREA R., STAN C., TUCĂ O., MITREA I., CIUPEANU D., 2009, *Biodiversity studies in vineyard plantations from the Oltenia-Romania area*. A 44rd Croatian and 4rd International Symposium on Agriculture, vol. 1, Opatija, Croatia: 857–861.

- MITROIU M. D., ANDRIESCU I., 2003, *Contributions to the knowledge of the pteromalids (Hymenoptera, Chalcidoidea, Pteromalidae) from David's Valley hay fields natural reserve, Iași (III)*. Analele Științifice ale Universității Al. I. Cuza Iași, Seria Biologie Animală, **XLIX**: 63–70.
- MOGLAN I., 1988, *Natural enemies of the coccid Sphaerolecanium prunastri (Homoptera: Coccidae) in Romania, Advances in parasitic Hymenoptera research*. Proceeding of the Conference on the Taxonomy and Biology of parasitic Hymenoptera in "Advances in Parasitic Hymenoptera Research", Florida, USA: 389–390.
- MOGLAN I., 1990a, *Contribuții la cunoașterea complexului parazitar al păduchelui țestos al prunului (Parthenolecanium corni Bouche, Homoptera: Coccidae)*. Lucrările Simpozionului "Entomofagii și rolul lor în păstrarea echilibrului natural", Editura Universității "Al. I. Cuza", Iași: 137–142.
- MOGLAN I., 1990b, *Insecte parazite în păduchele țestos al stejarului (Kermococcus roboris Fourcroy, Homoptera, Kermidae) în România*. Lucrările Simpozionului "Entomofagii și rolul lor în păstrarea echilibrului natural", Editura Universității "Al. I. Cuza", Iași: 153–156.
- MOGLAN I., 1990c, *Contribuții la cunoașterea complexului de parazitoizi ai păduchelui negru al prunului (Sphaerolecanium prunastri Fonscolombe) în România*. Lucrările Simpozionului „Entomofagii și rolul lor în păstrarea echilibrului natural”, Editura Universității "Al. I. Cuza", 1989: 143–148.
- MOGLAN I., 1994a, *Himenoptere parazitoide (Hymenoptera Chalcidoidea) ale păduchelui virgulă al pomilor-Lepidosaphes ulmi L., (Homoptera Diaspididae) în Delta Dunării*. Analele Științifice ale Institutului de Cercetare și Proiectare Delta Dunării, **III** (1): 59–61.
- MOGLAN I., 1994b, *The parasitoid complex of the coccid Sphaerolecanium prunastri Fonscolombe (Homoptera Coccidae) in the Danube Delta Biosphere Reserve (Romania)*. Analele Științifice ale Institutului de Cercetare și Proiectare Delta Dunării, **III** (1): 63–66.
- MOGLAN I., 1995–1997, *Hyménoptères parasitoïdes (Hymenoptera, Chalcidoidea) qui restreignent l'espèce Sphaerolecanium prunastri Fonscolombe (Homoptera, Coccidae) dans la zone moyenne de la Moldavie*, Analele Științifice ale Universității "Al. I. Cuza", Iași, Seria Biologie Animală, **XLI–XLIII**: 45–50.
- MOGLAN I., 1997, *Limitarea pe cale naturală a unor specii de păduchi țestoși (Homoptera Coccoidea) dăunători pomilor fructiferi și arborilor ornamentali*. In: Ciochia (Coord.), Limitarea populațiilor de dăunători vegetali și animalii din culturile agricole prin mijloace biologice și biotehnice în vederea protejării mediului înconjurător, Editura DISZ TIPO, Brașov, România.
- MOGLAN I., 2000, *Le complexe parasitaire de Parthenolecanium corni Bouché (Coccidae, Homoptera) en Roumanie*. Mitteilungen der Deutschen Gesellschaft für Allgemeine und Angewandte Entomologie, **12**: 133–139.
- MOGLAN I., 2001a, *Complexul de parazitoizi (Hymenoptera, Chalcidoidea) ai păduchelui țestos al plopului – Quadraspidiotus gigas Thiem et Gerneck (Diaspididae, Homoptera) în România*. Lucrările Simpozionului Jubiliar "30 ani de la formarea Rezervației Codrii", **2**: 37–38, Chișinău, Republica Moldova.
- MOGLAN I., 2001b, *Complexul de parazitoizi (Hymenoptera: Chalcidoidea) al păduchelui negru al prunului (Sphaerolecanium prunastri Fonscolombe-Homoptera: Coccidae) în zona de vest a României*. Buletin de Informare Entomologică, **11** (1–4): 187–193.
- MOGLAN I., 2003, *Le complexe parasitaire de Lepidosaphes ulmi Linné (Diaspididae, Homoptera) en Roumanie*, Verhandlungen des 15 Internationalen Simposiums über Entomofaunistik in Mitteleuropa (SIEEC), Iași, Romania, 358–364.
- MOGLAN I., 2004, *Hyménoptères parasitoïdes (Chalcidoidea, Hymenoptera) du Pou de San José – Quadraspidiotus perniciosus Comstock (Diaspididae, Homoptera) de la zone centrale de la Moldavie (Roumanie)*. Analele Științifice ale Universității "Al. I. Cuza", Iași, Seria Biologie Animală, **L**: 111–115.

- MOGLAN I., 2005, *The parasitoid complex of coccid Sphaerolecanium prunastri (Coccidae, Homoptera) in Romania*. Linzer biol. Beitr.-Verhandlungen des 18 Internationalen Simposiums über Entomofaunistik in Mitteleuropa (SIEEC), Linz-Austria 2003, **37** (1):18, Kurzfass.
- MOGLAN I., 2006, *Hymenopteres parasitoides (Chalcidoidea, Hymenoptera) du coccide Sphaerolecanium prunastri Fonscolombe (Coccidae, Homoptera) en Roumanie*. Analele Științifice ale Universității "Al. I. Cuza", Iași, Seria Biologie Animală, **LII**: 99–108.
- MOGLAN I., 2007, *Complexes parasitaires de quelques espèces de coccides (Homoptera, Coccidae) en Roumanie*. Entomologica Romanica, **12**: 267–275.
- MOGLAN I., COJOCARU I., 1999, *Parasitoid Hymenopterans (Hymenoptera, Chalcidoidea) found on the Sphaerolecanium prunastri Fonsc. Coccidian (Homoptera, Coccidae) from the Piedmont and the North of Moldavia*. Studii și Cercetări, Biologie, **4**: 103–106.
- MOGLAN I., FUSU L., 2006, *Le complexe parasitaire de Parthenolecanium rufulum (Homoptera, Coccidae) en Roumanie*. Lucrările celui de-al 2-lea simpozion "Entomofagii și rolul lor în păstrarea echilibrului natural", Editura Universității „Al. I. Cuza”, Iași, 2005: 111–116.
- MOGLAN V., 1997, *Rolul coccinelidelor (Insecta, Coleoptera) în limitarea populațiilor de afide*. In: Ciocchia (Coord.), *Limitarea populațiilor de dăunători vegetali și animali din culturile agricole prin mijloace biologice și biotehnice în vederea protejării mediului înconjurător*, Editura DISZ TIPO, Brașov, România.
- MORRISON H., 1952, *Classification of the Ortheziidae, Supplement to "Classification of scale insects of the subfamily Ortheziinae"*. United States Department of Agriculture Technical Bulletin, **1052**: 1–80.
- MUSTAȚĂ GH., 1974–1975, *Date asupra biocenozelor parazitare a lui Brevicoryne brassicae L.* Travaux Station "Stejarul", Ecology Terrestre et Genetique: 27–36.
- MUSTAȚĂ GH., 1986, *Factori biotici limitativi în coloniile de Brevicoryne brassicae L.* Lucrările celei de- a III-a Conferințe de Entomologie, Iași, 20–22 mai, 1983, 583–594.
- MUSTAȚĂ GH., 1990, *Factori biotici limitativi în unele colonii de afide (complexul de parazitoizi)*. Lucrările Simpozionului "Entomofagii și rolul lor în păstrarea echilibrului natural", Editura Universității "Al. I. Cuza", Iași, 1989.
- MUSTAȚĂ GH., MANI C., MUSTAȚĂ M., LUNGU O., PRELIPCEAN C., 2000a, *The complex of parasitoid agents limiting some Schizaphis graminum (Rondani) populations*. Analele Științifice ale Universității "Al. I. Cuza" Iași, s. II a., Biol., **XLXI**: 17–23.
- MUSTAȚĂ GH., MUSTAȚĂ M., MANIU C., 2000b, *Afide dăunătoare și complexul de parazitoizi care le limitează populațiile, Rolul biocenozelor parazitoidale în păstrarea echilibrului natural*. Editura Corson, Universitatea "Al. I. Cuza", Iași, 185 pp.
- MUSTAȚĂ GH., TEODORESCU IRINA, TUDOR C., 1977, *Factori biotici limitativi în unele colonii de afide*. Anuarul Muzeului de Științele Naturii, Piatra-Neamț, **III**: 179–190.
- MUSTAȚĂ M., MUSTAȚĂ GH., ANDRIESCU I., MITROIU M.-D., 2006, *Biologia dăunătorilor animali*. Editura Junimea Iași, 274 pp.
- MUSTEA D., 1997, *Afidul porumbului Rhopalosiphum maidis*. Revista Protecția Plantelor a Societății Naționale de Protecția Plantelor, **26** (VII): 46–53.
- MUSTEA D., 1999, *The main pests of maize crops in central Transylvania*. Contribuții ale cercetării științifice la dezvoltarea agriculturii, **6**: 205–213.
- NAKAHARA S., 1982, *Checklist of the armored scales (Homoptera: Diaspididae) of the conterminous United States*. United States Department of Agriculture, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, 110 pp.
- NANU N., 1973, *Contribuții la cunoașterea biologiei, ecologiei și morfologiei dăunătorului Gilletteella cooleyi Gill. (Adelgidae Homoptera)*. International System for Agricultural Science and Technology: 55–74.
- NAST J. K., 1972, *Palaeartic Auchenorrhyncha (Homoptera), An annotated check list*. Polish Scientific Publishers, Warsaw, Poland, 550 pp.
- NAST J., 1987, *The Auchenorrhyncha (Homoptera) of Europe*. Annales Zoologici, **40** (15): 536–661.
- NICOLEANU 1891, *Legea relativă la combaterea filoxerei*. M. A. I., Comericiului și Domeniilor, București.

- ODAGI A., OROIAN I., BÂLTEANU V., IEDERAN C., BRAȘOVEAN I., BALINT C., 2009, *Improving sample preparation and dna extraction method in aphids*. Research Journal of Agricultural Science, **41** (1): 267–270.
- OLENICI N., DUDUMAN M.-L., 2016, *New records of some invasive forest insect species in Romania*. Bucovina Forestieră, **16** (2): 161–174.
- OROSZ A., 2006, *Adatok a Vargyas-szoros kabócafaunájának (Homoptera: Auchenorrhyncha) ismeretéhez*. Első kötet, Természettudomány: 77–80.
- OROSZ A., 2008, *The flora and fauna of the Tur river natural reserve*. Bihorean biologist-Supplement: 55–62.
- OROSZ A., TÓTH M., 2016, *Contribution to the Auchenorrhyncha fauna of Salaj county, Romania*. Studia Universitatis “Vasile Goldiș”, Seria Științele Vieții, **26** (1): 117–123.
- OSHANIN V. F. 1912, *Katalog der paläarktischen Hemipteren (Heteroptera, Homoptera-Auchenorrhyncha und Psylloidea)*. I–XVI: 1–187.
- OSSIANNILSSON F., 1992, *The Psylloidea (Homoptera) of Fennoscandia and Denmark*. Fauna Entomologica Scandinavica, **26**: 1–347.
- PAȘOL P., DOBRIN I., FRĂȘIN L., 2007, *Tratat de entomologie specială, dăunătorii culturilor horticole*. Editura Ceres, 408 pp.
- PEIU M., 1974, *Entomologie Agricolă*, Fascicula 2, Institutul Agronomic “Ion Ionescu de la Brad”, Iași.
- PELLIZZARI G., 2010, *First record and establishment of Chionaspis wistariae Cooley (Hemiptera, Diaspididae) in Europe*. Journal of Entomological and Acarological Research, Ser. 2, **42** (3): 147–151.
- PELLIZZARI G., GERMAIN J. F., 2010, *Scales (Hemiptera, Superfamily Coccoidea)*. Chapter 9.3, BioRisk, **4** (1): 475–510.
- PERJU T., 1998, *The pest of the white acacia (Robinia pseudacacia L.)*. Buletinul Informativ al Societății Lepidopterologice Române, **9** (3–4): 291–295.
- PERJU T., BOBÎRNAC B., COSTESCU C. et al., 1983, *Entomologie agricolă*. Editura Didactică și Pedagogică, București, 492 pp.
- PERJU T., LĂCĂTUȘU M., PISICĂ C., ANDRIESCU I., MUSTĂȚĂ GH., 1989, *Entomofagii și utilizarea lor în protecția integrată a ecosistemelor horticole*. Editura Ceres, București, România.
- PERJU T., TEODOR L., ANTONIE V. I., 2007, *Phytophagous insects with phytosanitary quarantine statute in Romania*. Acta Universitatis Cibiniensis, Agricultural Sciences, **1** (1): 5–10.
- POPA V., 2002, *Contributions to the study of cicada fauna (Hemiptera: Cicadomorpha, Fulgoromorpha) in the Valea Morii-Vâlcele area, Cluj County, Romania*. Entomologica Romanica, **7**: 67–78.
- POPA V., COJOCNEANU R., 1999, *Faunistic and Ecological Studies on the Cicada Populations (Insecta, Homoptera, Auchenorrhyncha; Cicadellidae and Cercopidae) along the Superior Course of the Someșul Cald River (Romania)*. Studia Universitatis Babeș-Bolyai, Anul XLIV, Biologia, **1–2**, 61–77.
- POPA E. OANA R. I., 2011, *Main trends of the pests management in agroecosystems of grapevine plantations*. Scientific Papers Series Management, Economic Engineering in Agriculture and Rural Development, **11** (2): 146–150.
- POPESCU-GORJ A., 1955, *Ceresa bubalus Fabr., un nouveau Membracide de la faune de la Republique Populaire Romaine*. Buletin Științific, Academia Republicii Române, Bucharest, **7**: 493–496.
- PORCA M., BIRÓ T., 2004, *Cercetări privind combaterea chimică a păduchelui lănos – Pseudococcus adonidum L.* Revista de Protecția Plantelor, XIV–**53**: 47–51.
- PREDA C., SKOLKA M., 2009a, *First record of a new alien invasive species in Constanța – Metcalfa pruinosa (Homoptera: Fulgoroidea)*. In: Păltineanu C. (ed.), *Lucrările Simpozionului “Mediul și agricultura în regiunile aride”*, 1 edition, Bucharest: Estfalia Publishing: 141–146.
- PREDA C., SKOLKA M., 2009b, *Metcalfa pruinosa Say (Homoptera: Fulgoroidea), a New Invasive Species in the South-East of Romania* (Available at: <http://www.stiintelenaturii.ro/en/invasive-species-metcalfa>).

