



## Neotype designation and redescription of *Toumeyella liriodendri* (Gmelin) (Hemiptera: Coccoidea: Coccidae)

Takumasa Kondo<sup>1,a</sup> and Douglas J. Williams<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Entomology, University of California, 1 Shields Avenue, Davis, California 95616, U.S.A

<sup>2</sup> Department of Entomology, The Natural History Museum, London SW7 5BD, U.K

### Abstract

In order to clarify the taxonomic status and to preserve the stability of the species, a neotype is designated for the tuliptree scale: *Coccus liriodendri* Gmelin (now *Toumeyella liriodendri*). The adult female of this scale insect is redescribed and illustrated from newly collected specimens in its native range and on its type host, the tulip tree, *Liriodendron tulipifera* L. (Magnoliales: Magnoliaceae).

### Resumen

Para clarificar el estatus taxonómico y para preservar la estabilidad de la especie, un neotipo se designa para la escama del tulipán: *Coccus liriodendri* Gmelin (ahora *Toumeyella liriodendri*). La hembra adulta de la escama del tulipán se redescrbe e ilustra en base de especímenes recientemente colectados en su ámbito nativo y en su hospedero tipo, el árbol del tulipán, *Liriodendron tulipifera*.

**Keywords:** *Coccus liriodendri* Gmelin, *Liriodendron tulipifera*, morphology, scale insect, tuliptree scale

**Correspondence:** <sup>a</sup> tkondo@ucdavis.edu

**Received:** 26 August 2007 | **Accepted:** 19 October 2007 | **Published:** 7 October 2008

**Copyright:** This is an open access paper. We use the Creative Commons Attribution 2.5 license that permits unrestricted use, provided that the paper is properly attributed.

**ISSN:** 1536-2442 | Volume 8, Number 56

#### Cite this paper as:

Kondo T, Williams DJ. 2008. Neotype designation and redescription of *Toumeyella liriodendri* (Gmelin) (Hemiptera: Coccoidea: Coccidae). 6pp. *Journal of Insect Science* 8:56, available online: [insectscience.org/8.56](http://insectscience.org/8.56)

## Introduction

The tuliptree scale insect *Toumeyella liriodendri* (Gmelin) (Hemiptera: Coccoidea: Coccidae) was first described and named by Gmelin (1790) as *Coccus liriodendri* Gmelin. This work was published in three volumes and the description of the scale insect appeared in Volume 1, Part 4. This part covers Insecta and was published in 1790 (Soulsby 1933), not 1789 as usually quoted in scale insect literature. Gmelin's short entry in Latin can be translated as "Coccus of Liriodendron tulipifera. *Hamburg. Magaz.* 12. p. 1–24. It lives on Liriodendron tulipifera." The reference cited by Gmelin contains an article by John Hill (Hill 1753) and is a German translation of an English article (Hill 1752). In the English article, Hill described a soft scale insect on a tuliptree grown at Goodwood, England, that had been imported from America some years previously. Although Hill did not name the insect, the description cited by Gmelin validated the name *Coccus liriodendri*. Hill's description of the insect was sufficient for Cockerell (1899) to place it as a species of *Lecanium* under the name *L. liriodendri* (Gmelin). There have been no reports of this species in England since Hill described it in 1753 and none of Gmelin's original specimens exist. Moreover, it is not listed as a British species by Boratynski and Williams (1964). The insect colony apparently died out long ago and no living specimens have been collected in Britain since.

The tuliptree, *Liriodendron tulipifera* L. (Magnoliales: Magnoliaceae) (also known as yellow poplar), is one of two species of *Liriodendron* (Mabberley 1997) and is native to the Eastern U.S.A. The known range of the tuliptree encompasses most of Eastern North America, from Southern Canada to the middle of the Florida Peninsula and from the Atlantic coast to the Mississippi Valley, U.S.A. (Parks et al. 1994). The tree has a wide distribution in the U.S.A. because of its popularity as an ornamental tree.