- PREDĂ C., SKOLKA M., 2011, *Range Expansion of Metcalfa pruinosa (Homoptera: Fulgoroidea) in Southeastern Europe*. Ecologia Balkanica, **3** (1): 79–87.
- PREDĂ C., SKOLKA M., IFTENE FL., ȚĂRANU O., 2009, *Curent status of horse chestnut leaf miner Cameraria ohridella Deschka and Dimic (1986) in the South Eastern part of Romania* (Available at: <http://www.specii-invazive.ro/system/files/preda-et-al-cameraria-ohridella-south-east-romania.pdf>).
- PRICOP E., 2008, *Mymarid wasps (Hymenoptera, Chalcidoidea, Mymaridae), new to Romanian fauna*. Analele Științifice ale Universității “Al. I. Cuza” Iași, Seria Biologie Animală, **LIV**: 35–48.
- PYŠEK P., HULME P. E., NENTWIG W., 2009, *Glossary of the Main Technical Terms Used in the Handbook*. Pp.: 375–380 In: DAISIE, Handbook of Alien Species in Europe, Invading nature springer series in invasion ecology, Volume 3, Series Editor: James A. Drake, Springer.
- RAICU C., MIHĂILESCU S., 1982, *Bolile și dăunătorii din culturile de legume protejate*. Editura Ceres, București.
- REUS A., 1972, *Cercetări privind eficacitatea unor produse fitofarmaceutice, în combaterea musculiței albe de seră Trialeurodes vaporariorum Westw., Homoptera-Aleyrodidae*. Analele Științifice ale Universității “Al. I. Cuza” (Biol), **182**: 293–297.
- RÎȘNOVEANU G., **TEODORESCU IRINA**, 2009, *Invazia biologică și evoluția speciilor*, Conferința Națională de Ecologie” ECOLOGIE ȘI EVOLUȚIONISM: Origine, Dezvoltare și Perspective, Editura Ars Docendi: 21–30.
- ROGOJANU R., 1935, *Untersuchungen über die Wachsdrüsen und die Wachsabsonderung bei den Gattungen Schizoneura und Orthezia*. Zeitschrift für Mikroskopische-Anatomische Forschung, Leipzig, **37**: 151–171.
- ROGOJANU V., 1955, *Contribuții la cunoașterea și răspândirea dușmanilor naturali ai pădurelui de San José în Ardeal*. Acad. R.P.R., Filiala Cluj, Studii și Cercetări Științifice, Seria a 2-a, Științe Biologice, Agricole și Medicină, **6** (3–4): 73–87.
- ROGOJANU V., 1958, *Contribution to the systematics and ecology of the family Ortheziidae (Coccidae) of Romania*. Studii și Cercetări de Biologie, **9**: 301–315.
- ROGOJANU V., 1963, *Contribuții la cunoașterea răspândirii câtorva specii de Coccidae (Lecanidae) în Republica Populară Română*, Stud. Cerc. Biol., Cluj, **2** (14): 277–293.
- ROGOJANU V., 1966a, *New species of coccids for the Romanian fauna*. Studii și Cercetări de Biologie, Seria Zoologie, **18**: 323–327.
- ROGOJANU V., 1966, *Specii noi de coccide pentru Fauna Republicii Socialiste România*. Studii și Cercetări de Biologie, Seria Zoologie: 431–432.
- ROGOJANU V., 1975, *Coccoidea, Fauna, Seria monografică, Grupul de cercetări complexe “Porțile de Fier”*. Editura Academiei Republicii Socialiste România: 96–98.
- ROGOJANU V., PERJU T., 1979, *Determinator pentru recunoașterea dăunătorilor plantelor cultivate*. Editura Ceres, București, 506 pp.
- ROIBU C.-C., SAVIN A., NEGREA B. M., BARBIR F. C., 2011, *Dendroecological research in beech (Fagus sylvatica L.) stands affected by abnormal decline phenomena from Dragomirna plateau, Suceava county, Romania*. Advances in Agriculture & Botany-International Journal of the Bioflux Society, **3** (2): 139–150.
- ROMAN T., GLĂVAN L., 2006, *Biologia, ecologia și combaterea dăunătorilor la legume*. AGRIS – Redacția Revistelor Agricole.
- SĂPUNARU T., ANDREI E., BARNAVETA E., 1993, *The behaviour of biological material released at Podu Iloaiei Research Station to attack by the aphid Brachycaudus helichrysi Kalt*. Probleme de Protecția Plantelor, **21** (2): 203–212.
- SĂVESCU A. D., 1943, *Oekoarten bei Lecaniden*. Bulletin de la Section Scientifique, Academie Roumaine, **25**: 212–223.
- SĂVESCU A. D., 1944, *Formes écologiques des lecanides de la faune Roumaine*. Bulletin de la Section Scientifique, Academie Roumaine, **27**: 230–246.
- SĂVESCU A. D., 1957, *Die linden-kommasschildlaus (Lepidosaphes tiliae sp. n.)*. AnZ. f. Schadlingsk. ver. mit Schadlingsbekampf., **33**: 197–201.

- SĂVESCU A. D., 1960, *Album de Protecția Plantelor. Vol. 1. Dăunătorii pomilor, arbuștilor fructiferi și viței de vie*. Ministry of Agriculture, Bucarest, Romania, 270 pp.
- SĂVESCU A. D., 1961, *Album de Protecția Plantelor. Vol 2. Dăunătorii plantelor de ornament și legumelor*. Ministry of Agriculture, Bucarest, Romania, 90 pp.
- SĂVESCU A. D. (ed.), 1982, *Tratat de Zoologie Agricolă: Dăunătorii plantelor cultivate, Vol. II*. Editura Academiei Republicii Socialiste România, 353 pp.
- SĂVESCU A. D., 1984, *Espèces de coccoidées nouvelles pour la science, signalées en Roumanie. II. Espèces appartenant aux genres Pseudococcus Westw., Phenacoccus Ckll. et Peliococcus Borchs. (Homoptera, Pseudococcidae)*. Bulletin de l'Académie des Sciences Agricoles et Forestières, Bucarest, **13**: 143–156.
- SĂVESCU A. D., 1985, *Espèces de coccoidées nouvelles pour la science signalées en Roumanie, III. Espèces appartenant aux genres Pseudococcus Westw., Phenacoccus Ckll., Paroudablis Ckll., Eupeliococcus Săvescu et Lepidosaphes Shimer (Homoptera – Coccoidea)*, Bulletin de l'Académie des Sciences Agricoles et Forestières, Bucarest, **14**: 103–130.
- SĂVESCU A., ISAC GR., 1975, *Combaterea integrată a dăunătorilor și bolilor mărului în România*. In: Sumakov, Gusev, Fedorincik (Red.), *Metode biologice în protecția plantelor*, Editura Ceres, București.
- SESTRAS R., BOTEZ C., ARDELEAN M., OLTEAN I., SESTRAS A., 2009, *Response of pear genotypes to Psylla sp. attack in Central Transylvania, Romania*. Acta Horticulturae, **814**: 845–850.
- SIMIONESCU A., MIHALACHE G., MIHALCIUC V., CHIRA D., LUPU D., VLĂDULEASA A., VIȘOIU C., RANG C., MIHAI D., CIORNEI C., OLENICI N., NEȚOIU V., ILIESCU M., CHIRA F., TĂUT I., 2000, *Protecția pădurilor*, Editura Mușatinii, Suceava.
- SIMIONESCU A., ȘTEFĂNESCU M., ARSENEȘCU M., POPESCU T., SAVI D., DISSESCU G., CEIANU I., PETRESCU M., ENE M., DUMITRESCU E., RĂDOI D., 1971, *Dăunătorii pădurilor, cunoaștere, prevenire, combatere*, Editura Ceres, București.
- SIMIONESCU A., TEODORESCU IRINA, 1997, *Starea fitosanitară a pădurilor din România în intervalul 1995–1996*. Studii și Cercetări de Biologie, Seria Biologie Animală, **49** (1): 89–99.
- SIMON D., MARCU O., ISAIA G., 2001, *A note on the scale insect Nucculaspis abietis (Schränk) on Picea pungens var. glauca*. Revista Pădurilor, **116** (6): 6–7.
- SIVU I. C., 2011, *Researches performed on the insect populations vector of the Plum Pox virus and development of techniques for their monitoring and detection of the virus in plants and vectors*. University of Agronomical Sciences and Veterinary Medicine, Faculty of Agriculture, Bucharest, 170.
- STAN C., TUCĂ O., MITREA I., 2009, *The spectrum of harmful arthropod fauna from the vineyard S. D. Banu Mărăcine – Craiova*. Pomology, Viticulture and Enology, 876–879.
- STĂNESCU M., 2009, *The catalogue of the “Dr. Marcel Brândză” zoocercidia herbarium from the “Grigore Antipa” National Museum of Natural History (București)*. Travaux du Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle “Grigore Antipa”, **LII**: 31–52.
- STOIAN E., 1983, *The biology and control of the polyphagous insect Ceresa bubalus F. in vineyards*. AGRIS. <http://agris.fao.org/agris-search/search.do?recordID=RO1984>
- STRAULEA M., ARDELEAN M., GHIDRA V., SESTRAS R., 1992, *Scorching rate of pear trees following infestation with melliferous fea (Psylla sp.)*. Bul. ESAMV, A-H., **46** (2): 91–97.
- SUCIU I., 1960, *Contribuții la studiul chalcidoidelor (Hymenoptera) din regiunea Iași*. Analele Științifice ale Universității “Al. I. Cuza”, Iași (s. nouă), sect. II, VI, **3**: 805–813.
- SZANISZLÓ A., 1880, *Utmatalás a Phylloxera (vastatrix) felisnerésére és felluresésére*. Erdélyi gazdasági egylet, Kalazsvárt.
- SZEKELY I., IACOB N., ALEXANDRE A. V., 1973, *Chemical control of aphids and red spiders on some flower crops grown in the greenhouse*. Analele Institutului de Cercetări pentru Protecția Plantelor, **II**: 269–274.
- THEODOR F., 2014, *The evaluation of the hibernated biological reserve of the apple pests in the area of Cluj* (Available at: http://www.usamvcluj.ro/simpo_stud/files/2014/Horticultura/13.%20Florea%20Teodor.pdf)

- TEODORESCU IRINA**, 1973a, *Contribuții la cunoașterea gazdelor unor specii de Megaspilidae (Hym. Ceraphronoidea)*. Studii și Cercetări de Biologie, Seria Biologie Animală, **25** (6): 519–526.
- TEODORESCU IRINA**, 1973b, *Studiul morfologic, sistematic, biologic și zoogeografic al Ceraphronoidelor și Proctotrupoidelor (Ins. Hymenoptera) din România*. Centrul de Multiplicare al Universității București: 1–68.
- TEODORESCU IRINA**, 1974, *Suprafamilia Ceraphronoidea (Hym.) în fauna României*. Studii și Cercetări de Biologie, Seria Biologie Animală, **25** (2): 79–82.
- TEODORESCU IRINA**, 1980, *Ceraphronoide și Proctotrupoide (Hym.) din Oltenia*. A doua Consfătuire de Entomologie din R. S. România, Lucrări științifice, **II**: 377–384.
- TEODORESCU IRINA**, 1982, *Proctotrupoide-paraziți oofagi și larvari ai unor specii de Neuroptera*. Studii și Cercetări de Biologie, Seria Biologie Animală, **34** (1): 18–21.
- TEODORESCU IRINA**, CUȚARU I., 1989, *Contributions a la connaissance des effets de la pollution industrielle sur certaines biocenoses des agrosystemes adjacents aux sources d'emission*. Analele Universității din București, Anul **XXXVIII**: 71–79.
- TEODORESCU IRINA**, 1990, *Contribuții la cunoașterea entomofaunei dăunătoare și utile în pădurile din județul Mehedinți*. Analele Universității din București, Anul **XXXIX**: 73–78.
- TEODORESCU IRINA**, 1991, *Contribuții la cunoașterea entomofaunei dăunătoare și utile în culturile din zona Dăbuleni*. Studii și Cercetări de Biologie, Seria Biologie Animală, **43** (1): 23–27.
- TEODORESCU IRINA**, 1998a, *Acțiunea ierbicidelor asupra populațiilor de artropode din culturi*. Studii și Cercetări de Biologie, Seria Biologie Animală, **50** (1): 11–36.
- TEODORESCU IRINA**, 1998b, *Insectele*, Editura Petron, București, 111 pp.
- TEODORESCU IRINA**, 2004, *Correlation between habitat structure and diversity/density of terrestrial and aquatic arthropods in Danube Delta-Romania*. International Association for Danube Research (IAD), **35**: 659–665.
- TEODORESCU IRINA**, 2008a, *Cunoașterea rolului unor insecte entomofage la limitarea daunelor produse de afide în livezi și culturi de câmp*. Pp.: 33–45. In: Ciochia et al. „Afidele (Insecta: Homoptera) din România, plantele gazdă, limitatori naturali și modalități de reducere a populațiilor”, Editura Pelecanus, Brașov, 431pp.
- TEODORESCU IRINA**, 2008b, *Familiile Chamaemyiidae și Cremifaniidae*. Pp.: 358–388. In: Ciochia et al., Afidele (Insecta Homoptera) din România, plantele gazdă, limitatori naturali și modalități de reducere a populațiilor”, Editura Pelecanus, Brașov, 431 pp.
- TEODORESCU IRINA**, 2008c, *Insecta, nematoda and acarina alien/invasive species in Romania*. EURECO-GFOE, Biodiversity in an Ecosystem Context, European Ecological Federation, Ecological Society of Germany, Austria and Switzerland, 15–19 September, 2008, Leipzig, Germania (abstract).
- TEODORESCU IRINA**, 2008d, *Species richness of some arthropods in terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems from Danube Delta and Sachalin Island*. International Association for Danube Research (IAD), Limnological Reports 37, Proceedings 37 IAD Conference Chișinău Moldova, The Danube River Basin in a changing World: 132–137.
- TEODORESCU IRINA**, 2009, *Insects species richness and abundance in Danube Delta (Romania)*. Romanian Journal of Biology-Zoology, **54** (1): 19–33.
- TEODORESCU IRINA**, 2010, *Arthropods as bioindicators of the biodiversity state in wheat crops affected of pesticides and industrial emissions*. Ocrotirea Naturii, Seria nouă, **46**: 67–84, Editura Academiei Române.
- TEODORESCU IRINA**, BORCAN I., 1986, *Entomofauna prădătoare în coloniile de Brevicorynae brassicae*. Lucrările celei de a III-a Conferințe Naționale de Entomologie: 535–540.
- TEODORESCU IRINA**, CEIANU I., 1980, *Leucopis (Leucopomya) sillesiaca Egg. (Diptera – Chamaemyiidae), prădător al lecaniidului Pulvinaria betulae L.* Analele Universității din București, Anul **XIX** : 63–66.
- TEODORESCU IRINA**, CEIANU I., 1981, *Subfamilia Cremifaniinae (Diptera-Chamaemyiidae) nouă pentru fauna României*. Studii și Cercetări de Biologie, Seria Biologie animală, **33** (1): 19–22.

- TEODORESCU IRINA**, COGĂLNICEANU D., 2003, *Arthropod Diversity in the Letea and Caraorman Forests, the Danube Delta (Romania)*. International Association for Danube Research (IAD), **34**: 721–725.
- TEODORESCU IRINA**, MANOLE T., IAMANDEI M., 2006, *Alien/invasive insect species in Romania*. Romanian Journal of Biology-Zoology, **51** (1–2): 43–61.
- TEODORESCU IRINA**, MATEI A., 2010, *Native and alien arthropods in several greenhouse (Bucharest area)*. Romanian Journal of Biology-Zoology, **55** (1): 31–42.
- TEODORESCU IRINA**, MUSTAȚĂ GH., 1980, *Megaspilidae hiperparazite în unele colonii de afide*. Muzeul de Științele Naturii Suceava, **6**: 59–62.
- TEODORESCU IRINA**, PROCHEȘ S., 1997, *Arthropod pests from the Glasshouse ornamental plants*. Analele Universității din București, Anul **XLVI**: 19–29.
- TEODORESCU IRINA**, STĂNESCU M., 1994, *The industrial pollution effects upon some agrobiocenosis from the adjacent agrosystems around the emission sources*. Ocrotirea Naturii și a Mediului înconjurător, **38** (1): 27–44.
- TEODORESCU IRINA**, VĂDINEANU A., 1997, *The pesticide pollution impact on the structure and dynamics of the arthropod associations*. Revue Roumaine de Biologie, Serie Biologie Animale, **42** (1): 125–135.
- TEODORESCU IRINA**, VĂDINEANU A., 1999, *Controlul populațiilor de insecte*. Editura Universității din București, 202 pp.
- TEODORESCU IRINA**, VĂDINEANU A., 2001, *Managementul impactului emisiilor industriale și al pesticidelor asupra biodiversității agrobiocenozelor*. In: Teodorescu Irina, Vădineanu A., Simionescu A., *Managementul capitalului natural, studii de caz*, Editura Ars Docendi, București, 254 pp.
- TEODORESCU IRINA**, SIMIONESCU A., 2001, *Fundamentarea unui management integrat, durabil, în ecosistemele forestiere din România*. In: Teodorescu Irina, Vădineanu A., Simionescu A., *Managementul capitalului natural, studii de caz*, Editura Ars Docendi, București, 254 pp.
- TEODORESCU IRINA**, VÎLSAN D., 2004, *The macroinvertebrates association of maize culture, including alien invasive species Diabrotica virgifera virgifera (Coleoptera Chrysomelidae)*. Revue Roumaine de Biologie, Serie Biologie Animale, **49** (1–2): 29–40.
- TEODORESCU IRINA**, VLAD A. I., 2008, *Entomologie*, Editura Gee, București, 267 pp.
- TOMESCU A.**, NEGRU G., 2003, *An overview on fungal diseases and pests on the field tomato crops in Romania*. Acta Horticulturae, **613**: 259–266.
- TOMESCU C.V.**, BRUDEA V., RIȘCA M., 2009, *Preliminary research on the efficiency of some vegetal metabolites in fighting the mealy plum aphid (Hyalopterus pruni Geoffroi–Ord. Homoptera)*. Analele Universității ”Ștefan cel Mare”, Suceava, Secțiunea Silvicultură, serie nouă, **1**.
- TOMOIOAGĂ L.**, COMȘA M., POPESCU D., ILIESCU M., FICIU L., DONICI A., DAMIAN D., PETRESCU A., VIZITIU D., 2013, *Assessment and monitoring of the biodiversity of useful and damaging fauna found in Romanian vineyard ecosystems*. The XXXVIth World Congress of Vine and Wine and the 11th General Assembly of the International Organisation of Vine and Wine (OIV).
- TRANDAFIRESCU M.**, TRANDAFIRESCU I., GAVAT C., SPITA V., 2004, *Entomophagous complexes of some pests in apple and peach orchards in southeastern Romania*. Journal of Fruit and Ornamental Plant Research, **12**: 253–261.
- ȚUCĂ O. A.**, 2012, *Grapholitha funebrana Tr. și Hyalopterus pruni Geoffr., specii periculoase din plantațiile de prun*. Editura Universitaria, Craiova, 254 pp.
- ȚUCĂ O. A.**, STAN C., MITREA I., STAN I., 2010, *Quantification of the main Harmful Species Attack on Ornamental Plants in Greenhouses of the Botanical Garden “Alexandru Buia”*, Craiova. Bulletin UASVM Horticulture, **67** (1): 399–402.
- TUDOR C.**, 1982, *Specii de calcidoide parazite ale unor coccide dăunătoare*. Studii și Cercetări de Biologie, Seria Biologie Animală, **34** (2): 87–91.

- VELICHI E., 2014, *Entomologie agricolă*, Editura Universitară, 489 pp.
- VÎLCAN A.-M., 2011, *Studiul variabilității genetice la diferite proveniențe de larice (Larix decidua Mill.) din Plantajul Baci, O. S. Cluj*. Rezumatul tezei de doctorat.
- VLAD M., 2016, *Modele de predicție a răspândirii speciei invazive Metcalfa pruinosa în România*. Universitatea de Științe Agricole și Medicină Veterinară a Banatului "Regele Mihai I al României" din Timișoara. Rezumatul tezei de doctorat.
- VLAD M., GROZEA I., 2015, *Researches regarding the expansion of Metcalfa pruinosa (Say) in Romania*. Research People and Actual Tasks on Multidisciplinary Sciences, 24–28 June 2015, Lozenec, Bulgaria, **2**: 99–103.
- VLAD M., GROZEA I., 2016, *Host plant species of the cicada Metcalfa pruinosa in Romania*. Bulletin of University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine Cluj-Napoca, Series Agriculture, **73** (1): 131–137.
- VOICU M. C., 1989, *The role of the predatory insects in reducing the attack of the corn grain aphid (Rhopalosiphum maidis Fitch) (Hom. Aphididae) in Romania*. Revue Roumaine de Biologie, **34**: 95–105.
- VOICU M., 1990, *Rolul insectelor prădătoare în reducerea atacului unor dăunători ai porumbului (Zea mays L.) din România*. Lucrările Simpozionului "Entomofagii și rolul lor în păstrarea echilibrului natural", Universitatea "Al. I. Cuza", Iași, 1989, România.
- VOICU M. C., NAGLER, K., 1987, *Chrysopidae, Coccinellidae and Syrphidae preying on colonies of Schizaphis graminum Rond. in an agrobiocenosis in Moldavia*. Studii și Cercetări de Biologie, Seria Biologie Animală, **39** (1): 22–27.
- VOICU M., MUREȘAN F., 1989, *The role of predatory insects in reducing outbreaks of some maize pests in Moldova and Transylvania*. Probleme de Protecția Plantelor, **17** (3): 219–230.
- WAGNER W., FRANZ H., 1961, *Unterordnung Homoptera, Überfamilie Auchenorrhyncha (Zikaden)*. Pp.: 74–158. In: H. Franz (Ed.), Die Nordostalpen im Spiegel ihrer Landtierwelt, **2**.
- ZAHRADNÍK J., 1977, *Aleyrodinea-Coccinea, In Enumeratio Insectorum Bohemoslovakiae*. Acta Faunistica Entomologicae Musei Nationalis Pragae, Supplement, **4**: 117–122.
- ZEIDAN-GÈZE N., BURCKHARDT D., 1998, *The jumping plant-lice of Lebanon (Hemiptera: Psylloidea)*. Revue Suisse de Zoologie, **105** (4): 797–812.
- ZIMIN 2014, *Palaeartic mealybugs (Homoptera: Coccinea: Pseudococcidae, Part 1, Subfamily Phenacoccinae)*. St. Petersburg, 678 pp. (Fauna of Russia and neighbouring countries, New series, № 148, Insecta: Hemiptera: Arthroidignatha), Fauna Rossia, Palaeartic mealybugs (Homoptera: Coccinea: Pseudococcidae).

***http://www.rosilva.ro/articole/daunatori_biotici_ai_padurilor_p_113.htm

***DAISIE www.europe-aliens.org

***CIE, 1971, *Distribution Maps of Plant Pests, No. 67, Wallingford, UK: CAB International*.

***CIE, 1982, *Distribution Maps of Pests, Series A (Agricultural), Map No. 23 (revised), December 1982, Wallingford, UK: CAB International*.

***<http://www.naro.affrc.go.jp/archive/niaes/sinfo/>

***EPPO, 2014, PQR database, Paris, France: ***European and Mediterranean Plant Protection Organization. <http://www.eppo.int/DATABASES/pqr/pqr.htm>

***<http://www.botanistii.ro/blog/insecte-daunatoare-plante-paduchele-din-san-jose/>

Received August 24, 2018

University of Bucharest, Faculty of Biology,
Department of Systems Ecology and
Sustainability, Independenței Street, 91–95
e-mail: teodorescubiologie@yahoo.com

NEW ICHNEUMONID PARASITIDS (HYMENOPTERA: ICHNEUMONIDAE) OF THE EAST ASIAN INVASIVE SPECIES OF SAWFLY, *APROCEROS LEUCOPODA* (HYMENOPTERA: ARGIDAE), DEFOLIATOR OF THE FIELD ELM, *ULMUS MINOR* IN SOME DECIDUOUS FORESTS IN MOLDOVA (ROMANIA)

RAOUL CONSTANTINEANU, CAMIL ȘTEFAN LUNGU CONSTANTINEANU

In this paper we present some aspects about attack and biology of *Aproceros leucopoda* and two parasitoid species for this defoliator pest, native in the East Asia (Japan, China and the Eastern part of Russia). In Romania this defoliator pest was observed for the first time in 2005 on *Ulmus minor* Mill., but its presence was published later, in 2010 (Blank *et al.*). We obtain by rearings two new ichneumonid parasitoids species: *Itopectis maculator* (Fabricius) and *Pimpla turionellae* (L.), (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonidae: Pimplinae) for *Aproceros leucopoda*. Both ichneumonid species *Itopectis maculator* (F.) and *Pimpla turionellae* (L.) are larval parasitoids of *Aproceros leucopoda*, but its adults emerged from the pupae of the host.