*T. liriodendri* is an important pest of *L. tulipifera* and deciduous magnolias (Gill 1988; Hamon and Williams 1984; Kosztarab 1996). It produces large amounts of honeydew that induce sooty mould, and large populations will kill the host, particularly the tuliptree (Gill 1988). Seedlings of infested hosts are frequently killed by it (Kosztarab 1996). Since *T. liriodendri* was described, it has been recorded only from the U.S.A. where it follows a similar distribution pattern as its host. *T. liriodendri* is found in the Midwest and most states east of the Mississippi River (Gill 1988; Ben-Dov et al. 2005; Hamon & Williams 1984), and it also occurs in California (Armitage 1947; Gill 1988) and in Texas (Ben-Dov et al. 2005). It is common in the Northeastern U.S.A. where it occurs in every state south of New York and probably in South-eastern Canada (Kosztarab 1996). A full list of the twenty states where *T. liriodendri* occurs in the U.S.A. can be found in the scale insect database ScaleNet (Ben-Dov et al. 2005).

It was long suspected that the species described by Cook (1878) as *Lecanium tulipiferae* Cook was the same as the species described by Gmelin (Cockerell 1899). In fact, King (1902) stated that they were probably identical. Fernald (1903) synonymised the name *L. tulipiferae* with *C. liriodendri* and Sanders (1909) transferred the species to *Toumeyella* Cockerell.

As no original material of this species exists we here designate a neotype from specimens collected in Auburn, Alabama, U.S.A., that is within the area of its natural distribution, to clarify the taxonomic status of the species and for nomenclatural stability. The adult female was re-described and illustrated previously (Williams and Kosztarab 1972; Gill 1988), but, because this species often varies in color and shape, we redescribe it and illustrate the adult female based on the neotype and specimens from the same population.

## Materials and Methods

Live specimens of *T. liriodendri* were collected from *L. tulipifera* in Auburn, Alabama. Specimens were slide-mounted using the method described by Williams and Granara de Willink (1992), except that xylene was used instead of clove oil. Morphological terminology follows mostly that of Hodgson (1994). Photographs of the population of the neotype were taken using a digital, Nikon COOLPIX 3100 camera (www.nikon.com), and were processed using the computer program Adobe Photoshop 5.0 (www.adobe.com).

## Specimen depositories

The material studied is deposited in the institutions listed below.

BME: Bohart Museum of Entomology, University of California, Davis, California, U.S.A.

BMNH: The Natural History Museum, London, England.

USNM: National Museum of Natural History Entomological Collection, Washington, D.C., U.S.A. (Coccoidea collection held at USDA, Beltsville, Maryland)

MNHN: Museum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris, France.

## Results and Discussion

*Toumeyella liriodendri* (Gmelin) Figures 1, 2

*Coccus liriodendri*; Gmelin 1790: 2220.

*Lecanium tulipiferae*; Cook 1878: 192.

*Lecanium liriodendri*; Cockerell 1899: 271.

*Eulecanium liriodendri*; Fernald 1903: 190.

*Lecanium (Toumeyella) liriodendri*; Pettit and McDaniel 1920: 10.

*Toumeyella liriodendri*; Sanders 1909: 447; Burns & Donley 1970: 228; Williams and Kosztarab 1972: 164; Hamon and Williams 1984: 119; Gill 1988: 111; Miller and Williams 1990: 354; Miller and Williams 1995: 16; Ben-Dov 1993: 329; Kosztarab 1996: 391; Sheffer and Williams 1990: 48.

**Common name:** Tuliptree scale, approved by The Entomological Society of America (Stoetzel, 1989).

### Material studied

Neotype, adult female, here designated, 1(1) (USNM). U.S.A., Alabama, Auburn, 32°36'50"N, 85°28'50"W, 2.v.2006, coll. T. Kondo, ex *Liriodendron tulipifera*. *Other material studied.* Same data as neotype: 4(4 adult females) (BME), 10(10 adult females) (BMNH), 3(3 adult females) (MNNH), 16(15 adult females + 1 3<sup>rd</sup> instar nymph) (USNM).