Keywords: *Aproceros leucopoda*, *Ulmus*, new larval parasitoid species, new host.

INTRODUCTION

Aproceros leucopoda Takeuchi, 1939 (Hymenoptera: Argidae) is an invasive defoliator pest of the *Ulmus* genus, introduced passively in Europe. It is native from Eastern Asia: Japan (Takeuchi, 1939), Russian Far East (Zhelochovtsev, Zinoviev, 1995), China (Wen & Wei, 1998). *Aproceros leucopoda* is a monophagous or oligophagous species of the *Ulmus* genus. In Europe, this defoliator was collected for the first time in Hungary and Poland (2003), then from Romania (Dulcești, Neamț county, 2005) and Ukraine (Luhansk, 2005).

The spreading of *Aproceros leucopoda* extended in its new areal, Europe, which had enlarged. Thus, *Aproceros leucopoda* was recorded from the Republic of Moldova (Timuș *et al.*, 2008, misidentified as *Arge* sp.), Austria (Altenhofer, 2009, in Blank *et al.*, 2010), Slovakia (Blank *et al.*, 2010), Serbia (Hirka, 2010), Italy (Zandigiacomo *et al.*, 2012), Germany (Kraus *et al.*, 2012), Croatia (Matošević, 2012), European Russia (Lengesova, 2012), Slovenia (de Groot *et al.*, 2012), Czech Republic (Roques *et al.*, 2013), Serbia (Glavendekić *et al.*, 2013), Belgium (Boevé, 2014), Netherlands (Mol & Vonk, 2015), Letonia (Mihailova, 2015), Bulgaria (Doychev, 2015), Switzerland (Roques *et al.*, 2016).

The first studies on the biology and control of *Aproceros leucopoda* were made in the Eastern Asia, in China, by Wu & Xin (2006). In Europe, the new area of this invasive sawfly, the studies on the biology and ecology were made by Lengsova & Mishchenko (2013) in the Middle Volga region, Russia.

However, *Aproceros leucopoda* was recorded for the first time in Europe and in all Western Palaearctic subregions by Stephan Blank, Raoul Constantineanu, Csóka György and Hideho Hara, published in a part of the project: “Identification of the wasp pest species of plants” (2004–2010) of the German Institute of Entomology, Leibniz Center for the Agricultural Research.

Anyway, Blank *et al.* (2010) have published the first paper on the recording of the *Aproceros leucopoda* in Europe. *Aproceros leucopoda* is a parthenogenetic and a multivoltine species, with four generations in Eastern Asia. In Romania it has at least two generations per year. In Eastern Asia, the only known natural enemy of *Aproceros leucopoda* is the parasitoid *Blondelia nigripes* (Fallén, 1810) (Diptera: Tachinidae) (Blank *et al.*, 2010). Although, this parasitoid is a widespread Palaearctic species, not being recorded as a parasitoid of *Aproceros leucopoda* in Europe until now. In Romania it was recorded for the first time only the egg parasitoid, *Asecodes erxias* (Walker, 1848) (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae: Entedoninae) (Pricop *et al.*, 2012). Milka Glavendekić (2013) had recorded in Serbia the larvae of the the predatory Harlequin ladybeetle, *Harmonia axyridis* (Pallas, 1773) (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) feeding with larvae of *Aproceros leucopoda*, being the only predator known for this elm defoliator pest. Thus, in a nursery in the western part of Serbia (Šabac) she had observed a larva of *Harmonia axyridis* feeding on larvae of *A. leucopoda*.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The field observations on the attack of *Aproceros leucopoda* and the collectings of its larvae, were made in the forests: Trușești, Botoșani county (Fig. 1), Roșcani and Gorban, Iași county. In the laboratory, the larvae of *Aproceros leucopoda* (Fig. 2) were fed with elm leaves, then they weaved a cocoon (Fig. 3), until they became pupae (Fig. 4) and adults (Fig. 5).



Fig. 1. A strong attack of *Aproceros leucopoda* with losing a substantial volume of leaves of *Ulmus minor* (Trușești forest, Botoșani county, June 2009).



Fig. 2. Larva of *Aproceros leucopoda*.



Fig. 3. Cocoons of *Aproceros leucopoda*.




Fig. 4. The pupa of *Aproceros leucopoda*: A – dorsal view; B – ventral view.



Fig. 5. Adult of *Aproceros leucopoda*: A – on elm leaves; B – dorsal view.

RESULTS

On 8.05.2009, 200 larvae of *Aproceros leucopoda* were collected from Trușești forest, Botoșani county. On 26.05.2009 one male emerged of the ichneumonid species *Itopectis maculator* (F.), ♂ (Fig. 6).



Fig. 6. *Itopectis maculator*, ♂, emerged.

On 3.06.2008, 200 larvae of *Aproceros leucopoda* were collected from Roșcani, near Pașcani town, Iași county. In the laboratory they became pupae and from one pupa one male of the ichneumonid species *Itopectis maculator* (F.), ♂ emerged on 9.06.2008.

On 26.05.2009 200 larvae of *Aproceros leucopoda* were collected from Gorban forest, Iași county. In the laboratory they became pupae and from a pupa an ichneumonid species of *Itoplectis maculator* (F.), ♂ emerged on 10.06.2009.

Itoplectis maculator (F.) (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonidae: Pimplinae) (Fig. 6). The basic color of the body is black, with length of 8–10 mm. The female ovipositor is 2–5 mm length. It is a polyphagous species, its larvae parasitize over 75 species of pest insects of agriculture and forestry. It is a Holarctic species, in Romania being widespread, with large populations.

Aproceros leucopoda is a new host in science for *Itoplectis maculator* (F.).

On 28.05.2008, 50 larvae of *Aproceros leucopoda* were collected from Roșcani forest, Iași county and reared in the laboratory. In the laboratory they became pupae and 1 ♀ and 1 ♂ of the ichneumonid species *Pimpla turionellae* (L.) (Fig. 7) emerged, each from a pupa of the host, on 8.06.2008.



Fig. 7. *Pimpla turionellae*, ♀ from a cocoon of *Aproceros leucopoda*.

Pimpla turionellae (L.) (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonidae: Pimplinae) (Fig. 7). The basic color of the body is black, with a length of 5–12 mm, the female with an ovipositor not outrun ½ of the abdomen length. It is a polyphagous species, its larvae parasitize over 100 species of pest insects of agriculture and forestry. It is a Holarctic species, in Romania being widespread, with large populations. In the U.S.A., *Pimpla turionellae* (L.) was introduced in 1906 to control the gypsy moth, *Lymantria dispar* (L.). This ichneumonid parasitoid was established there. Also, *Pimpla turionellae* (L.) was introduced in 2009 and established in Central Asia to control gypsy moth, *Lymantria dispar* (L.) (Orozumbekov *et al.*, 2009).

Aproceros leucopoda is a new host in science for *Pimpla turionellae* (L.).

CONCLUSIONS

In the present paper we record two larval ichneumonid species, emerged from pupae of *Aproceros leucopoda*: *Itopectis maculator* (F.) and *Pimpla turionellae* (L.) (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonidae: Pimplinae).

Aproceros leucopoda Takeuchi is a new host in science for parasitoids *Itopectis maculator* (F.) and *Pimpla turionellae* (L.).

Acknowledgements. We are especially grateful to Dr. Stephan Blank, Senckenberg German Entomological Institute Müncheberg for his correct identification of the defoliator of the field elm, *Aproceros leucopoda*.

REFERENCES

- BLANK S.M., CONSTANTINEANU R., CSÓKA G., HARA H., 2008, *Charakterisierung der biotischen Naturraumausstattung Identifikation schädlicher Pflanzenwespenarten* – Teilprojekt: Identification schädlicher Pflanzenwespenarten (2004–2010), Deutsches Entomologisches Institut, Leribniz Zentrum für Agrallandschaftsforschung.
- BLANK S.M., HARA H., MIKULÁS J., CSÓKA G., CIORNEI C., CONSTANTINEANU R., CONSTANTINEANU I., ROLLER L., ALTENHOFER E., HUFLEIT T. & VÉTEK G., 2010, *Aproceros leucopoda* (Hymenoptera: Argidae): An East Asian pest of elms (*Ulmus* spp.) invading Europe. *European Journal of Entomology*, **107**: 357–367.
- BOEVÉ J.-L., 2014, First record in Belgium of the invasive sawfly *Aproceros leucopoda* (Hymenoptera: Argidae) and some related ecological data. *Bulletin de la Société Royale Belge d'Entomologie*, **149** [2013]: 217–221.
- De GROOT, M., HAUPTMAN T., SELJAK, G., 2012, Prva najdba invazivne brestove grizlice, *Aproceros leucopoda* (Hymenoptera: Argidae) v Sloveniji. The first record of the invasive »zigzag« sawfly *Aproceros leucopoda* (Hymenoptera: Argidae) in Slovenia. *Gozdarski vestnik*, **70** (1): 3–7. (in Slovenian).
- DOYCHEV D., 2015, First record of the invasive Elm sawfly *Aproceros leucopoda* Takeuchi (Hymenoptera: Argidae) in Bulgaria. *Silva Balcanica*, **16** (1): 108–112.
- KRAUS M., LISTON A.D., TAEGER A., 2012, Die invasive Zick-Zack-Ulmenblattwespe *Aproceros leucopoda* Takeuchi, 1939 (Hym., Argidae) in Deutschland. *DGaaE Nachrichten*, **25** [2011] (3): 117–119.
- GLAVENDEKIĆ M., PETROVIĆ J., PETAKOVIĆ M., 2013, Alien invasive species *Aproceros leucopoda* Takeuchi (Hymenoptera: Argidae) – elm pest in Serbia. *Sumarstvo*, **1–2**: 47–56. (in Serbian).
- LENGESOVA N. A., 2012, Elm sawfly (*Aproceros leucopoda* Takeuchi, 1939) – an elm pest new to the territory of the Ulyanovsk Region. *Priroda Simbirskogo Povolzh'ya*, **13**: 136–139 (in Russian).
- LENGESOVA N.A., MISHCHENKO A.V., 2013, Biology, ecology and molecular characterization of *Aproceros leucopoda* (Takeuchi, 1939) (Hymenoptera: Argidae), pest of elms in the Middle Volga region. *Caucasian Entomological Bulletin*, **9** (1): 163–167. (in Russian).
- MATOŠEVIĆ D., 2012, Prvi Nalaz brijestove ose listarice (*Aproceros leucopoda*), nove invazivne vrste u Hrvatskoj. First record of elm sawfly (*Aproceros leucopoda*), new invasive species in Croatia. *Šumarski list*, **136** (1–2): 57–61.
- MIHAILOVA J., 2015, *Aproceros leucopoda* – jauns gobu kaitēklis Latvijā. Valsts augu aizsardzības dienests (VAAD).