## Description. Adult female

### Unmounted material

(Figure 1A & B) Body convex, mid-dorsum elevated, highly convex or flattening towards margin. Derm orange in color, heavily mottled in grayish-blue or grayish green, to dark tessellations, but usually with mid-dorsum very lightly or not mottled. Mature insects 2.6–4.6 (3.0) mm in diameter, and 2.5–4.5 (2.5) mm high.

### Mounted material

(Figure 2) Body outline oval, narrowing anteriorly, often asymmetrical in crowded specimens; body 2.5–4.5 (2.8) mm long, 1.8–4.2 (2.8) mm wide (n=34).

### Dorsum


Derm membranous on both young and older adult females. Dorsal setae (dset) sharply spinose, straight or slightly curved, each 12–18  $\mu\text{m}$  long, more or less scattered evenly. Dorsal microducts (dmic) each about 2.5  $\mu\text{m}$  wide, with a long terminal filament, evenly scattered. Simple pores (sp) each 3–4  $\mu\text{m}$  wide, evenly scattered. Dorsal tubular ducts absent. Preopercular pores (prop) numerous, present on mid-dorsum anterior to anal plates, each pore 6–15 [mostly 10–13]  $\mu\text{m}$  wide. Dorsal tubercles and pocket-like sclerotizations absent. Anal plates (aplt) together quadrate, with notched round outer angles, plates located at about 1/5 of body length from posterior margin, each plate 235–255 (245)  $\mu\text{m}$  long, 125–150 (125)  $\mu\text{m}$  wide, anterolateral margin 155–190 (175)  $\mu\text{m}$  long, posterolateral margin 170–185 (150)  $\mu\text{m}$  long, with 4 setae on dorsal surface, plus 1 pair of long fringe setae, about 5 ventral subapical setae and 6 pairs of hypopygial setae. Anal ring with 10 setae (not illustrated). A sclerotic area often present around anal plates on area anterior to anal plates.


### Margin

Marginal setae (mset) sharply spinose, more robust than dorsal setae, straight to strongly bent, each 16–36  $\mu\text{m}$  long, arranged in a single, often irregular row, with 7–21 (10 or 11 on neotype) on each side between anterior and posterior stigmatic areas. Stigmatic clefts very shallow or absent, usually with 3 setae per stigmatic area, but often with 4 or rarely 2 setae (4 on each anterior stigmatic cleft and 3 on each posterior stigmatic cleft on neotype); stigmatic setae (stgset) bluntly spinose to conical, all setae subequal in length or with a longer seta, longest seta on each stigmatic area 32–43  $\mu\text{m}$  long, other setae 17–30  $\mu\text{m}$  long. Eyes not detected.

### Venter

Derm entirely membranous. Pregenital disc-pores (pdp) each 6.0–7.5  $\mu\text{m}$  wide, mostly with 5 loculi, rarely 3 or 4, or 6–8 loculi, present around vulvar area and across each





posterior abdominal segments (segments IV–VI), with a linear group of pores extending from area around posterior legs to posterior spiracles on each side. Spiracular

disc-pores (spdp) each 6–8 (mostly 6–7)  $\mu\text{m}$  wide, with 5 loculi, rarely a few pores with fewer or more loculi, present in a broad band as wide as peritreme extending