- MOL A., VONK D., 2013, De iepenzigzagbladwesp: een nieuwe exoot in Nederland. natuurbericht.nl (Available at: <http://www.natuurbericht.nl/?id=12191>)
- OROZUMBEKOV A.A., LIEBHOLD A.M., PONOMAREV V.I., TOBIN P.C., 2009, *Gypsy moth in Central Asia*. American Entomologist, **55** (4): 258–264.
- PRICOP E., CARDAȘ G., CIORNEI C., ANDRIESCU I., 2012, *On the egg parasitoids of Aproceros leucopoda (Hymenoptera: Argidae), an invasive pest species from Japan*. ABAH Bioflux, **4** (2): 43–46. (Available at: <http://www.abah.bioflux.com.ro>).
- ROQUES A., ROZENBERG M.-A., BLACKBURN T.M., GARNAS J., PYŠEK P., RABITSCH W., RICHARDSON D.M., WINGFIELD M.J., LIEBHOLD A.M., DUNCAN R.P., 2016, *Temporal and interspecific variation in rates of spread for insect species invading Europe during the last 200 years*. Biological Invasions (2016), Springer International Publishing, Switzerland.
- TAKEUCHI K., 1939, *A systematic study on the suborder Symphyta (Hymenoptera) of the Japanese Empire (II)*. Tenthredo, **2**: 393–439.
- TIMUȘ A., DERJANSCHI V., CROITORU N., 2008, *Viespea neagră a ulmului (Arge sp.) în Republica Moldova și dezvoltarea acesteia pe ulmul de câmp – Ulmus minor*. Mediul Ambiant, Cercetări Științifice, **4** (40): 35–37.
- WEN J., WEI M., 1998, *Taxonomical study on the genus Aproceros Malaise (Hymenoptera: Argidae) from China with descriptions of two new species*. Journal of Guangxi Agriculture University, **17**: 57–60.
- WU X.Y., XIN H., 2006, *A new recorded species of the genus Aproceros Malaise (Hymenoptera: Argidae) from China*. Entomotaxonomia, **28**: 279–280. (in Chinese).
- ZANDIGIACOMO P., CARGNUS E., VILLANI A., 2011, *First record of the invasive sawfly Aproceros leucopoda infesting elms in Italy*. Bulletin of Insectology, **64** (1): 145–149.
- ZHELOCHOVTSEV A.N., ZINOVIEV A.G., 1995, *A list of the sawflies and horntails (Hymenoptera, Symphyta) of the fauna of Russia and adjacent territories*. Entomologicheskoe obozrenie, **74**: 395–415 (English translation: 1996, Entomological Review, **75**: 58–80).
- ***http://bfw.ac.at/cms_stamm/400/PDF/expertmeeting2013/Glavendekic_Serbia.pdf

Received October 24, 2018

Biological Research Institute, 47,
Lascăr Catargi Street
700107, Iași, Romania
e-mail: camilul@yahoo.com

ABUNDANCE, LENGTH-WEIGHT RELATIONSHIP AND CONDITION FACTOR OF LUTJANIDS (SNAPPER) FROM SOMBREIRO RIVER, NIGERIA

OLANIYI ALABA OLOPADE, HENRY EYINA DIENYE

A study was conducted to investigate abundance, length-weight relationship and condition factor of Lutjanidae fishes in the Sombreiro River, Nigeria from January to June, 2018. A total of 173 specimens representing fish species were collected from five different sampling stations all along the river. The mean total lengths ranged from 23.97 ± 0.94 cm recorded for *Lutjanus goneensis* to 30.59 ± 2.47 cm for *Lutjanus campechanus* and mean weight varied between 188.67 ± 17.83 and 283.00 ± 35.69 for *Lutjanus goneensis* and *Lutjanus campechanus* respectively. The exponent b ranged from 2.52 recorded for *Lutjanus campechanus* to 3.48 recorded for *Lutjanus dentatus*. The mean values condition factor of the five lutjanid species studied ranged from 1.03 ± 0.08 to 1.39 ± 0.09 . The estimated status of this fish family could provide valuable information for protection and conservation of these valuable stocks.

Keywords: length-weight relationships, condition factor, Lutjanidae, Sombreiro River.

INTRODUCTION

The Niger Delta region of Nigeria is blessed with the numerous river systems that are very rich in fish species and huge fishing opportunities for small scale fisheries. Sombreiro River a tributary of Niger River is one of the important rivers in Niger Delta, runs downwards into the Southern tip of the Niger Delta basin and empties into coastal lagoons and creeks bordering the Atlantic Ocean. (Ezekiel *et al.*, 2011). The River occupies important place because of its fishery production, providing breeding grounds and nursery grounds for many commercially important species (Ezekiel *et al.*, 2002) as well as fishing ground for artisanal fishery in the region.

Lutjanidae fishes are important ichthyofaunal components of Sombreiro River and are of considerable economic value. The fish family has 17 genera with about 113 species and the genus *Lutjanus* forms the largest genus (Allen, 1985). Schneider (1990) reported six species in the Gulf of Guinean water while Longhurst (1961) identified seven species in Nigerian water. Mostly marine in habitat but with some members inhabiting estuaries, feeding in fresh water (Nelson, 1984). Lutjanidae are estimated to have 10 spines, 14 soft dorsal rays, 3 anal spines and 8–9 anal soft rays, which is a determinant features that distinguished lutjanids from other similar fishes especially the so-called popular lady fish (Allen, 1985). Nigerian fish production by species for Lutjanidae was estimated at 9,742 tonnes out of 1027058 tones fish produced in 2015 (NBS, 2017). Previously it was abundant in

coastal waters and rivers but now the populations are declining due to destruction of their habitats and overfishing (Ezenwa & Ayinla 1994). Exploitation status of the snapper species is suggested to be over-exploited in Nigerian coastal waters (Amiengheme, 1997, 2001).

Information on length-weight relationship of fish is important in fisheries assessment (Haimovici & Velasco, 2000). Length-weight relationship is an important input to the regional stock assessment as it is used to convert catches in weight into catch in number (Farley *et al.*, 2012). Estimation of the population size of a fish stock for the purpose of its rational exploitation often requires knowledge of these relationships (Le Cren, 1951). Length-weight relationship also provides information on the condition factor.

In spite of its abundance and economic importance, studies on the lutjanid species from Nigeria are few and fragmentary. The objective of the present study was to provide size structure, and length-weight relationships and status of the family Lutjanidae from the Sombreiro River, Niger Delta region of Nigeria.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The study was carried out in the Sombreiro River, Niger Delta region of Nigeria between latitude 6° 30' 1" N and 7° 01' 1" E, and longitudes 4° 12' 1" E and 6° 00' 1" E (Fig. 1) were chosen and sampled every month from April to September, 2018.




Fig. 1. Map of Sombreiro River showing study sites.

Data were analysed using statistical analysis software (SAS 9.2) and Microsoft Excel 2003 software. Data were expressed as mean $\pm$ standard error of mean.

SIZES AND CATCH COMPOSITION OF LUTJANID SPECIES

A total of 173 specimens of five lutjanid species were caught during the study (Table 1). The most prevalent species was *Lutjanus endecancathus* (25.7%) followed by *L. goneensis* (25.2%) and *L. agennes* (24.0%) while of these five species the least occurrence species was *L. campechanus* (5.8%). The results of the size distribution for lutjanid species are presented in Table 2. The length range obtained for *Lutjanus agennes*, *L. campechanus*, *L. dentatus*, *L. endecancathus*, and *L. goneensis* were 9.8–48.5 cm, 20.1–50.1 cm, 10.3–39.5 cm, 10.8–40 cm and 10.1–38.5 cm respectively. The mean total lengths ranged from 23.97 ± 0.94 cm recorded for *L. goneensis* to 30.59 ± 2.47 cm for *L. campechanus* and mean weights were 188.67 ± 17.83 g, 204.02 ± 18.32 g, 205.61 ± 16.67 g, 216.79 ± 17.91 g and 283.00 ± 35.69 g for *L. goneensis*, *L. agennes*, *L. endecancathus*, *L. dentatus* and *L. campechanus* respectively.

[illegible]

Table 2
Mean weight, total length and standard length of Lutjanid species

Species	Weight (g)		Total Length (cm)	
	Mean $\pm$ SE	Range	Mean $\pm$ SE	Range
<i>Lutjanus agennes</i>	204.02 $\pm$ 18.32	20–500	24.75 $\pm$ 1.20	9.8–48.5
<i>Lutjanus campechanus</i>	283.00 $\pm$ 35.69	100–500	30.59 $\pm$ 2.47	20.1–50.1
<i>Lutjanus dentatus</i>	216.79 $\pm$ 17.91	20–411	26.53 $\pm$ 1.21	10.3–39.5
<i>Lutjanus endecancathus</i>	205.61 $\pm$ 16.67	25–411	24.73 $\pm$ 1.04	10.8–40
<i>Lutjanus goneensis</i>	188.67 $\pm$ 17.83	25–500	23.97 $\pm$ 0.94	10.1–38.5

The parameters of the length-weight relationships of lutjanid species are presented in Table 3. The exponent b ranged from 2.52 recorded for *Lutjanus campechanus* to 3.48 recorded for *L. dentatus*. The coefficients of determination (r^2) of the length-weight relationships regressions ranged between 0.77 and 0.94. The mean condition factors of the lutjanid species studied were 1.36 ± 0.08 , 1.03 ± 0.08 , 1.20 ± 0.08 , 1.39 ± 0.09 and 1.30 ± 0.07 for *L. agennes*, *L. campechanus*, *L. dentatus*, *L. endecancathus* and *L. goneensis* respectively.

Table 3
Overall condition factors and the growth pattern of fish catch

Species	N	a	b	r^2	K	Range	Growth pattern
<i>Lutjanus agennes</i>	41	-5.82	3.48	0.87	1.36 ± 0.08	0.44–2.60	Positive allometry
<i>Lutjanus campechanus</i>	10	2–94	2.52	0.82	1.03 ± 0.08	0.40–1.30	Negative allometry
<i>Lutjanus dentatus</i>	33	-3.93	2.92	0.77	1.20 ± 0.08	0.53–2.39	Negative allometry
<i>Lutjanus endecancathus</i>	44	-3.48	2.73	0.79	1.39 ± 0.09	0.54–3.46	Negative allometry
<i>Lutjanus goneensis</i>	43	-3.02	2.59	0.94	1.30 ± 0.07	0.27–3.02	Negative allometry




Fig. 2. Allometry growth patterns for the species *Lutjanus agennes*.




Fig. 3. Allometry growth patterns for the species *Lutjanus campechanus*.




Fig. 4. Allometry growth patterns for the species *Lutjanus dentatus*.




Fig. 5. Allometry growth patterns for the species *Lutjanus endecancathus*.




Fig. 6. Allometry growth patterns for the species *Lutjanus goneensis*.

DISCUSSION

Lutjanid species recorded in the current study included *Lutjanus agennes*, *L. campechanus*, *L. dentatus*, *L. endecancathus* and *L. goneensis*. The result is similar to Schneider (1990) who reported six species in the Gulf of Guinea. This is in contrast with the findings of Ibim & Bongilli (2016) who recorded only two species from the middle reach of the same river. These species recorded in this study appear to be more susceptible to artisanal fishing gear used by local fishers in the study area. The number of species could vary depending upon differences in the sampling methods and sampling effort, as well as fish abundance (Olopade & Rufai, 2014).

The mean sizes of lutjanid species obtained in this study ranged from 23.97 ± 0.94 to 30.59 ± 2.47 cm. Allen (1985) recorded the maximum total length of 80 cm for most lutjanids in the Gulf of Guinea. These results revealed that the size distributions of species studied were mainly juveniles. Apart from *L. endecancathus* with maximum total length of 20 cm the remaining five species recorded in the Gulf of Guinea varied in length from size range of 60–90 cm (Schneider, 1990). Kafayat *et al.* (2015) observed that *L. goneensis* with sizes ranging from 7.90–19.99 cm and 20.00–34.99 cm were believed to be juveniles and sub-adult members of the species, respectively. Schneider (1990) reported that young are frequently encountered in coastal waters, particularly estuaries and sometimes in rivers.

The results obtained in this study (Figs. 2–6) similar to Allen's (1985) reported that juveniles were more common on mangrove estuaries, creeks, coastal rivers and lower reaches of freshwater.

The exponent b is close to 3.0 for most species (Figs. 2–6) but when the value of b exceeds 3.0 (Fig. 3), fish become fatter and when the value falls below

3.0, fish become leaner. In this study four out of five species demonstrated allometry growth patterns with the b values varied between 2.52 and 2.92. These results suggest a pattern of distinct morphological similarity in the stocks of lutjanid species from the Sombreiro River. Only *L. dentatus* showed isometric growth and implies that fish become rotund as they grow in length (Anderson & Gutreuter, 1985). Ralston (1988) reported allometric growth for some lutjanid species from the Mariana Archipelago.

Growth pattern and growth rates are highly species specific. However, the variations in the value of the exponent 'b' could be attributed to factors such as seasonal fluctuations, physiological conditions of the fish at the time of collection, sex, gonadal development and nutritive conditions of the environment (Le Cren, 1951). Variations in these factors affect the growth rate and result in varying degrees of fluctuations in the growth rate within population (Gupta & Gupta, 2006). The correlation coefficient of lutjanids obtained in the present study varied between 0.77 (moderate) and 0.94 (high).

In this study the (K) condition factor of *Lutjanus* species ranged from 1.03 ± 0.08 to 1.39 ± 0.09 . This implies that fish species are in good condition during the study. But the values recorded are below that of Bagenal & Tesch (1978) which indicated a range of 2.9–4.8 as the ideal range of K value for the normal growth and utilization of nutrients by a normal fresh water fish. The value of condition factor depends upon the external environment of the fish. Based on the results of this study proper steps should be taken for the protection and conservation of these valuable fish species through effective fishing regulation measures.

CONCLUSIONS

This study has demonstrated that Sombreiro River harbors five lutjanid species with *L. endecacanthus*, *L. goneensis* and *L. agennes*, account for almost 70% of the landings. The total length ranged from 23.97 ± 0.94 to 30.59 ± 2.47 cm indicating juveniles and sub-adult members of the species. Of the species examined, all were characterized by allometric growth and condition factor of *Lutjanus* species ranged from 1.03 ± 0.08 to 1.39 ± 0.09 . The information gained in the present survey may be a valuable tool for the protection and conservation of lutjanid species in the Sombreiro River.

REFERENCES

- ALLEN G. R., 1985, *FAO species catalogue. Snappers of the World. An annotated and illustrated catalogue of Lutjanid species known to date*. FAO Fish Synop, 6: 125–208.
- ANDERSON R. O., GUTREUTER S. J., 1983, *Length, weight, and associated structural indices*. Pp.: 283–300. In: L. A. Nielsen and D. L. Johnson (eds.), *Fisheries Techniques*, American Fisheries Society.

- AMIENGHEME P., 1997, *Problems of industrial fisheries in Nigeria – Report on the utilization of Automotive Gas Oil (AGO) bunkered by the Nigerian Trawler Owner Association (NITOA) for that period August 6–31, 1997*. Pp.: 145–149. In: T. O. Ajayi, & B. I. O. Ezenwa (eds.), Scientists on nationally co-coordinating resource programme (NCRP) of the National Agricultural Research Programmes (NCRP) of the National Agricultural Research Project (NARP), National Meeting Report No. 1 (1998), Lagos, Nigeria: Nigerian Institute for Oceanography and Marine Research.
- AMIENGHEME P., 2001, *Nigeria's fisheries regulations and their enforcement*. Seminar on the Nigerian fishing industry, Sheraton Hotels and Towers, Abuja, Nigeria.
- BAGENAL T. B., TESCH F. W., 1978, *Methods for assessment of fish production in fresh water*. Third edition in age and growth: 101–136.
- EZEKIEL E. N., ABOWEI J. F. N., HART A. I., 2002, *The fish species assemblage of Odiokwu-Ekpeye flood plains, Niger Delta*. International Journal of Science and Technology, **1** (1): 54–59.
- EZEKIEL E. N., OGAMBA E. N., ABOWEI J. F. N., 2011, *The Zooplankton Species Composition and Abundance in Sombreiro River, Niger Delta, Nigeria*. Asian Journal of Agricultural Sciences, **3** (3): 200–204.
- EZENWA B. I., AYINLA O. A., 1994, *Conservation strategies for endangered fish breeding and nursery grounds within the coastal wetlands of Nigeria*. Aquatic Conservation, **4** (2): 125–133.
- FARLEY J. H., ASHLEY J. W., CAMBELL R. D., NAOMI P. C. J., PAIGE E., SIMON D. H. & SIMON J. N., 2012, *Population Biology of Albacore Tuna in the Australian Region*. FRDC Project; no. 2009/012 – Final Report, 124 pp.
- GUPTA S. K., GUPTA P. C., 2006, *General and Applied Ichthyology*. S. Chand and Co. Ltd., New Delhi.
- HAIMOVICI M., VELASCO G., 2000, *Length-weight relationship of marine fishes from Southern Brazil*. NAGA: ICLARM Q, **23**: 19–23.
- IBIM A. T., BONGILLI B., 2018, *Fish Stock Status of the Middle Reach of the Sombreiro River of the Niger Delta Basin*. Nigeria Proceedings of 6th NSCB Biodiversity Conference, Uniuoyo: 346–360 pp.
- KAFAYAT A. FAKOYA, MARTINS A. ANETEKHAL, SHEHU L. AKINTOLA, ABDULWAKIL O. SABA, MIKHAIL A. ABASS, 2015, *Life-stages, exploitation status and habitat use of Lutjanus goreensis (Perciformes: Lutjanidae) in coastal marine environments of Lagos, SW Nigeria*. International Journal of Tropical Biology, **63** (1): 199–212.
- LE CREN E. D., 1951, *The length-weight relationship and seasonal cycle in the gonad weight and condition in the perch (Perca fluviatilis)*. Journal of Animal Ecology, **20**: 201–219.
- LONGHURST A., 1961, *Report on the Fisheries of Nigeria*. Federal Ministry of Information, Lagos.
- NELSON J. S., 1984, *Fishes of the world*. 2nd edition. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 523 pp.
- OLOPADE O. A., RUFAI O. P., 2014, *Composition, abundance and diversity of the Family Cichlidae in Oyan Dam, Ogun State, Nigeria*. Biodiversitas, **15** (2): 195–199.
- PAULY D., 1983, *Some Simple Methods for Assessment of Tropical Fish Stocks*. Fisheries Technical Paper, 234, FAO, 52 pp.
- RALSTON S., 1988, *Length-Weight Regressions and Condition Indices of Lutjanids and Other Deep Slope Fishes from the Mariana Archipelago*. Micronesica, **21**: 189–197.
- SCHNEIDER W., 1990, *FAO Species identification sheet for fishery purposes. Field guide to the commercial marine resources of the Gulf of Guinea*. FAO Regional office for Africa, Rome, 268 pp.
- *** National Bureau of Statistics (NBS) 2017. Nigerian's fish production 2010–2015.

Received September 18, 2018

University of Portharcourt, Department of
Fisheries, Faculty of Agriculture, Choba
P.M.B.5323, Rivers State, Nigeria
e-mail: olaniyi.olopade@uniport.edu.ng

THE CONCEPT OF ECOLOGICAL RE-ESTABLISHMENT OF SOME LARGE BAT POPULATIONS IN WOMEN CAVE FROM BAIA DE FIER (ROMANIA)

DUMITRU MURARIU*, VICTOR GHEORGHIU**

All over the world, at least for some bat populations there is an alarming decreasing number of individuals. In most cases, this is a result of human pressure either using pesticides in forests and agriculture, disturbing their most preferred underground shelters and not in the least – because of wrong beliefs about bats, the man has a hostile attitude. In this article we are referring to a case of using a cave for touristic purposes and improvements there disturbed up to extinction some important bat colonies. It is about Women Cave, close to Iron Bath (Baia de Fier, Gorj County). After several years of observations and finding explanation for lack of bats there we offer several concrete solutions to restore this underground habitat and to rehabilitate the former bat colonies. The project should allow both habitat and bat conservation, and prosperity for local human community. Up to our knowledge, this project has a unique character.

Keywords: anthropic pressure, habitat restoration, bat conservation, interest of local community, Women Cave from Iron Bath (Baia de Fier) locality.

INTRODUCTION

Important climate changes all over the world, with mild winters are favoured conditions to agricultural pests (mainly insects) to develop up to invasion phenomena. A new topic for entomologists and for farmers is invasive alien species and their distribution both on latitude and altitude. This is why we are considering that international and national regulations for bat protection are important to preserve and as much as it is possible to increase bat populations. According to the EUROBATs policy to protect bats, since 2000 there is Law No. 90 for bat conservation in Romania.

No more necessary to comment bat importance in ecosystem physiology. At the beginning of XIX-th century, the German biologist Johann Leisler (1771–1813) wrote about the need to protect bats. Up to him, these mammals are the only which can control nocturnal pests for agriculture, orchards and forestry.

Unfortunately 6th and 7th decades of the last century were with drastic decrease of some bat populations from Romania, and in Europe some species became extinct. These happened because of abusive use of insecticides and pesticides.

On the other side, in the same period it was an unusual development of uncontrolled tourism. Under the increased anthropic pressure on the underground shelters, both hibernation and nursery colonies were drastically disturbed and the result was numerically decreased individuals of bat species populations.

With this article we want to remember and promote some success projects in rehabilitation of underground habitats and restauration of former bat colonies.

CASE STUDIES

A. Our own data on bat populations from Romania are mainly after 1990. In 1995 we visited St. Grigore Decapolitul Cave (Fig. 1), close to Bistrița Monastery (Vâlcea County). In the past, that cave was an incredible shelter for bats. Dumitrescu *et al.* (1955) reported only three specimens of *Plecotus austriacus*, but there were visible parts of torches, fireplaces and arrangements of bivouacs in the cave.

After 1990, the Monastery's staff together with superior mother Mihaela Tamaș were very receptive to our suggestion to close the cave with a metallic gate to protect the speleothemes, disturbing bats and against vandalization of the monarchic building from inside the cave. In this way bat populations were better protected.

In 1997, we observed first a small colony of *Miniopterus schreibersii* installed in this cave for hibernation. Only in three years (in 2000) the colony of Schreibers' long-fingered bat was with 400 individuals for hibernation and 900 individuals for nursery colony.

However, we observed on the 18th December 2001 a decreased number of bats in St. Grigore Decapolitul Cave. Therefore on the occasion of a LIFE 00 NATURE/RO/7187 Project we decided to monitorize the site for four years. Thus we found that the decreasing number of bats was because of touristic activities organized by Monastery's staff to get money. Also, occasionally it was fire in the hermitage stove (Fig. 2 A, B).

Firstly we established a certain way for visitors, avoiding the entrance in the neighbour galleries where bats were sheltered.

Secondly it was prohibited fire in cave and in 2004 it was possible to use an electric heater in hermitage.

Third – Monastery's guide was informed and advised to ask visitors to respect regulations for persons who are visiting sites with bats; tourists were also informed with these rules printed on large panels in front of the entrance in the gallery.

Fourth – the electric light for visitor track avoided places with bats.

Fifth – we provided the Monastery library with flyers to inform visitors about the need to protect bats (Decu *et al.*, 2003; Murariu *et al.*, 2007).




Fig. 1. Map of St. Grigore Decapolitul Cave (Bat Cave from Bistrița Monastery) (Dumitrescu *et al.*, 1955).

We can conclude that measures for minimum protection (*e.g.*, setting a gate) and control of tourism in the cave, respecting regulations for bat protection, led to an increasing number of hibernating bats from 180 individuals in December 2001, to 998 individuals in December 2002. After 2002, Schreibers' long-fingered bat formed a colony of about 1000 individuals and *Rhinolophus ferrumequinum*, *R. hipposideros*, *Myotis myotis* and *M. blythii* – about 200–250 individuals.

The nursery colonies increased constantly: 2950 individuals in 2002; 3040 individuals – in 2003 and 3800 – in 2004. They were species of *Myotis* – mostly *M. myotis*, *M. oxignatus* and *Miniopterus schreibersii*. Dumitrescu *et al.* (1962–1963) evaluated only *Myotis myotis* to about 3000 individuals.

A positive factor for presence of bats in St. Grigore Decapolitul Cave was also a decreasing number of tourists, because most of them were more attracted by the neighbour underground karstic structures – Polovragi and Women Caves (Gheorghiu & Murariu, 2007; Gheorghiu *et al.*, 2007). This experience with success in rehabilitation a shelter with nursery and hibernating chiroptera was the first one in Romania and one of the less known at those time in the world.




Fig. 2. A – St. Arhangel's Hermitage; B – Hibernating colony of *Miniopterus schreibersii* in St. Grigore Decapolitul Cave from Bistrița Monastery.

B. Another interesting and successful experience in bat's shelter protection we had between 2004–2008. In November 2003, visiting Dry Ciclovina (Ciclovina Uscată) Cave in Sepeș Room (Fig. 3) we observed a small group of bats. They were no more than 10 individuals of (probably) *Myotis myotis*/*M. blythii*.

Dry Ciclovina Cave is one of the underground shelters from Romania, which between 1912–2003 supported one of the most aggressive anthropic pressures. From there a deposit of guano-phosphate was under industrial exploitation for more than nine decades.

Also, in that cave there is an important paleontological deposit, with some fossils of *Homo sapiens* too. For geologists, this cave is *locus typicus* of *Ardealit* phosphatic mineral.

All these characteristics justified inclusion of Ciclovina Uscată Cave on the UNESCO List of the World Heritage and urgently Institute of Speleology “Emile

Racovitza”, Romanian National Geographic specialists, the Group of Speleological Exploration and Diving, together with a group of volunteers from the United States of America have taken measures to protect this site. The Project was named “Romania 2004 – Cave Bear Project” and was financed by the American Group of explorers.

A local NGO – the Speleological Association “Proteus” from Hunedoara County and Speleological Association “Live Fire” (“Focul Viu”) were implied in the Project and made a documentary movie entitled “The second extinction of the cave bear”. Since 2005, the financial support of the Project was granted by the Romanian Academy (GAR 69/2005; 72/2006; 87/2007 and 147/2008) to the Bucharest’s Institute of Speleology “Emil Racovitza” as a topic of scientific research.

Since the beginning we considered necessary an ecological restoration of Dry Ciclovina (Fig. 3). First it was necessary to restore the environmental conditions which were before the anthropic works in site. In 2004 and in 2005 at about 130 m distance from the entrance we set tight gates to separate the Anthropogenic Tunnel of access in the cave. This tunnel was degt between the First and Second World War to facilitate the guano-phosphate exploitation. Closing this hall it was re-established the ecological microclimate in the cave, on the one side, and it has avoided the entrance of the unauthorized visitors inside the cave on the other side. At about 10 m behind the Natural Entrance in the cave, we mounted a metallic gate (Fig. 4 A and B), with horizontal bars to allow bats flights.




Fig. 3. Map of the Dry Ciclovina Cave (after “Proteus” Speleological Club from Hunedoara County).

In the monitoring process of ecological factors restoration in the underground habitat and changing unfavourable effects of the anthropic impact on biodiversity from Dry Ciclovina Cave we considered as an important part to observe as principal vector the presence and dynamics of bat populations in this site. It is known that the bats are the best bioindicators about the new ecological conditions and are the first beings which repopulate a habitat with favourable conditions for their low metabolism in the hibernating period. To these we also considered important bats fidelity for a shelter with suitable conditions (Fig. 4 C). On the other hand, only 5% of total caves (more than 12,000 in Romania) are favourable for nursing colonies. At the same time, bat colonies in a subterranean habitat increase organic substances or guano deposits important as a trophic base for cavernicolous invertebrate fauna.

However, the results in ecological restoration of Ciclovina Uscată Cave as an underground habitat prove increasing bat populations: 10 individuals in 2003; 83 individuals – 2004; 406 individuals – 2005; 520 individuals – 2006; 801 individuals in the winter 2007–2008 (Table 1). The success was not only numerical, but also qualitative. If in 2004 there were identified only four bat species, in 2008 there were ten species.