laterally from each spiracle to body margin, pore band often narrowing just before reaching margins. Multilocular disc-pores similar to spiracular disc-pores present in a linear group of up to 40 pores extending from each antenna towards body margin, but often absent or very few and restricted to area around antennal scape. Ventral microducts (vmic) scattered evenly throughout, each about  $4 \times 3 \mu\text{m}$  wide. Ventral tubular ducts present around vulvar region, and anteriorly as far as abdominal segment V, each tubular duct with a terminal filament ending in a small, branched gland. Ventral setae slender, straight or slightly bent, each 12–25  $\mu\text{m}$  long; also 3 pairs of long median setae, each 50–115  $\mu\text{m}$  long, a pair on segment VI longest. Spiracles well developed, large, anterior spiracular peritremes each 90–175 (140)  $\mu\text{m}$  wide, posterior peritremes each 110–225 (165)  $\mu\text{m}$  wide. Legs greatly reduced, but most segments usually discernible, with trochanter and femur, and tibia and tarsus fused, all segments with few setae, total length of all legs: each 125–265 (125–250)  $\mu\text{m}$  long, metathoracic legs usually largest; claws without a denticle, claw digitules, slender, knobbed; tarsal digitules knobbed or spiniform, as long as or slightly longer than claw digitules. Antennae (ant) short, each 115–190 (153–155)  $\mu\text{m}$  long, 4–6 (6) segmented, but some specimens with antennae heavily atrophied with segmentation not discernible; often with a very long seta on pedicel, with fleshy setae present on last segment only. With 2 pairs of thick interantennal setae, each 18–50 (20–45)  $\mu\text{m}$  long, and with 2 pairs of smaller setae just above mouthparts. Mouthparts well developed, clypeolabral shield 235–300 (270)  $\mu\text{m}$  wide; labium 1 segmented, with 4 pairs of labial setae.

### Morphological variation

The stigmatic setae appear to be a plastic feature. There are usually 3 setae in each stigmatic area, but some specimens often have 4 or fewer setae. The number of multilocular pores near the antennae is also variable, ranging from none or just a few near each antennal scape to about 40 pores in a linear group extending from around antennal scape antero-laterally towards body margin.

### Notes

Measurements of the neotype are given in parentheses. The first-instar nymph of *T. liviodendri* has been described by Sheffer and Williams (1990), the test of the adult male by Miller and Williams (1990), and the adult male by Miller and Williams (1995).

### Acknowledgements

The first author thanks Michael L. Williams for his help during his visit to Auburn University. Special thanks to Cory Unruh for many useful comments. Thanks also to Penny J. Gullan and to anonymous reviewers whose suggestions helped improved the manuscript. This work was

supported in part by a National Science Foundation PEET grant DEB-0118718 to Penny Gullan.

### Editor's Note

Paper copies of this article will be deposited in the following libraries. Senckenberg Library, Frankfurt Germany; National Museum of Natural History, Paris, France; Field Museum of Natural History, Chicago, Illinois USA; the University of Wisconsin, Madison, USA; the University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona USA; Smithsonian Institution Libraries, Washington D.C. U.S.A.; The Linnean Society, London, England.

### References

- Armitage HM. 1947. 27<sup>th</sup> Annual report of the Department of Agriculture Report of the Bureau of Entomology and Plant Quarantine. California Department of Agriculture. *Bulletin* 35: 209
- Ben-Dov Y. 1993. *A systematic catalogue of the soft scale insects of the world*. Florida State Handbook No. 9, Sandhill Crane Press Inc, Gainesville.
- Ben-Dov Y, Miller DR, Gibson GAP. *ScaleNet: a database of the scale insects of the world*. [Online] 2005. Available from: <http://www.scl.barc.usda.gov/scalenet/scalenet.htm>
- Boratynski K, Williams DJ. 1964. Coccoidea. In: Kloet GS, Hincks WDA, editors. *Check list of British Insects, Part 1: Small Orders and Hemiptera* 11: , pp. 87-94. Handbooks for the Identification of British Insects.
- Burns DP, Donley DE. 1970. Biology of the tuliptree scale, *Toumeyella liviodendri* (Homoptera: Coccidae). *Annals of the Entomological Society of America* 63: 228-235.
- Cockerell TDA. 1899. Some notes on Coccidae. *Proceedings of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia* 259-275.
- Cook AJ. 1878. *Lecanium tulipiferae*. *Canadian Entomologist* 10: 192-195.
- Fernald ME. 1903. A catalogue of the Coccidae of the world. *Bulletin of the Hatch Experiment Station of the Massachusetts Agricultural College* 88: 1-360.
- Fernald ME. 1903. A catalogue of the Coccidae of the world. 86: 1-360.
- Gill RJ. 1988. *The Scale Insects of California Part 1. The Soft scales (Homoptera: Coccoidea: Coccidae)*. California Department of Food and Agriculture, Sacramento, California.
- Gmelin JF. 1790. *Systema Naturae*. Editio decima tertia. Aucta, reformata, cara, Regnum Animale. 1: 4<sup>th</sup> edition. Insecta, Lipsia 1317-2224.
- Hamon AB, Williams ML. 1984. Arthropods of Florida and neighboring areas. Vol. 11. The soft scales of Florida (Homoptera: Coccoidea: Coccidae). *Florida Department of Agriculture & Consumer Services. Contribution no. 600*. Florida Department of Agriculture, Gainesville.