Fig. 4. A – Protecting gate at the Natural Entrance; B – Diaphragm of protection in the Anthropic Tunnel; C – Colony of *Rhinolophus ferrumequinum* in Bivouac Room of Dry Ciclovina Cave.

The serious quantitative and qualitative improvement of bat populations (increasing 80 times) was recorded in only four years of monitoring. Therefore this project could be considered as a model of ecological reconstruction which can be applied in other similar cases.

At the same time, on the occasion of ecological restoration monitoring they were also developed important surveys of mineralogy, sedimentology and palaeomagnetism in Dry Ciclovina Cave (Dumitraş *et al.*, 2009). A cooperation relation of biologists with archaeologists allowed to be published some interdisciplinary articles on palaeoanthropology and anthropology.

Considering that our successful experience can be used as working tool to rehabilitate and preserve underground habitats we co-operated with 14 scientists and printed a volume with our above mentioned results (Gheorghiu *et al.*, 2007; Petculescu & Murariu, 2009).

Table 1
Dynamics of increasing chiroptera populations in Dry Ciclovina Cave

Species	2003*	Hibernating period			
		2004–2005**	2005–2006	2006–2007	2007–2008
<i>Myotis myotis/M. blythii</i>	10	–	–	–	–
<i>Rhinolophus hipposideros</i>		4	24	45	99
<i>R. ferrumequinum</i>		8	138	182	140
<i>Myotis myotis/M. oxygnatus</i>		71	244	286	562
<i>Miniopterus schreibersii</i>		–	–	8	–
Total	10	83	406	520	801

Legend:

* Observation on 18.11.2003, before starting the ecological of the site.

**First visit for observation was on 14.11.2004, after closing Anthropic Tunnel in Dry Ciclovina in July 2004) and beginning the ecological reconstruction.

CONCLUSIONS FOR CASE STUDIES

1. Protecting systems placed in site proved their viability and resisted to the attitudes of vandalization; prohibiting auto access in that area decreased touristic pressure.

2. The model and methods used for ecological rehabilitation of Dry Ciclovina Cave (constructions and setting the diaphragm in ther Anthropic Tunnel) proved to be of great success.

3. All along the year, the temperature inside the cave became relatively constant; missing air flow allowed increase of the Relative Humidity.

4. The spectacular increase of the number of bats in only four years: 2003 – 10 individuals; 2004 – 83 individuals; 2005 – 406 individuals; in 2006 – 520 individuals; in 2007 – 801 individuals, was because of the new climatic conditions inside the cave.

5. In all Ponorici – Ciclovina karstic systems, Dry Ciclovina Cave became the most important hibernation shelter for bats (Table 1).

6. Observations and surveys using Bat Detector in 2006 allowed identification of more bat species in Dry Ciclovina Cave.

7. Monitoring bat species using a Bat detector, in 2006 we identified ten bat species, comparing with six species identified in 2005.

8. According to our observations, because of a reduced amount of guano, the invertebrate cavernicolous fauna is very poor in this cave.

9. Entomologists should mainly observe less collecting cavernicolous arthropods.

10. Next observation and monitoring of numerical and specific increase of chiroptera populations in Dry Ciclovina Cave should be compared with bat population structures in Ciclovina II Cave, Cioclovina with Water and Ponorici Caves from the area.

11. The results of this first monitoring project in Romania and ecological rehabilitation of one underground habitat drastically affected by anthropic pressure must encourage chiropterologists to trust in the possibility of rehabilitation of any underground habitat, to offer more shelters with optimum conditions both for hibernating and for nursery colonies.

A NEW PROJECT

Having success with rehabilitation of a bat population from St. Grigore Decapolitul Cave (Bistrița Monastery – Vâlcea County) as well as the ecological reconstruction of Dry Ciclovina cave as an underground habitat, we answered to a new provocation. It is about ecological reconstruction and restauration of one important shelter both for nursery and hibernating bat colonies in Women Cave from Iron Bath (Baia de Fier locality – Gorj County) up to half 20th century.

In a project LIFE NATURA 2000 we monitored chiroptera populations in this site, because in the Altar Room there are hibernating several hundreds of *Rhinolophus*. Based on our observations we recommended reorientation of lights to be off bat colony and for winter time to be prohibited Altar Room visits. The result was a three times increased number of bats for hibernation. Referring to the nursery colony in Guano Room, we observed a number of pregnant females of *Myotis myotis*, which dissapeared in May, when touristic pressure was increased.

In the last century, this site was deeply transformed by new improvements for touristic purposes, the Women Cave becoming the most visited in Romania. In the so-called Altar Room (Sala Altarului) it was an important colony of *Rhinolophus* and in time no more bats were there.

Visiting the cave we noticed that the lights were directed to the traditional place of bat colony. Changing the direction of lights and asking the administrator to close for visitors that room, immediately bats came back for hibernation. More, in spring, in a next-door room – Guano Room (Sala cu Guano) came several females of *Myotis myotis* to shelter for nursery period. Unfortunately, visitor's track was under this small colony and bats moved away.

A first analysis of the situation was in May 2007 in a workshop organized by the Gorj County Environmental Protection Agency with Mayor of Baia de Fier locality, scientists from the “Emile Racovitza” Institute of Speleology in Bucharest, the Romanian Federation of Chiropterology and the Romanian Association for Bat Protection. In order to protect bats in Women Cave, in that meeting there was discussed the possibility to cease public tourism, but because of a project to develop agro-tourism in the area, the local community rejected this idea.

The conclusion of the Workshop was that scientists from the Romanian Academy offered an alternative both to protect bats and to continue tourism in the cave. For this it was necessary a deep improvement of visiting tracks to reconstruct former ecological conditions according to Law 90/2000 – before to open cave for public tourism.

Localisation: The Women Cave is situated close by the National Road 67, between Râmnicu Vâlcea and Târgu Jiu – 4 km distance. The lateral secondary road is modernized and close to the entrance in cave there is a generous parking place, two hotels, one camping, two restaurants, three accommodation buildings plus agro-touristic units in the village.

A short history of the site and deep transformations in the cave could be useful to better understand the topic of bat protection there.

Women Cave from Iron Bath-Baia de Fier is located in Căpățâni Mountains, on the Sabrupt slope Galbenu river gorges. It is to the western end of Garba Top (751 m altitude), toward south-eastern part of Parâng Mountains at only 2 km North, far from this locality (Fig. 5 and Fig. 6 A, B). The gazeteer is: 45° 10'N and 23°45'E. The Women Cave is included in Romanian Law 5/6 March 2000 being classified to position 2,424 with 19 ha area (Fig. 5). It is a speleological reservation of III-rd IUCN category (Monument of Nature).

According to the Environmental Minister Order No. 604/2005, the cave is evaluated in the Class A, except for the touristic part which is of Class B. We are interested only in this part.

The mentioned area of 19 ha is the cave projection outside in the Garba block limestone which has a statute of Natural Reserve (Fig. 5). Grateful to speogenetic, archaeological, palaeontological, mineralogical and biological importance, the cave is under the protection of the Nature Monuments Commission of the Romanian Academy.

In this cave there was first discovered in all karstic system from Romania a speleotheme association of *dahlitt* – in the Red Room. Here were also discovered fossils of *Homo sapiens*. So that because of the scientific and of specific *dahlitt* the Women Cave is on the patrimonial list of UNESCO.




Fig. 5. External projection of Women Cave – Iron Bath.

This cave is like a tunnel, situated at 40 m above Galbenului Valley thalweg or the lowest level there and has two entrances: one to North (Fig. 6 A and B) and another one to South. The second entrance is before the river gorges.

But toward the East it was a third entrance, which was walled on the occasion of improvements for the touristic purpose. The geographers explain that when Galbenu river's gorges were forming, the infiltration waters degt the cave in Tithonic limestones.

Women Cave is laid on four different levels and the general orientation of all system of galleries is NNV-SSV (Fig. 7), according to some fracture line from the right slope of Galbenu river Valley (Diaconu *et al.*, 1980) .

Considering our interest to restore underground shelter for nursery bat colonies we will refer to the first level. In the upper level there is a main gallery of 573 m length which is crossing Garbei limestone summit. Together with the alveolate net of the main gallery the total length of upper level is 1228 m.

Before improvements for touristic purposes, the main gallery has had three entrances: Northern (Fig. 6 C) – today entrance for tourists; Southern – former place of water reappearance from underground; Eastern – today walled. The third entrance was opened in the abrupt gorges slope.




Fig. 6. A – Garba summit (lateral view 751 m altitude) in which it was developed. Women Cave from Iron Bath; B – Garba summit (front view with localization (1) of Northern Entrance (upstream); C – Entrance gate for tourists.

At 30 m distance from Northern entrance (Fig. 6 C), in the west wall is opening a prime diverticula or alveola which is steering to the Northern part of lower level – *Electricians Gallery* (Fig. 7) and after about 130 m is connecting with the *Altar Room* of 4–6 m width and 2 m height. The *Altar Room* is highly concretionate, at the entrance being an impressive chimney of 17 m height. Right here there is maximum density of a hibernating colony of bats; some solitary individuals are spread along all the *Electricians Gallery*.

From the *Altar Room*, continuing toward East, the gallery has many boulders and is opening a new room with the ceiling painted with chiropterit and on the floor there is deposit of guano. Both, spots of chiropterit on the ceiling and guano deposit on the floor are evidences of a former large nursery and hibernating colony, most probably of *Myotis myotis*, *M. blythii*, *M. capaccinii*, *M. dasycneme* and *Miniopterus schreibersii*. It is estimated to about 4000 individuals.

Because of the walled Eastern entrance, bats avoided this cave and today there is no more nursery colony and fresh guano.

Coming back to the main gallery, the track is directed to South and after 270 m *Turkish Room* is situated – one of the most concretionate chambers. From here a narrow passage is starting, with a ditch on the floor and visitors are arriving in the *Wanders Room*. The name of this room comes from the nicest microgures and stalactitic domes there.

After passing a very narrow part, the gallery is continuing toward the *Guano Room*, which also in former times was sheltering a huge nursery and hibernating colony of *Rhinolophus ferrumequinum*, *R. hipposideros*, *Myotis myotis*, *M. blythii* and *Miniopterus schreibersii*. Dumitrescu *et al.* (1962–1963) estimating up to 14,000 individuals in this colony.

The *Guano Room* is at 70 m distance up to the Southern exit. When touristic track was built, first it was necessary to excavate an important quantity of guano from the floor.

At about 40 m before the exit, on the western wall there is the entrance toward lower levels through a highly descending gallery. This one is continued by *Bears Gallery* at the lower level of Southern District. Exploration of this gallery revealed very important palaeontological and anthropological discoveries. All lower floors are included in a Scientific Reserve and access there should be restricted, setting metallic gates.

To us it is of interest the tourism effect with many disturbing activities in the cave, which finally ended with nursery and hibernating bat colony extinction. This risk was mentioned by Professor Margareta Dumitrescu (1955) and by Dumitrescu & Tanasachi (1961).

Examining carefully all disturbing factors because of anthropic activities in the cave we suggested an ecological reconstruction in order to recover, in new optimum conditions, both the nursery and hibernating bat colony (Murariu *et al.*, 2008; Murariu *et al.*, 2010; Gheorghiu *et al.*, 2009; Gheorghiu *et al.*, 2010).




Fig. 7. Map of the touristic floor in Women Cave – Iron Bath.

We must remember that in former times, this cave was used as a refuge for women when the Turkish invaded the area. Therefore the cave name is Women. But without disturbing activities, two bat colonies continued to shelter together with quiet people. At those times, the access in the cave was in Southern entrance (Fig. 7) and the gallery was ending to the *Turkish Room*. The nursery colony was in *Guano Room*.

A second entrance (like in a second cave at those time) was in the Eastern part which today is walled. So that the second nursery colony of bats was in two rooms close to the Eastern entrance.

The Northern entrance (Fig. 7) which we mentioned before was artificially opened with detonation on the occasion of limestone expoatation for a chalk factory. Close to the cave there are still remnants of lime ovens. Later, exploring the cave, bat habitat from the Eastern entrance rooms was connected with *Turkish Room*, crossing the bat habitat from *Guano Room*.

In conclusion, bat habitat were disturbed by:

- opening the actual Northern entrance by occasional detonation, to get limestone for local use (Fig. 9 C);
- along the main gallery there were opened some stone barriers and connections between the former Women cave and sectors were established;
- these new openings allowed to increase the air flow speed decreasing the relative humidity; the climate and ecological balance were modified;
- in the second half of the 20th century there were mentioned improvements in the underground shelter, necessary for tourism purposes. But these improvements were missed measures to preserve the ecological balance and the optimum conditions for underground fauna, because:
 - a. the tourist track is crossing the *Guano Room*, exactly under the nursery and hibernation colony of bats, causing its extinction there;



Fig. 8. In the electrified cave green algae (lamp leprosy) were developed because of warm light.

b. Walling Eastern entrance as a measure to protect cave vandalization ended with extinction of nursery colony of bats in the next-door rooms;

c. Between 1952–1970 the cave was electrified without care of wires lines and searchlights orientation. There were used red-hot bulbs which facilitated the development of a kind of vegetation (Fig. 8) on walls, named “lamp leprosy”;

d. In the *Altar Room* and in *Guano Room*, the projector’s light was directed to the bat groups, to be seen by tourists. This idea was not suitable with the need of darkness and quiet condition, especially in the nursery and hibernation periods.

e. On crowded days, cave visit is organized in groups of 100 individuals each and this supposes increasing of anthropic pressure on bats and underground fauna extinction.