- Hill J. 1752. *On the nature and qualities of an insect found on the trunks of fruit-trees. Essay I. Essays in natural history and philosophy, containing a series of discoveries by the assistance of microscopes*. London. Whiston 1-20.
- Hill J. 1753. Versuche in der Naturhistorie und der Philosophie; in einer Folge von Entdeckungen durch Hilfe der Vergrößerungsglases, aus dem Englischen des Herrn John Hill's M. Dr. zu London, und der Königl. Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Bourdeaux Mitglied. Erster Versuch. Von der Natur und den Eigenschaften eines Insects, so auf Stämmen von Fruchtbäumen gefunden worden. *Hamburgisches Magazin* 12: 3-24.
- Hodgson CJ. 1994. *The Scale Insect family Coccidae: An Identification Manual to Genera*. CAB International Institute of Entomology, London, UK.
- King GB. 1902. Further notes on Massachusetts Coccidae. *Canadian Entomologist* 34: 59-63.
- Kosztarab M. 1996. *Scale insects of Northeastern North America. Identification, biology, and distribution*. Virginia Museum of Natural History, Martinsburg, Virginia.
- Mabberley DJ. 1997. *The plant book: a pocket dictionary of the vascular plants*, 2<sup>nd</sup> edition. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, U.K..
- Miller GL, Williams ML. 1990. Tests of male soft scale insects (Homoptera: Coccidae) from America north of Mexico, including a key to the species. *Systematic Entomology* 15: 339-358.
- Miller GL, Williams ML. 1995. Systematic analysis of the adult males of Toumeyella group, including *Mesolecanium nigrofasciatum*, *Neolecanium cornuparvum*, *Pseudophilippia quaintancii* and *Toumeyella* spp. (Homoptera: Coccidae) from America north of Mexico. *Contributions of the American Entomological Institute* 28: 4-Associated Publishers, Gainesville, FL.
- Parks CR, Wendel JF, Sewell MM, Qiu YL. 1994. The significance of allozyme variation and introgression in the Liriodendron tulipifera complex (Magnoliaceae). *American Journal of Botany* 81(7): 878-889.
- Pettit RH, McDaniel E. 1920. The Lecania of Michigan. *Michigan Agricultural College Experiment Station Technical Bulletin* 48: 1-35.
- Sanders JG. 1909. The identity and synonymy of some of our soft scale-insects. *Journal of Economic Entomology* 2: 428-448.
- Sheffer BJ, Williams ML. 1990. Descriptions, distribution, and host-plant records of eight first instars in the genus *Toumeyella* (Homoptera: Coccidae). *Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Washington* 92(1): 44-57.
- Soulsby BH. 1933. *A catalogue of the works of Linnaeus (and publications more immediately relating thereto) preserved in the libraries of British Museum (Bloomsbury) and the British Museum (Natural History) (South Kensington)*, 2<sup>nd</sup> edition. Trustees of the British Museum, London.
- Stoetzel MB. 1989. *Common names of insects and related organisms*. Entomological Society of America, College Park, Maryland.