There are also some other sorts of negative effect of anthropic activities in the cave, outside of it and generally in all protected areas. One example is lack of tracks and directories for tourists which, after visiting the cave, do not know where to walk for a parking place. Therefore, they are spreading all over the protected area, leaving damages in the foraging habitat for bats. There are not enough pannels to present information about this Natural Reserve and at the entrance an Information Center for visitors would be necessary (Fig. 13 A, B and C). In this Center they can learn regulations to be respected both inside and outside of the cave.

Between 2003–2005 we insisted and finally lights were changed. Thus from 700–800 bats, the bat colony increased up to 2500–2800 individuals in 3–5 years only.

Gheorghiu *et al.* (2007) mentioned that all these successfully applied measures of ecological reconstruction and chiroptera population restoration were a first performance in Romania. All these rooms (close to the Eastern entrance, *Guano Room* and *Altar Room* – Fig. 9 B) became populated with larger colonies of bats.



Fig. 9. A – Panel with Visiting Program all over the year, organized in groups of 4–100 visitors;
 B – *Altar Room* – former hibernating place for a large colony of bats in Women Cave;
 C – Oven to burn limestone for Iron Bath community.

For better conditions offered to bat colonies we are suggesting:

1. Along *Guano Room* an artificial passage should be built (Fig. 10) of 30 m length, with an elastic tartan carpet on the floor to absorb walking vibrations. This construction will isolate bats all over the year, so that polluted air will not touch them, lights will not be seen, and visitors could observe bats without disturbing them in nursery and in hibernating periods.




Fig. 10 – Sketch of the artificial passage of 30 m length and 2 m height on the touristic track, under bat colony in *Guano Room* – Women Cave.

2. In order to avoid the “light flora” development, the old system of lightening with LED system must be replaced, with cold light and in the area with chiroptera, light will be red – disturbing bats much less.

3. In order to reduce the air flow in the cave comparable with a tunnel, the door from the Northern entrance will be replaced with a compact metallic one.

4. In order to facilitate bats access in the cave with their span wings, the Southern gate will be replaced with a new one, with 15 cm between the horizontal bars and 70–80 cm between the vertical ones (Fig. 10).

5. In the narrow place toward *Turkish Room* two metal pannels like doors with two parts should be placed. Their role is to avoid access of tourists to the nursery colony and to diminish the air flow speed in the cave and will be opened for bat access to the *Altar Room* in the hibernating period. In this way initial habitats with optimum conditions for bats will be restaured.



Fig. 11. Southern entrance with an actually unsuitable gate (15 × 15 cm net of lattice) not allowing bat flying access.

6. Partial reopening Eastern walled entrance and mounting metal bars (15 cm between horizontal bars and 70–80 cm between those vertical) to facilitate bat access and restoration of a large (up to 4000 individuals) nursery colony (Fig. 12 A, B).

7. Over metallic bars set to the Eastern entrance a mobile metallic pannel will be placed which will be opened in summer time for nursery colony and will totally close the entrance in winter, to protect hibernating colony from the *Altar Room*.

8. Two metallic gates will be set to avoid entrances to the Northern and Southern Scientific reservations from the lower levels.

9. Totally rehabilitate the touristic track and improved it with lateral balustrades, both to protect tourists and to regulate their access in the cave.



Fig. 12 A. Project's team in the room close to the Eastern entrance, under former location (B) of a huge nursery colony driven away after walling this entrance.

10. Rehabilitation and moder building of the entire external tracks with lights (for night), from Southern exit to the parking place.

11. In restoration works only suitable materials will be used for underground conditions and avoiding habitat pollution.


12. Monitoring bat population and disturbing works will be according to their life circle – between nursery and hibernating activities.

13. In monitoring time the bat answers to new ecological improvements in site will be observed.

14. The Project's team will adapt the strategy of rehabilitation according to the monitoring results.

15. Use of Video-traps suppose to avoid human presence inside the cave. But, using of different items to measure the temperature, relative humidity, air flow speed, pH, etc. will be connected to the monitors outside of the cave and checked online.

16. The Information Center (Fig. 13 A, B, C) will reduce the man impact on bats especially for monitoring purposes. Bat activities will be also watched by tourists inside this Information Center (Fig. 13 B). Also, in this Center will be rooms for administration staff and available information for tourists about the importance of the cave as well as recommendations to be protected.






Fig. 13 A, B, C. Plans of Information Center to Women Cave – Iron Bath (architect Nadina Nistor).

CONCLUSIONS

1. The previous experience, research and results in reconstruction of some habitats allow us to be optimistic that after the suggested ecological restoration the bat colonies will be rehabilitated in only 5–8 years. The estimated number of bats

will be up to 4000 individuals for hibernation and 10–12,000 individuals in the nursery period. The most important will be to take into consideration all project details, including the monitoring method.

2. As we have already mentioned, realizing this project will achieve two main purposes: restoration and conservation of nursery and hibernating shelters for bats, according to Law (90/2000); much better conditions to receive and to offer information to visitors and this will be beneficial to the local community, increasing agrotouristic opportunities in the area.

3. Considering the Project's purposes to imply technical conditions and solutions compatible with touristic activities in a shelter for nursery and hibernating bat colonies and to realize their conservation we can emphasize its oneness character both for a protected area and bat conservation in a touristic cave.

4. Bat protection movement increased all over the world not only because of the anthropic pressure, but also because of their importance as bioindicators on the state of habitats, because of their important role in ecosystem physiology, controlling pest insects and not in the least some diseases which may decimate bat populations.

5. The Project is important considering the scarcity of suitable shelters and we can think more to fit up new underground refuges for bats, which today are not totally suitable to host chiropteran fauna.

REFERENCES

- DECU V., MURARIU D., GHEORGHIOU V., 2003, *Chiroptere din România*. Editura Art Group, București, 521 pp.
- DIACONU G., LASCU C., PONTA C., 1980, *Peștera Muierilor*. Editura Sport-Turism, București, 115 pp.
- DUMITRAȘ D.G., MARINCEA ȘT., CONSTANTINESCU E., TOMU R.B., 2009, *Mineralogy of the Ciclovina Uscată Cave*. Pp.: 111–124. In: Petculescu A., Murariu D. (eds.), 2009, *The first ecological reconstruction of the underground environment from Romania Ciclovina Uscata Cave*. Edit. Universitară, București, 136 pp.
- DUMITRESCU M., 1955, *Liliecii, animale care trebuie ocrotite*. Ocrotirea Naturii, București, 1: 121–126.
- DUMITRESCU M., ORGHIDAN T., TANASACHI J., 1955, *Două descoperiri interesante în Peștera Cioclovina cu Apă*. Buletinul Științific, Secția Științe Biologice, Agronomice, Geologice, Geografice, 7 (2): 360–368.
- DUMITRESCU M., TANASACHI J., 1961, *Din viața liliecilor*. Natura, Seria Biologie, București, 6: 30–36.
- DUMITRESCU M., TANASACHI J., ORGHIDAN T., 1962–1963, *Răspândirea chiropterelor în R.P.Română*. Lucrările Institutului de Speologie “Emil Racoviță”, București, 1–2: 509–576.
- GHEORGHIOU V., MURARIU D., 2007, *Reabilitarea unui adăpost de naștere și hibernare a liliecilor în peștera Sf. Grigore Decapoliul (Munții Căpățâni România)*. Miscellanea Chiropterologica, Revista Federației Române de Chiropterologie București, 2: 4–13.
- GHEORGHIOU V., MURARIU D., NISTOR V., 2010, *Turismul în Peștera Muierilor de la Baia de Fier asigurat de un proiect pentru protecția chiropterelor*. Speomond, Revista Federației Române de Speologie, Oradea, 14: 58–64.

- GHEORGHIU V., MURARIU D., DECU V., DONE A., NISTOR V., 2007, *Cunoașterea și protecția liliecilor din România*. Editura Universitară, București, 126 pp.
- GHEORGHIU V., MURARIU D., BORDA D., FARCAS A., CHACHULA O., 2009, *Ecological rehabilitation and restoration of the hibernating colonies of chiroptera from the Ciclovina Uscată Cave*. In: Petculescu A., Murariu D., 2009. *The first ecological reconstruction of the underground environment from Romania Ciclovina Uscata Cave*. Editura Universitară, București, 167 pp.
- MURARIU D., GHEORGHIU V., NISTOR V., 2008, *A possible restauration of an important hibernating and nursery bat colony in a tourist's cave from Romania*. Studii și Comunicări Științele Naturii, Muzeul Olteniei, Craiova, **24**: 174–179.
- MURARIU D., GHEORGHIU V., NISTOR V., 2010, *A possible restauration of an important hibernating and nursery bat colony in a touristic cave from Romania*. Studia Chiropterologica, Krakow, Poland, **6**: 89–96.
- MURARIU D., GHEORGHIU V., DONE A., NISTOR V., 2007, *Protecția liliecilor și a pădurii o relație reciproc avantajoasă*. In: Murariu D., Gheorghiu V. (coord.), Editura Universitară, București, 135 pp.
- PETCULESCU A., MURARIU D. (eds), 2009, *The first ecological reconstruction of the underground environment from Romania Ciclovina Uscata Cave*. Editura Universitară, București, 136 pp.

Received October 20, 2018

¹*Institute of Biology Bucharest of
Romanian Academy, 296 Splaiul Independenței,
060031 Bucharest, P.O. Box 56–53, Romania
e-mail: dumitru.murariu@ibiol.ro*

²*Institute of Speleology Emil Racoviță
Calea 13 Septembrie Nr. 13, Sectorul 5,
050711, Romania
e-mail: victorgheorghiu@yahoo.co.uk*

Sustainable Aquaculture 2018, Hai Faisal I., Visvanathan Chettiyappan, Boopathy Ramaraj (Eds.), Series Title *Applied Environmental Science and Engineering for a Sustainable Future*, Springer International Publishing, Series ISSN 2570-2165, 1st Edition, 327 pp., 19 b/w illustrations, 71 color illustrations

This book contains 10 chapters dealing with important topics of nowadays aquaculture. The first two chapters: *Aquaculture and the Environment: Towards Sustainability* and *Sustainable Aquaculture: Socio-Economic and Environmental Assessment* offer a comprehensive description of the importance and relevance of aquaculture for mankind and environment/our planet. These first two chapters offer a clear view on the main topics linking productivity to environmental protection with special emphasis on fish health, aquaculture hazards and risk analysis. Two other important chapters of the book are focused on aquaponics *Aquaponics Production, Practices and Opportunities* and *Aquaponics: A Commercial Niche for Sustainable Modern Aquaculture*, the first one giving a good introduction to aquaponics whereas the second one is more focused on commercial aspects of aquaponics. Following the description of Aztec facilities (XVIth century) and Chinese achievements [dick pond systems (mid XIVth century) to modern recirculating aquaculture systems (RAS)], the book offers a living history of sustainable aquaculture being also an invitation to personal innovation and creative thinking. The comparison between the efficiency of food conversion by cold blood animals (e.g. fish) and warm blood animals further argue some advantages of fishes as compared with mammals. Again, these advantages are strongly related to the practice of recirculating aquaculture systems, in which the net consumption of fresh water is strongly diminished, with a concomitant significant reduction of environmental pollution. The chapter *Sustainable Aquafeed* discusses different trends in the production of good food for aquaculture, containing natural ingredients that offer functional benefits which allow optimal nutrient composition at a competitive price. Two other chapters, *Sustainable Fishing Methods in Asia Pacific Region* and *Sustainable Production of Shrimp in Thailand* deal with achievements done in specific/given geographical areas, but relevant for the whole domain of aquaculture. The attention done on sustainable fishing and industrial fishing is a very good example of responsible analysis concerning different aspects of this activity and mutual effects between environment and society, which could be important not only for those geographical areas, but also at global level. The last three chapters

focuss on very important aspects of aquaculture. The chapter called *Impact of Pharmaceutically Active Compounds in Marine Environment on Aquaculture* offers a critical discussion on the effect of the use of pharmaceuticals to prevent fish disease, whereas the chapter *Estimating Carbon Footprint Under an Intensive Aquaculture Regime* concerns the fate of greenhouse gases emission and cumulative energy demand during turbot cultivation in Spain under superintensive regime. Essential activities of any recirculating aquaculture systems are discussed in the chapter *Waste Treatment in Recirculating Shrimp Culture Systems*. Special attention is devoted to the use of pilot (500L) sequencing batch reactors (SBR) operating in aerobic and anaerobic conditions for 3 and 6 days, respectively strongly linking basic and applied microbiology with modern aquaculture techniques. Starting from lab scale SBFR (19L) to pilot ones (500L), the authors argue the usefulness of this technology in decreasing the carbon oxygen demand, ammonia, nitrite and nitrate.

The editors and contributors are well known personalities in the field, belonging to a rather limited (as compared with the spread of aquaculture around the world) number of countries. The book is well illustrated, thus helping the readers to understand it more easily.

In my opinion, this book is an essential read for advanced students, experimental researchers as well as policy makers and other professionals working in the field of aquaculture.

Dr. Ioan I. ARDELEAN